

TABLE OF CONTENTS

E. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	E-1				
E.1 Purpose	E-1				
E.2 Study Area	E-1				
E.3 Project Initiation	E-1				
E.4 Alternative Solutions	E-1				
E.5 Alternative Designs	E-1				
E.6 Preferred Design	E-2				
E.7 Notice of Completion	E-2				
1. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND	1-1				
1.1 Background	1-1				
1.2 Purpose of the Environmental Study Report	1-1				
1.3 Relationship with Other Corridors	1-1				
1.4 Class EA Study Process	1-1				
1.5 Canadian Environmental Assessment Act (CEAA)	1-2				
1.6 Report Organization	1-2				
2. IDENTIFICATION AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROBLEM AND OPPORTUNITY (CLASS EA PROCESS – PHASE 1)	2-1				
2.1 Definition of the Study Area	2-1				
2.2 Overview of the Existing Transportation Environment in the Study Area	2-1				
2.2.1 Major Road Network	2-1				
2.2.2 Railway Corridors	2-2				
2.2.3 Transit Network	2-2				
2.3 Planned Transportation Network Improvements	2-3				
2.3.1 Road Network	2-3				
2.3.2 Transit	2-4				
2.4 The Problem and Opportunity	2-4				
2.4.1 Statement of the Problem	2-4				
2.4.2 The Opportunity	2-5				
2.4.3 The Purpose of the Project	2-5				
3. ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS TO THE PROBLEM (CLASS EA PROCESS – PHASE 2)	3-1				
3.1 Description of Alternative Solutions to the Problem	3-1				
3.1.1 Do Nothing	3-1				
3.1.2 A Current Commitments Solution Including Priority Transit and Transportation Demand Management	3-1				
3.1.3 A Road Capacity Increase Solution Including Current Commitments and Further Road Expansion	3-1				
3.1.4 Enhanced Barrie Line Commuter Rail and Inter-regional Bus Service Solution	3-1				
3.1.5 York Region Rapid Transit Corridor Initiatives Solution Including Current Commitments	3-1				
3.2 Description of the Environments for Alternative Solutions	3-1				
3.2.1 The Built Environment	3-1				
3.2.1.1 Places to Grow	3-3				
3.2.1.2 The Greenbelt Plan	3-3				
3.2.1.3 Oak Ridges Moraine	3-3				
3.2.2 Land Use Planning	3-4				
3.2.2.1 Regional Official Plan	3-4				
3.2.2.2 Municipal Official Plans	3-5				
3.2.3 The Natural Environment	3-10				
3.2.3.1 Physiography and Soils	3-10				
3.2.3.2 Watershed Areas	3-10				
3.2.3.3 Regional Geology	3-10				
3.2.3.4 Geology/Hydrogeology	3-10				
3.2.3.5 Designated Natural Areas	3-10				
3.3 Analysis of Alternative Solutions to the Problem (Alternative Transportation Solutions)	3-12				
3.3.1 Criteria for Evaluation of Alternative Solutions	3-12				
3.3.1.1 Effectiveness of the Transportation Solution in Meeting Travel Demand	3-12				
3.3.1.2 Effects on the Social Environment (reflecting the “Healthy Communities” theme)	3-12				
3.3.1.3 Effects on the Natural Environment (reflecting the “Sustainable Natural Environment” theme)	3-12				
3.3.1.4 Effects on Smart Growth and the Economic Environment (reflecting the “Economic Vitality” theme)	3-12				
3.3.2 Analysis of Effectiveness as a Transportation Solution	3-12				
3.3.2.1 The Demand Forecasting Model	3-12				
3.3.2.2 Key Assumptions for Demand Modelling	3-13				
