

Appendix B.3 – Open House #2 Summary Report

*Kennedy Road Environmental Assessment between
Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive*





Open House #2 Summary Report

Kennedy Road Schedule 'C' Class
Environmental Assessment between Steeles
Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive

The Regional Municipality of York

June 19, 2020



Context for November/December 2019 Public Consultation

York Region is undertaking an Environmental Assessment Study for Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive in the City of Markham. This study will identify possible improvements to Kennedy Road to address current and future transportation needs and opportunities for pedestrians, cyclists, transit users, and motorists. The study is being carried out in accordance with the requirements of the Schedule 'C' Municipal Class Environmental Assessment (EA) process which is an approved process under the Environmental Assessment Act.

Public input is an important part of the multi-phase Kennedy Road Class EA process and a number of public and stakeholder consultation activities are being held to provide opportunities for engagement. An overview of the key consultation milestones is provided in **Table 1**.

Table 1: Key Consultation Milestones

Consultation Event	Date
Notice of Study Commencement	May 18, 2017
Open House #1	February 21 and 22, 2018
Open House #2	November 25 and December 2, 2019
Notice of Study Completion	Tentatively Summer 2020

The second round of public open houses was held in two locations as follows:

- Monday, November 25th, 2019 at Milliken Mills Community Centre in the City of Markham, from 6:30 PM to 8:30 PM
- Monday, December 2nd, 2019 at Markham Pan Am Centre in the City of Markham, from 6:30 PM to 8:30 PM

Each Open House included the following information:

- 28 display boards, including:
 - Welcome
 - Overview of the study area, study objectives, and study process
 - Purpose of Open House two and preferred solution
 - What we've heard so far
 - Key technical studies and evaluation criteria
 - Road widening design approach

- Active Transportation facilities
- Design considerations, alternatives, evaluation, and recommendation for Stouffville GO Rail Crossing North of Clayton Drive
- Design considerations, alternatives, evaluation, and recommendation for CN Rail Crossing and Miller Avenue Extension
- Design considerations, alternatives, evaluation, and recommendation for Highway 407 ETR interchange
- Background, design considerations, alternatives, evaluation, and recommendation for VIVA Rapidway
- Design considerations, alternatives, evaluation, and recommendations for Stouffville GO Rail Crossing North of Austin Drive
- Design considerations and recommendations for Rouge River Crossing
- Design considerations, alternatives, evaluation, and recommendations for Hagerman Cemeteries and St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries
- Noise Barriers
- Recommended design, timing of improvements, and Next Steps
- Roll plans showing recommended design for the full corridor divided into 4 segments on tables
 - Members of the public were encouraged to write their comments and/or concerns on the plans directly or on post-it notes
- A looping video presentation of the display boards
- Hard copies of the Survey/Comment Form

A copy of the open house display boards is included in **Appendix A**.

Members of the York Region and HDR study team were in attendance and interacted with the public to answer questions, discuss concerns, and document comments. A sign-in table was located at the entrance to record attendance and for members of the public to sign up for the project mailing list, and were provided with comment sheets to obtain their feedback on the materials presented. Forty-five (45) people attended the open house on November 25, 2019 and thirty-nine (39) people attended the open house on December 2, 2019.

In addition to the two open houses, an electronic version of the display boards was posted online at www.York.ca/Kennedyroad allowing members of the public to view the open house material online at their convenience and fill out an online comment form to provide their input. The display boards, looping presentation and roll plans of the recommended design were posted on the project website on November 25, 2019.

Methods of Communication

Multiple methods of communication were used to update the public about the Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment study and invite them to the open houses, including:

- Direct mail notice of open house to 4,277 members of the public, including property owners and residents along the study corridor
- Direct mail, email, and notice of open house to 64 agency representatives and 28 stakeholder group representatives
- Direct mail, email, and notice of open house to 10 Indigenous Community representatives from 5 different communities
- Email notification to 58 individuals on the project email list – developed through previous responses to project notifications
- Updates to project website, including notification of open houses, open house material, and online comment form (survey) on project website: www.York.ca/Kennedyroad
- Notice and Region Media Release posted on www.York.ca and www.York.ca/Kennedyroad on November 14, 2019
- Social media updates: YR Twitter and YR Facebook posts went live on November 15, 22, and 29, 2019 promoting the Open House
- A news story went live on www.York.ca November 15, 2019 promoting the Open House
- Newspaper advertisements (Notice of Open House) on November 14 and 21, 2019 in the following newspapers:
 - Markham Economist and Sun
 - Ming Pao

- Sing Tao
- Road signs: Decals with Open House locations and dates were installed on existing project road signs on November 15, 2019.

