

Corporate Services Planning and Economic Development

Memorandum

TO: Members of Regional Council

FROM: Valerie Shuttleworth, MCIP, RPP

Chief Planner, Corporate Services

Bill Hughes

Commissioner, Finance

DATE: May 21, 2015

RE: Proposed Amendments to the Planning Act and Development

Charges Act under Bill 73 - Clause 19, Report 10 of Committee of the

Whole

This memorandum is provided further to Clause19 of Report No. 10 of the Committee of the Whole Meeting held on May 14, 2015, Proposed Amendments to the Planning Act and Development Charges Act under Bill 73.

1. Attachment 1 to the report is a table outlining the responsiveness of Bill 73 to the Region's submission of January 2014. Attachment 1 did not address the following 2014 request:

that "the Province also consider possible legislative changes to the Planning Act that would allow approval authorities to place time limits on zoning approval, similar to those lapsing provisions already available on plans of subdivision"

In order to ensure that this request is addressed in the pending submission to the Province, the attached double sided page is to replace pages 5 and 6 of Attachment 1 to the report considered by Committee of the Whole on May 14, 2015. It has the effect of adding this additional comment to the summary table as item No. 24.

May 21, 2015	2
Report No. 10 of the Committee of	the Whole Meeting of May 14, 2015

2. In response to the Committee discussion, it is also recommended that Recommendation 3 be replaced with the following:

"The Regional Clerk circulate this report to all nine municipalities, the Association of Municipalities of Ontario, the Municipal Finance Officers' Association and the Bill 73 Development Charges Steering Committee"

Valerie Shuttleworth, MCIP, RPP

Bill Hughes, Commissioner, Finance

Attachment (1)

Chief Planner

York- #6091142

⊳
∄
8
Ĭ
匵
4

	then focus on implementation through Secondary Plan	
10	exercises, zoning by-laws and urban design guidelines.	Bill 72 and an and the Constitution of the constitution
18.	Citizen advisory groups for larger planning initiatives are	Bill 73 makes an Advisory Committee mandatory for upper and single
	sometimes effective, but legislation requiring them is not	tier municipalities. The Region supports the proposal provided
	necessary.	municipalities can establish the Terms of Reference for the role of the
		Planning Advisory Committees.
19.	Currently, the <i>Planning Act</i> regulates the wording used in	Not addressed.
	statutory notices to advise the public of complete	Recommend Province considers further amendments in Bill 73.
	applications, public meetings and decisions. This language	
	needs to be revised and provided in "plain language".	
20.	The <i>Planning Act</i> should be updated to allow the use of	Partially addressed. Alternative measures for informing the public is
	electronic notices in addition to or instead of newspaper	currently permitted for official plans and zoning by-laws and have now
	ads.	have been expanded to include provisions for plans of subdivision and
		consents. However, the sole use of electronic notices is still not
		permitted. It is also recommended that the regulations which prescribe
		the means for giving notice related to official plans, zoning by-laws and
		plans of subdivision, be amended to permit municipalities to provide
		notice in electronic format to listed persons and public bodies,
		provided those persons and public bodies consent to receiving such
		notices electronically.
21.	York Region already explains how citizen input was	Partially addressed. Bill 73 would require the OMB to have regard to
	considered during the review of a planning/development	written and oral submissions received by a municipal council. In
	proposal. However, to require reporting on all citizen	addition, Bill 73 would make it mandatory for Notices of Adoption to
	input can be very time consuming and perhaps	explain the effect of written and oral submissions on the decision to
	burdensome on lower-tier municipalities who receive	adopt an official plan or official plan amendment, and for Notices of
	much more input. Such an arduous process should only be	Decision to explain the effect of written submissions on the decision of
	undertaken if the Ontario Municipal Board places some	an approval authority to approve, or to refuse to approve, an adopted
	amount of weight on the consideration.	official plan.
		The Region supports the added transparency with respect to
		documenting how input was addressed. However, the reference to oral
	1	addamenting now input was addressed. However, the reference to ordi

>.	
Ţ	
5	
≊	

		submissions should be removed.
		Official documentation and response to oral submissions may be problematic for both the commenter and reviewer, given that not all municipalities are equipped to scribe and capture oral submissions.
22.	The Province should prohibit the conversion of employment lands, unless initiated through an upper-tier municipal comprehensive review.	An existing requirement in s. 26 (1) to revise an official plan if it contains policies dealing with areas of employment, including policies dealing with the removal of employment lands to ensure that those policies are confirmed or amended will be removed from the <i>Planning Act</i> .
23.	There is a need for mechanisms to streamline the infrastructure planning and approval processes under the Planning and Environmental Assessment Acts. As an example, the requirements of infrastructure master plans are often duplicated through the secondary plan process. Explicitly recognizing master plans in the <i>Planning Act</i> would eliminate this duplication.	Not addressed. Recommend Province considers further amendments in Bill 73.
24.	The Province consider legislative changes to the Planning Act that would allow approval authorities to place time limits on zoning approvals, similar to those lapsing provisions already available on plans of subdivision.	Not addressed. Recommend Province considers further amendments in Bill 73.