3.3.2.3 Responsiveness of the Alternatives to the Forecast Capacity Required to Meet Demand	3-14				
3.3.2.4 Effect of Alternative Solutions on Transit Mode Share	3-15				
3.3.3 Evaluation of Alternative Transportation Solutions Rationale for Selection of Rapid Transit	3-16				
4. ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS CONTINUED: YONGE STREET ROAD IMPROVEMENTS BETWEEN MULOCK DRIVE AND GREEN LANE (CLASS EA PROCESS – PHASE 2)	4-1				
4.1 Background	4-1				
4.2 Need and Justification	4-1				
4.2.1 Existing Traffic Operations	4-1				
4.2.2 Future Capacity and Demand	4-1				
4.3 Alternatives to Yonge Street Road Capacity Improvement	4-2				
4.4 Evaluation of Alternatives to the Problem	4-2				
4.4.1 No improvement in Yonge Street Road Capacity	4-2				
4.4.2 Transportation Demand Management (TDM)	4-2				
4.4.3 Improvements to Public Transit Service Only	4-2				
4.4.4 Improvements to Other Roadways	4-3				
4.4.5 Improvements to Yonge Street Capacity	4-4				
4.5 Evaluation Summary of Alternatives to the Problem	4-4				
5. FORECAST OF TRAVEL DEMAND WITH PUBLIC TRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS (THE PREFERRED TRANSPORTATION SOLUTION)	5-1				
		5.1 Setting for the Proposed North Yonge Street Public Transit Improvements	5-1		
		5.1.1 Existing Transit Travel Patterns	5-1		
		5.2 Transit Ridership Projections	5-1		
		5.2.1 Modeling Scenarios and Assumptions	5-1		
		5.2.1.1 Population and Employment	5-1		
		5.2.1.2 Base Assumptions for Demand Modelling	5-2		
		5.2.1.3 Viva Networks	5-2		
		5.3 2031 Ridership Forecasts	5-4		
		5.3.1 Rapid Transit Passenger Volumes	5-4		
		5.3.1.1 Travel Patterns and Modal Split	5-4		
		5.3.1.2 Boarding and Alighting Patterns	5-4		
		6. ALTERNATIVE DESIGN CONCEPTS FOR THE PREFERRED SOLUTION: RAPID TRANSIT ROUTES AND TECHNOLOGIES (CLASS EA PROCESS – PHASE 3)	6-1		
		6.1 Rapid Transit Routes	6-1		
		6.1.1 Preliminary Screening of Route Options	6-1		
		6.1.1.1 General Objectives for Routes	6-1		
		6.1.1.2 Description of Route Alternatives	6-1		
		6.1.1.3 Assessment and Evaluation of Alternative Routes	6-1		
		6.1.1.4 Results of screening of Alternative Routes	6-1		
		6.2 Rapid Transit Technologies	6-10		
		6.2.1 Transit Technologies Considered	6-10		
		6.2.2 Screening of Alternative Transit Technologies	6-10		
		6.2.2.1 Bus Rapid Transit (BRT)	6-13		
		6.2.2.2 Light Rail Transit (LRT)	6-13		
		6.2.3 Evaluation of Alternative Technologies	6-14		
		7. DESCRIPTION OF THE ENVIRONMENT	7-1		
		7.1 Transportation Environment	7-1		
		7.1.1 Local/Regional Transit Network	7-1		
		7.1.1.1 York Region Transit	7-1		
		7.1.1.2 Viva	7-1		
		7.1.1.3 GO Transit	7-2		
		7.1.1.4 Park and Ride	7-2		
		7.1.2 Existing Transit Volumes	7-2		
		7.1.3 Existing Transit Performance	7-2		
		7.1.4 Pedestrian and Cycling Accesses within the Corridor	7-2		
		7.1.5 Existing Roadway Network	7-3		
		7.1.5.1 Peak Traffic Periods	7-3		
		7.1.5.2 Existing Right-of-Ways	7-3		
		7.2 Natural Environment	7-3		
		7.2.1 Horizontal Groundwater Movement	7-3		
		7.2.2 Groundwater Recharge/Discharge Areas	7-3		
		7.2.3 Well Distribution	7-3		