The communication material, including a copy of the newspaper notice and road sign locations are included in **Appendix B**.

Feedback Received at Milliken Mills Community Centre – November 25, 2019

Forty-five (45) members of the public, including one (1) City of Markham staff, attended the open house at Milliken Mills Community Centre. Eleven (11) comment forms were submitted at the open house and seventeen (17) comments were posted on roll plans. The following summary includes comment forms, comments posted on roll plans, and verbal discussions at the open house. The most common comments received at the meeting included:

- General agreement with the preferred solution to widen the road to six lanes for Transit/HOV lanes; however, some concerns that Transit/HOV lanes will not alleviate congestion due to the existing volume of single occupant vehicles;
- General agreement with the preferred solution for multi-use paths (MUP) on both sides for Active Transportation facilities;
- General agreement with the preferred solution for Hagerman Cemeteries to provide narrower MUPs on both sides and reduced lanes widths. One attendee noted concern regarding the safety of reduced lanes widths;
- General agreement with the preferred solution for St. Philip's and Bethesda Cemeteries to provide narrower MUPs on both sides and reduced lanes widths. One attendee noted concern regarding the safety of reduced lanes widths;
- General agreement with the preferred solution for the Miller Avenue Extension to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (k-1A);
- General agreement with the preferred solution for the 407ETR crossing to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. One attendee disagreed due to the maintenance costs of separate structures;
- General agreement with the **recommended** solution for the Viva rapidway transit vehicles to operate in the proposed Transit/HOV lanes shared with YRT vehicles, and provide MUPs and streetscaping. Some disagree with the **Ultimate Solution** as it will cause more disruption to local transit service operating in mixed use lanes for a relatively short span of road;
- General agreement with the **recommended** and **ultimate solution** for the GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive. One attendee disagreed with the underpass;
- General agreement with the **recommended** solution to provide an at-grade crossing and **ultimate solution** to undertake a future study to determine the

grade separation for the GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive. One attendee disagreed with these solutions;

- Concerns with inability to make u-turns during rush hour when intersections are congested;
- Concerns about bottleneck at Steeles Avenue as lane widening isn't carried south of Steeles Avenue;
- Concern about road surface quality between Denison Street and 14th Avenue;
- Concern about bus wait times for westbound left-turns at Highway 7 to Kennedy Road;
- Concerns about locations of bus bays; and,
- Concerns about signal timing.

Members of the Study Team recorded the comments received, including roll plan comments, at the Milliken Mills Community Centre. They are summarized by topic in **Table 2** along with the project team's responses.

Table 2: Summary of Public Comments Received at Milliken Mills Community Centre

Topic	Comments and Questions	Response
Road Widening	Find a way of diverting traffic to another road parallel to Kennedy Road.	To accommodate growth within the Region, road improvements are required along Kennedy Road and along other parallel road corridors including McCowan Road as identified in the Region's 2016 Transportation Master Plan.
	The road cannot be widened on both sides in all areas. Widening for Transit/HOV does not make sense as 90% of vehicles are solo drivers. This will not alleviate traffic.	The Kennedy Road corridor accommodates widening from Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive as shown on the recommended design plans. Some constrained areas will require reduced widths for lanes, MUPs and tree planting opportunities. The improvements are designed to improve all modes of transportation including transit, cycling and walking to move more people along the corridor.
	Median installation due to widening will block left-turns in/out of our property.	Median installation is recommended to minimize potential conflicts with left-

Topic	Comments and Questions	Response
		turning vehicles crossing 3 lanes of traffic at unsignalized locations. Access is generally restricted to right-in-right-out only with the provision of the centre median. U-turns are permitted at all signalized intersections.
Active Transportation	I like the idea of a path for pedestrians and cyclists because trying to accommodate cyclists on Kennedy Road would be dangerous.	Comment noted.
	Do not add bicycle lanes. Kennedy Road is a very busy street and few cyclists ride on Kennedy Road.	The recommended Active Transportation (AT) facility is multi-use paths on both sides, which are in the boulevard, and physically separated from vehicles. The intention of providing AT facility is to provide other modes of travel in the corridor instead of continue to provide for vehicular traffic only.
	What official design standards are used for AT facilities? How are protected intersection designs incorporated into the preferred design? Are standards from European countries followed on York Region and the City of Markham?	The York Region Pedestrian and Cycling Planning & Design Guidelines and Ontario Traffic Manual (OTM) Book 18 were used to develop the design of the recommended AT facilities in consultation with York Region Active Transportation staff and in consultation with the City of Markham. Protected intersection design treatments are described in the Region's guidelines. However, the ROW requirement may not fit all intersections. Protected intersection design treatments are identified on the recommended plan including provision of crosswalks and crossrides (pavement

Topic	Comments and Questions	Response
		markings to accommodate pedestrians and cyclists) and setbacks and orientation of the crossings. During the Detailed Design phase of the project, the Region will review protection intersection design treatments based on the current standards.
Hagerman Cemeteries and St. Philip's on-the-hill and Bethesda Cemeteries	Concerned with reduced lanes widths. Will the lane widths be sufficient to prevent accidents?	The reduced lane widths are within Canadian and Provincial standards for lane widths.
Miller Avenue Extension	Give priority to the Miller Avenue Extension, as it will help improve east-west traffic congestion, and it may even help the signal timing issue at Kennedy Road and 14 th Avenue.	Timing of improvements for the Miller Avenue Extension is under the jurisdiction of the City of Markham and funding within the next ten years has not been identified.
407ETR Crossing	Cyclist safety must be considered especially because of high speed large vehicles. Cyclists will only use this facility 4 months of the year. Separated AT bridges will depend on how much budget is allocated to this.	Comment noted.
	Disagree with separate AT bridges because separate structures will need to be maintained.	Comment noted.
	Please work with 407ETR to coordinate the timing of traffic signals. Traffic is heavily backed up in the morning southbound direction.	Consultation with 407ETR is on-going throughout the EA process. Signal timing of these ramp terminals will be discussed between York Region and 407ETR during the detailed design phase. In the interim, this comment is forwarded to 407ETR for review.
Viva Rapidway	Have they thought about this plan on Warden Avenue?	The alignment of the Viva rapidway along Kennedy Road was determined through the

Topic	Comments and Questions	Response
		Highway 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improvements EA Study approved in November 2006. A rapid transit corridor along Warden Avenue would be subject to a separate EA study in the future.
	Moving to the “ultimate vision” will cause more disruptions for a relatively short span of road, including: impacts to existing, functional sidewalks, buses crossing traffic lanes to go to the “ultimate” centre lanes, and loss of transit/HOV lanes.	The Ultimate Vision is designed such that the curbs from the Recommended remain the same, resulting in no change to the location of the proposed multi-use paths, utility poles and boulevard streetscaping. The Rapidway would be accommodated through reconstruction within the proposed median. This section of Kennedy Road was identified for the Rapidway to transition from Highway 7 to service downtown Markham. The alignment was identified through a separate study (Highway 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improvements EA Study, 2005).
	The ultimate vision for the Viva Rapidway will lead to Route 8 being in the general traffic lanes, resulting in significant delays. There are bus bays on the design plates which the Viva buses can use to pass the local buses. Convert the Transit/HOV lanes into bus only lanes in the future.	YRT will review transit routes for efficiencies and potential use within the median rapidway. YRRTC identified need for median rapidway for Viva as Ultimate Vision to protect for longer-term vision for transition from median rapidway to median light rail transit
GO Rail Crossing North	An underpass is not required. The idea of providing a separate signal is a better suggestion.	Since the Open House, Metrolinx has initiated the Stouffville Grade Separations