		7.2.4 Aquatic Habitats and Communities	7-4		
		7.2.4.1 East Holland River Watershed	7-4		
		7.2.4.2 East Humber River Watershed	7-4		
		7.2.4.3 Rouge River Watershed	7-4		

7.2.4.4	Rare, Threatened or Endangered Aquatic Species	7-4	8.1.7	Fare Collection.....	8-2	9.1.4.3	Davis Drive between Yonge Street and Highway 404.....	9-10
7.2.4.5	Vegetation and Vegetation Communities	7-4	8.1.8	Streetscaping	8-3	9.2	Davis Drive Intersection Consolidation Design Alternatives	9-14
7.2.4.6	Rare, Threatened or Endangered Plant Species	7-4	8.1.9	Towards Great Regional Streets	8-3	9.2.1	George Street and Wilstead Drive Intersections.....	9-14
7.2.5	Wildlife and Wildlife Habitat	7-4	8.1.10	Other Parameters to be Considered.....	8-3	9.2.2	Parkside Drive and Longford Drive Intersections	9-15
7.2.5.1	Rare, Threatened or Endangered Wildlife Species.....	7-5	8.2	Route Alternatives Evaluation.....	8-4	9.3	Local Area Alignment Design Optimization: Main Street to Prospect Street.....	9-20
7.2.6	Contaminated Sites	7-5	8.2.1	Evaluation objectives, goals and indicators.....	8-4	9.4	Segments with Rapid Transit in Mixed traffic	9-20
7.3	Social Environment	7-5	8.2.2	Development of Segment Route Alternatives.....	8-5	9.4.1	Yonge Street between Davis Drive and Green Lane	9-20
7.3.1	Land Ownership Patterns.....	7-5	8.2.3	Richmond Hill Segment – Route Alternatives.....	8-5	9.4.2	Green Lane between Yonge Street and the East Gwillimbury GO Station.....	9-20
7.3.2	Land Use Along the Corridor	7-6	8.2.4	Aurora Segment - Route Alternatives.....	8-5	9.4.3	Yonge Street between Henderson Drive and Orchard Heights Boulevard.....	9-20
7.3.2.1	Residential Neighbourhoods.....	7-6	8.2.4.1	Route Alternative A2: Yonge Street	8-5	10.	THE PREFERRED DESIGN FOR THE PROJECT	10-1
7.3.2.2	Commercial Areas	7-6	8.2.4.2	Route Alternative A3: Yonge Street/Industrial Parkway/St. John's Sideroad.....	8-6	10.1	Description of the Preferred Design	10-1
7.3.2.3	Business Areas	7-6	8.2.4.3	Route Alternative A4: Route Adjacent to GO Bradford Right-of-way	8-6	10.1.1	Rapidway Elements	10-2
7.3.3	Future Development Plans	7-6	8.2.4.4	Evaluation of Alternatives Findings and Recommendation	8-6	10.1.2	Horizontal Alignment.....	10-4
7.3.4	Recreation and Tourism Areas.....	7-7	8.2.5	Newmarket/East Gwillimbury Segment – Route Alternatives	8-6	10.1.3	Vertical Alignment and Pavement Widening.....	10-5
7.3.5	Noise and Vibration	7-7	8.2.5.1	Route Alternative NE2: Yonge Street and Green Lane to East Gwillimbury GO Station	8-6	10.1.4	Intersection Design	10-5
7.3.5.1	Regulatory Requirements	7-7	8.2.5.2	Route Alternative NE3: Adjacent to GO Bradford Right-of-way to East Gwillimbury GO Station.....	8-6	10.1.5	Structures.....	10-6
7.3.5.2	Sound Level Monitoring at Receptor Locations.....	7-7	8.2.5.3	Route Alternative NE5: Yonge Street/Eagle Street West to Newmarket GO Bus Station	8-6	10.1.6	Watercourse Crossings.....	10-6
7.3.5.3	Traffic Noise Prediction Results for Existing Conditions	7-7	8.2.5.4	Route Alternative NE6: Yonge Street/Davis Drive/Main Street/Green Lane to East Gwillimbury GO Station	8-7	10.1.7	Stations	10-7