Topic	Comments and Questions	Response
of Clayton Drive	There are more cyclists between Kennedy Road and Denison Street.	Transit Project Assessment Process (TPAP) in 2020, please refer to the Metrolinx study for the final recommendation at this location.
Traffic Signals	Traffic signal at Kennedy Road and 14 th Avenue is the worst timed light in Markham.	Study team to forward comment to York Region Traffic and Safety group to review and modify traffic signal timing, if appropriate.
	Consider an advanced northbound left-turn signal at Kennedy Road and Duffield Drive.	Study team to forward request to York Region Traffic and Safety group to review traffic signal timing.
	The current “do not block entrance” sign at Kennedy Road and Denby Court is not lit and is difficult to see. Add a light for the sign.	Study team to forward request to York Region Traffic and Safety group to review and implement light, if appropriate.
Bus Bays	Move bus bays: southbound to north of Denison Street and northbound to south of Denison Street. Apartment tenants will have better access.	Farside bus bays were requested by York Region Transit (YRT) to prevent right-turn blockage at intersections. Residents of the apartment on the southeast side of Kennedy Road and Denison Street have access to a bus stop directly south of the building (north of Corvette Drive). Final locations of bus bays will be confirmed with YRT during detailed design.
	Poor existing east-west traffic flow at Kennedy Road and Denison Street. A new bus bay north of Denison Street will make traffic worse.	Farside bus bays were requested by York Region Transit to prevent right-turn blockage at intersections. Final locations of bus bay will be confirmed with YRT during detailed design.
	At Milliken Mills Secondary School, add a southbound bus bay beside the existing south access lanes (student access).	The southbound bus bay at Highglen Avenue/Driveway has been recommended to move to the south side of the intersection. To avoid impacts

Topic	Comments and Questions	Response
		to the parking lot, only a bus pad is proposed.
	Put a Viva stop at the northwest corner of Kennedy Road and Unionville Gate.	An additional Viva station is not identified at this location. Comment forward to YRRTC and YRT for their review and consideration.
Access	Installation of a median will block EMS access to Denby Court and Second Street North. A median break is needed.	The design will be updated to provide mountable median with breakaway poles to permit EMS access.
	Concerned we cannot turn left out of Denby Court. Recommend to have timing restrictions instead of a median.	Median is proposed to minimize conflict points with left turn vehicles at unsignalized intersections. U-turns are permitted at signalized intersections.
	Concerned about no northbound left-turn access into Unionville Montessori.	Based on discussion between York Region and Unionville Montessori, a full move access will be provided on Kennedy Road.
	Currently we can turn left into our house and with a median it will not be possible. A U-turn is only feasible if drivers obey the rules of the road and do not block the intersections. How can we be expected to U-turn at these intersections during rush hour (i.e. when we get home from work) when people crowd the entire intersection?	A median is proposed to minimize conflict points with left-turn vehicles at unsignalized locations. Signal timing phases will be reviewed to provide green time to permit advance left-turns and u-turns.
Other	14 th Avenue to Highway 7 is a bottleneck with 7-8 lights and limited space to expand the lanes (only possible with narrow lane widths). Reduce the speed limit for all areas.	Section from 14 th Avenue to Duffield Drive requires narrow lane widths to minimize potential impacts to cemetery lands. From Duffield Drive to Highway 7 standard lane widths are proposed. Study team to forward comment to York Region Traffic and Safety group to review speed limit.

Topic	Comments and Questions	Response
	Concerned that it will create a bottleneck at Steeles Avenue for the traffic going south, as Toronto is not planning to widen the road south of Steeles Avenue. It should ease the traffic going north. I am very surprised you did not recommend underpasses for the GO train like on Steeles Avenue. Safety is a key justification.	Since the Open House, Metrolinx has initiated the Stouffville Grade Separations Transit Project Assessment Process (TPAP) in 2020, please refer to the Metrolinx study for the final recommendation at this location.
	Given the timeline for 14 th Avenue to Highway 7 is 2023, can Denison Street to 14 th Avenue get resurfaced in the meantime?	Resurfacing of Kennedy Road between Denison Street to 14 th Avenue is currently not identified in the next few years. York Region to discuss with Asset Management team to review the opportunity to resurface this section of Kennedy Road.
	Add a bus only left-turn lane on Highway 7 westbound to Kennedy Road southbound for VIVA, Route 304, and GO buses. They wait so long before they can turn left.	Future median rapidway is proposed on Highway 7 to transition to Kennedy Road.
	On 14 th Avenue at the GO crossing of the same GO track, the track was put under the road. This was not shown as an option for the grading of the GO track crossing at Kennedy Road. Why?	<p>Since the Open House, Metrolinx has initiated the Stouffville Grade Separations Transit Project Assessment Process (TPAP) in 2020, please refer to the Metrolinx study for the final recommendation at this location.</p> <p>For the railway crossing north of Highway 7, lowering of the track is not feasible due to proximity to Rouge River as there isn't sufficient clearance and avoid flooding at certain storm events.</p>
	Is there potential for a parkette at the northwest corner of Kennedy Road and Birchview Lane?	The lands north of the intersection on the west side are owned by the City of Markham. Discussion of

Topic	Comments and Questions	Response
		parkette will be forwarded to City of Markham for consideration and potentially incorporated into detailed design in the future.
	North of 16 th Avenue on the west side, the existing building to the centre line of the road is 18m. There is not enough space to accommodate a 3.0m MUP.	Existing Heritage Building located north of 16 th Avenue on the west side is identified for relocation to accommodate the proposed improvements. No additional buildings will be impacted at this location as a result of the proposed design.

Comment sheets received at Milliken Mills Community Centre and a copy of the roll plan comments are provided in **Appendix C**.

Feedback Received at The Pan Am Centre – December 2, 2019

Thirty-nine (39) members of the public attended the open house at The Pan Am Centre, including one (1) City of Markham Staff, one (1) City of Markham Councilor, and the Deputy Mayor of the City of Markham. Fifteen (15) comment forms were submitted at the open house and fifteen (15) comments were posted on roll plans. The comment summary includes comment forms, comments posted on roll plans, and verbal discussions at the open house. Some of the most common comments received at the meeting included the following:

- Some disagreement with the preferred solution to widen the road to six lanes for Transit/HOV lanes, with suggestions to maintain four lanes but convert the existing curb lanes to Transit/HOV lanes;
- Some disagreement with the preferred solution for multi-use paths (MUP) on both sides for Active Transportation facilities;
- General agreement with the preferred solution for Hagerman Cemeteries to provide narrower MUPs on both sides and reduced lanes widths. Some attendees suggested to relocate the cemeteries;
- General agreement with the preferred solution for St. Philip's and Bethesda Cemeteries to provide narrower MUPs on both sides and reduced lanes widths. Some attendees suggested to relocate the cemeteries;
- General agreement with the preferred solution for the Miller Avenue Extension to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (k-1A);
- General agreement with the preferred solution for the 407ETR crossing to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing;

- General agreement with the **recommended** solution to shift the Viva rapidway to share the proposed transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide MUPs and streetscaping. General agreement with the **Ultimate vision** to provide a median Viva rapidway;
- General agreement with the **recommended** and **ultimate solution** for the GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive. One attendee disagrees with the underpass;
- General agreement with the **recommended** solution to provide an at-grade crossing and **ultimate solution** to undertake a future study to determine the grade separation for the GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive;
- Concern with aesthetics of Markham;
- Concern with transit fares;
- Concern about development and traffic congestion at Kennedy Road & 16th Avenue; and,
- Concern about vibration and noise.

Members of the Study Team recorded the comments received, including roll plan comments, at The Pan Am Centre. They are summarized by topic in **Table 3** along with the project team's responses.

Table 3: Summary of Public Comments Received at Angus Glen Community Centre

Topic	Comments and Questions	Response
Road Widening	Due to pollution issues, fossil fuels, environmental concerns, a 6-lane highway only encourages more car and truck use. Keep 4-lanes and use the curb lanes as Transit/HOV lanes. It will force people to take public transportation and help the environment.	The proposed design is to widen Kennedy Road from 4 to 6 lanes for Transit/HOV in order to accommodate future population and employment growth. The additional Transit/HOV lane will allow for improvements to the efficiency and reliability of transit service up to every 15 minutes in the future.
	The road should not be widened to 6-lanes. Keep 4-lanes and use the curb lanes for transit/HOV. Increase service to every 15 minutes, then people will use transit.	Widening of Kennedy to provide Transit/HOV was recommended in the 2016 Transportation Master Plan and approved by Council.
	Transit/HOV lanes are not needed.	Transit/HOV lanes are required to support Kennedy Road as a Frequent Transit Network corridor to allow for transit up to every 15 minutes. YRT is unable to provide this