7.3.5.4	Conclusions of Noise Impact Analysis.....	7-8	8.2.5.5	Route Alternative NE7: Yonge Street/Davis Drive to Leslie Street.....	8-7	10.1.8	Park and Ride Facilities	10-8
7.3.5.5	Existing Vibration Levels Along the Corridor	7-8	8.2.5.6	Route Alternative NE8: Yonge Street/Davis Drive/Bayview Parkway/Green Lane to East Gwillimbury GO Station.....	8-7	10.2	Service Plan for Surface Rapid Transit	10-8
7.3.6	Air Quality and Criteria	7-8	8.2.5.7	Evaluation of Alternatives Findings and Recommendation	8-7	10.2.1	Near-Term Service Design	10-8
7.3.6.1	Existing Environmental Conditions	7-8	9.	ALIGNMENT DESIGN ALTERNATIVES	9-1	10.3	Project Activities	10-8
7.3.6.2	Existing Air Quality.....	7-9	9.1	Rapid Transit Physical Infrastructure Locations.....	9-1	10.3.1	Pre-construction Phase.....	10-8
7.3.6.3	Background Concentrations	7-10	9.1.1	Alternative Locations Within a Road Right-of-way	9-1	10.3.2	Construction Activities Phase	10-9
7.3.6.4	Predicted Atmospheric/Vehicle Emissions.....	7-10	9.1.1.1	Preliminary Evaluation	9-1	10.3.3	Operation Phase	10-9
7.3.6.5	Air Dispersion Modelling Approach.....	7-11	9.1.1.2	Conclusion	9-1	10.4	Project Staging.....	10-9
7.3.6.6	Conclusions of Air Quality Analysis	7-11	9.1.2	Richmond Hill Segment Design Alternatives (19 th Avenue to Bloomington Road).....	9-2	10.5	Design Attributes and Built-In Mitigation	10-9
7.4	Cultural Environment	7-11	9.1.3	Aurora Segment Design Alternatives (Bloomington Road to Savage Road)	9-2	11.	ASSESSMENT OF THE PREFERRED DESIGN	11-1
7.4.1	Environmental Assessment and Cultural Heritage Resources.....	7-11	9.1.3.1	Bloomington Road to Wellington Street.....	9-2	11.1	Assessment Methodology	11-1
7.4.1.1	19th Century Development.....	7-11	9.1.3.2	Wellington Street to Savage Road.....	9-3	11.2	Assessment Results	11-2
7.4.1.2	Identification of Built Heritage Features and Cultural Landscapes	7-12	9.1.4	Newmarket/East Gwillimbury Segment Design Alternatives	9-4	11.3	Project Related Effects and Mitigation	11-2
7.4.1.3	Description of the Existing Environment.....	7-12	9.1.4.1	Savage Road South to Mulock Drive	9-4	11.4	Analysis of Environmental Effects and Mitigation	11-3
7.4.1.4	Description of the Identified Built Heritage Resources and Cultural Heritage Landscapes	7-12	9.1.4.2	Mulock Drive to Green Lane	9-4	11.4.1	OBJECTIVE A: To improve mobility by providing a fast, convenient, reliable and efficient rapid transit service	11-3
7.4.2	Archaeological Resources.....	7-13	8.	DEVELOPMENT AND SELECTION OF PREFERRED DESIGN.....	8-1	11.4.2	OBJECTIVE B: To protect and enhance the social environment in the corridor	11-5
7.5	Services and Utilities	7-14	8.1	Planning and Design Parameters	8-1	11.4.3	OBJECTIVE C: To protect and enhance the natural environment in the corridor	11-12
8.	DEVELOPMENT AND SELECTION OF PREFERRED DESIGN.....	8-1	8.1.1	Rapid Transit Design Objectives	8-1	11.4.4	OBJECTIVE D: To promote smart growth and economic development in the corridor	11-17
8.1	Planning and Design Parameters	8-1	8.1.2	Design Criteria.....	8-1	12.	PUBLIC AND AGENCY INVOLVEMENT.....	12-1
8.1.1	Rapid Transit Design Objectives	8-1	8.1.3	Bus Rapid Transit (BRT)	8-1	12.1	Technical Advisory Committee and Technical Agencies	12-1
8.1.2	Design Criteria.....	8-1	8.1.4	Light Rail Transit (LRT)	8-2	12.2	Public Involvement Program	12-1
8.1.3	Bus Rapid Transit (BRT)	8-1	8.1.5	Station Design Features	8-2	12.2.1	Public Consultation Centres (PCC)	12-2
8.1.4	Light Rail Transit (LRT)	8-2	8.1.6	Roadway.....	8-2	12.2.2	Facts Sheets	12-3
8.1.5	Station Design Features	8-2				12.2.3	Viva Website	12-3
8.1.6	Roadway.....	8-2						

12.3	First Nations Consultation.....	12-3
12.4	Other Stakeholder Consultations	12-4
12.5	Municipal Approvals	12-4
12.6	Record of Consultation.....	12-4
13.	IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS.....	13-1
13.1	Context	13-1
13.2	Project Implementation Plan	13-1
13.2.1	The Design Phase	13-1
13.2.2	The Construction Phase	13-1
13.3	Environmental Commitments.....	13-2
13.4	Monitoring	13-3
13.4.1	Construction Monitoring.....	13-3
13.4.2	Operations Monitoring	13-3
13.5	Modifying the Preferred Design	13-3

Appendices

Appendix A	Terms of Reference
Appendix B	Transportation Assessment Report
Appendix C	Natural Sciences Report
Appendix D	Geotechnical Study Report
Appendix E	Environmental Assessment (Contaminated Sites) Report
Appendix F	Storm Water Management Preliminary Assessment Reports
Appendix G	Cultural Heritage Resource Report
Appendix H	Stage 1 Archaeological Assessment Report
Appendix I	Noise and Vibration Impact Assessment Report
Appendix J	Air Quality Impact Assessment Report
Appendix K	Detailed Route Alternative Evaluation Tables
Appendix L1	Public Consultation Centre #1 Report
Appendix L2	Public Consultation Centre #2 Report
Appendix L3	Public Consultation Centre #3 Report
Appendix L4	Public Consultation Centre #4 Report
Appendix M	Record of Consultation
Appendix N	Davis Drive Micro-Simulation Summary
Appendix O	Excerpt from Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan
Appendix P	Comments from Draft Environmental Study Report

List of Tables

2-1	2005 Average Annual Daily Traffic	2-2	7-12	MOBILE6C Tailpipe Emission Factors for Future Conditions (2021)	7-11
2-2	Existing YRT Routes within Study Area	2-2	7-13	Identified Cultural Heritage Landscapes and Built Heritage Resources in the Corridor	7-12
3-1	Summary of Alternative Transportation Solutions	3-1	8-1	Summary of BRT Running Way Geometric Design Criteria	8-2
3-2	Designated Natural Areas	3-12	8-2	Summary of LRT Running Way Geometric Design Criteria	8-2
3-3	Evaluation of Alternative Transportation Solutions (Entire Corridor)	3-17	8-3	Summary of Roadway Geometric Design Criteria	8-3
4-1	Existing Intersection Level of Service for Yonge Street Between Mulock Drive and Green Lane	4-1	8-4	Evaluation Objectives, Goals and Indicators	8-4
4-2	Projected Auto Volumes with Public Transit Improvements Only	4-3	8-5	Synopsis of Primary Route Alternative Evaluation Findings for Aurora Segment	8-10
4-3	Impacts of Road Improvements on Parallel Corridors (2021)	4-3	8-6	Synopsis of primary Route Alternative Evaluation Findings for Newmarket/East Gwillimbury Segment	8-12
4-4	Evaluation of Alternatives Transportation Solutions (Yonge Street between Mulock Drive and Green Lane)	4-5	9-1	Evaluation of Options to Locate a Rapidway in a Roadway	9-1
5-1	Population and Employment – York Region and GTA/Hamilton, 2006-2031	5-2	9-2	Evaluation of Rapidway Alignment Design Options - Aurora/Newmarket: Yonge Street from Valhalla Court to Savage Road South	9-3
5-2	Speed and Headway Assumptions on Yonge Street	5-3	9-3	Evaluation of Route Capacity and Improved Public Transit Design Alternatives on Yonge Street Between Mulock Drive and Davis Drive	9-6
5-3	Park and Ride Lot Capacities	5-4	9-4	Evaluation of Route Capacity and Improved Public Transit Design Alternatives on Yonge Street Between Davis Drive and Green Lane	9-8
5-4	2031 Yonge Street (Viva Blue) Ridership Summary	5-4	9-5	Existing (2005) PM Peak Hour Arterial Performance	9-10
5-5	2031 AM Peak Hour Ridership by Segment for Yonge Street Corridor	5-4	9-6	Evaluation of Improved Public Transit Design Alternatives on Davis Drive	9-12
5-6	2001 and 2031 AM Peak (3-Hour) Period Total Trips and Transit Modal Split	5-5	9-7	George Street/Wilstead Drive Intersection Evaluation	9-16
6-1	Alternative Rapid Transit Systems – Richmond Hill Route Options Preliminary Screening	6-2	9-8	Parkside Drive/Longford Drive/Lindsay Avenue Intersection Evaluation	9-18
6-2	Alternative Rapid transit Systems – Aurora Route Options Preliminary Screening	6-4	9-9	Evaluation of Rapidway Alignment Alternatives on Davis Drive: Main Street to Prospect Street	9-24
6-3	Alternative Rapid Transit Systems – Newmarket/East Gwillimbury Route Options Preliminary Screening	6-7	11-1	Effects and Mitigation for Mobility	11-3
6-4	Preliminary Screening of Transit Technologies	6-11	11-2	Effects and Mitigation for Social Environment	11-5
7-1	Cycling Routes in the Corridor	7-2	11-3	Effects and Mitigation for Natural Environment	11-12
7-2	Total Number of Properties Representing Potential Environmental Concern	7-5	11-4	Effects and Mitigation for Smart Growth and Economic Development	11-17
7-3	Summary of Receptor Locations	7-7	13-1	Summary of Environmental Concerns and Commitments	13-2
7-4	Predicted Existing Daytime and Nighttime Traffic Sound Level	7-8	13-2	Construction Monitoring	13-3
7-5	Recent Ambient Air Quality Monitoring for PM2.5	7-9	13-3	Monitoring Activities During Rapid Transit Operations	13-3
7-6	Recent Ambient Air Quality Monitoring for Nitrogen Oxides	7-9			
7-7	Recent Ambient Air Quality Monitoring for Carbon Monoxide	7-10			
7-8	24 hour Background Particulate Concentrations (µg/m ³)	7-10			
7-9	Background NOx and CO Concentrations (µg/m ³)	7-10			
7-10	Conservative Background VOC Concentrations (µg/m ³)	7-10			
7-11	MOBILE6C Tailpipe Emission Factors for Existing Conditions (2005)	7-11			

List of Figures

E-1	York Rapid Transit Plan Corridor Relationship	E-1	6-3	Newmarket/East Gwillimbury Route Options	6-9
E-2	Preferred Alignment and Station Locations	E-2	7-1	Transit Routes in Study Area	7-1
1-1	Rapid Transit Network	1-1	7-2	Existing (2008) Viva Blue Southbound AM Peak Hour Ridership Patterns	7-2
1-2	Corridor Relationship	1-2	7-3	Average Speed for Existing Viva Blue Service	7-2
1-3	Municipal Class EA Planning and Design Process	1-3	7-4	Wind Rose and Wind Speed Charts (2001-2005)	7-9
2-1	Study Area	2-1	7-5	MOE Monitoring Stations	7-10
2-2	YRT and Viva Routes within Study Area	2-2	8-1	Typical Two-Lane Exclusive Rapidway	8-3
2-3	Viva Network	2-3	8-2	Richmond Hill Segment – Route Alternatives	8-8
2-4	2031 York Region Road Improvements	2-4	8-3	Aurora Segment – Route Alternatives	8-9
2-5	2031 York Region Transit Network Improvements	2-4	8-4	Newmarket/East Gwillimbury Segment - Route Alternatives	8-11
2-6	York Region 2008 – 10 Year Roads Construction Program	2-4	8-5	Southlake Regional Health Centre – Potential Turn Around Locations	8-14
3-1	Existing Social Environment in Study Area	3-2	9-1	Options to Locate a Rapidway in a Roadway	9-1
3-2	Places to Grow – Schedule 5, Moving People – Transit	3-3	9-2	Future (2021) Traffic Levels on Yonge Street without Rapid Transit	9-2
3-3	Map 45 from the Greenbelt Plan	3-4	9-3	Yonge Street Existing (2005) Traffic Levels	9-4
3-4	Map 31 from the Greenbelt Plan	3-4	9-4	Existing (2005) Traffic Levels	9-10
3-5	Map 3 from the Oak Ridges Moraine Conservation Plan	3-4	9-5	Future (2021) Traffic Levels on Davis Drive without Rapid Transit	9-10
3-6	York Region – Regional Structure	3-5	9-6	Right-of-way and Holland River Bridge widened to North	9-21
3-7	Town of Richmond Hill Official Plan Schedule A Land Use Plan	3-6	9-7	Right-of-way and Holland River Bridge widened to South	9-22
3-8	Town of Aurora Official Plan – Schedule A Land Use Plan	3-7	9-8	Right-of-way widened both sides with a New Holland River Bridge	9-23
3-9	Town of Newmarket Official Plan – Schedule A Land Use Plan	3-8	10-1	Preferred Alignment and Station Locations	10-1
3-10	Draft Town of East Gwillimbury Community Structure Plan	3-9	10-2	40-45 m Right-of-Way	10-2
3-11	Existing Natural Environment in Study Area	3-11	10-3	36 m Right-of-Way	10-2
3-12	Screenlines for Demand vs Capacity Analysis	3-14	10-4	42.6 m Right-of-Way	10-3
3-13	Analysis Results for Screenline 1: South of Davis Drive	3-14	10-5	Streetscaping Plan	10-3
3-14	Analysis Results for Screenline 2: South of Wellington Street	3-15	10-6	Typical Emergency Service Median Crossing Treatment	10-5
3-15	Analysis Results for Screenline 3: South of Stouffville Road	3-15	10-7	Keith Bridge Cross-Section	10-6
3-16	Effect of Alternative Solutions on Transit Mode Share	3-16	10-8	Cross-Section under GO Barrie Bridge in Aurora	10-6
4-1	Yonge Street Existing (2005) Traffic Levels	4-1	10-9	Davis Drive Cross-Section at Southlake Regional Health Centre Pedestrian Bridge	10-7
4-2	Future (2021) Volume to Capacity Ratios for Yonge Street and Existing Transit Mode Split	4-2			
4-3	Select Link Analysis for Trips Using Yonge Street (Mulock Dr. to Green Lane)	4-3			
5-1	Existing Viva Blue Southbound AM Peak Period Ridership Patterns as of January 2007	5-1			
5-2	Viva Network	5-3			
5-3	AM Peak Hour Link Volume – 2031 BRT/Subway	5-4			
5-4	2031 BRT AM Peak (3-Hour) Period Boarding/Alighting on Yonge Street Corridor	5-5			
6-1	Richmond Hill Route Options	6-3			
6-2	Aurora Route Options	6-6			