Topic	Comments and Questions	Response
		increased level of service within the general purpose lanes with mixed traffic.
	The widening should be 36m through the entire corridor.	<p>The Region's Official Plan (OP) right-of-way for Kennedy Road identifies 43m from Steeles Avenue to 407ETR, and from Highway 7 to Major Mackenzie Drive. The Region's OP right-of-way from 407ETR to Highway 7 is identified as 45m.</p> <p>36m corridor will limit the available space to provide various facilities/features in the corridor such as MUP, utility and light poles, and tree planting.</p>
	The Transit/HOV lane should be converted to a Bus Only lane.	<p>The Transit/HOV lanes are currently approved by Council when roads are widened to 6 lanes.</p> <p>A bus-only lane is identified between Highway 7 and YMCA Boulevard for the future rapidway as per a separate EA study completed by YRRTC.</p>
Active Transportation	Allow cyclists on the sidewalk. The road is too dangerous.	Cyclists are not recommended to travel on the road but within the proposed boulevard MUPs.
	<p>For almost the same amount of space, you can have a standard sidewalk and a dedicated off-road bike lane.</p> <p>Fully protected bike lanes should be provided because they do not cost much more and do not take up much more space than an MUP.</p>	<p>There are constrained areas along the corridor where the MUP is narrowed to a minimum width that does not provide adequate space for separate sidewalks and bike paths. To maintain consistency of the pedestrian and cyclist facilities throughout the corridor, an MUP on both sides is proposed.</p>

Topic	Comments and Questions	Response
	Provide MUP on one side only. The volumes isn't there to provide it on both sides. There is not enough current use to justify an MUP.	An MUP is proposed on both sides to provide more convenient connections and access to adjacent land uses for pedestrians and cyclists. The planned facilities are to accommodate future population and employment demands.
	There's too much focus on pedestrians, cyclists, and mass transit, all of which are minor stakeholders. Encourage pedestrians and cyclists to areas slightly east and west of Kennedy Road between 16 th Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr. The Priority for this section is cars.	The purpose of providing active transportation facilities and better, more frequent transit is to encourage users to choose other modes of transportation and shift mobility behaviours. Future improvements for other travel modes are also planned for 16 th Avenue and McCowan Road.
Hagerman Cemeteries	The cemeteries should be relocated.	Relocating the cemeteries was considered but not carried forward due to the significant impacts of this option.
St. Philip's and Bethesda Cemeteries	The cemeteries should be relocated. The top of the hill is most dangerous for pedestrians and cyclists.	Relocating the cemeteries was considered but not carried forward due to the significant impacts of this option.
	Reduced lanes widths will be a problem in the long-term because of significant increasing traffic flow into the Kylemore townhome developments on the north-west segment of Kennedy Road & 16 th Ave. This must not be allowed because the residential roads and laneways of this development cannot accommodate such increase in traffic.	In order to accommodate the road widening and active transportation users for the corridor while not impacting cemeteries, reduced lane widths area necessary. The reduced lane widths are within Canadian and Provincial standards for lane widths.
Miller Avenue Extension	Design the "T" intersection with Duffield Drive to accommodate the future road design (c/w AT) of the Miller Avenue extension.	The Duffield Drive and Kennedy Road intersection will include crossrides and crosswalks as required and will be confirmed during Detailed Design. The Miller

Topic	Comments and Questions	Response
		Avenue Extension to Duffield Drive will be undertaken by the City of Markham.
	Make the northbound left-turn at Duffield Drive a dedicated left-turn phase.	Based on the traffic analysis, future northbound left-turn queues at Duffield Drive do not warrant a dedicated left-turn phase at this time. Study team to forward request to York Region Traffic and Safety group to continue to monitor left-turn volumes at this intersection.
407ETR Crossing	Separate bridges is safer for all.	Comment noted.
	This is very much preferred over the dangerous crossing at the ramps to the 404 at Highway 7.	Comment noted.
	Provide a bridge on one side only.	Comment noted and carried forward to detailed design in consultation with 407ETR, MTO, City of Markham and York Region.
	There are high instances of collisions at the 407ETR off-ramp intersection especially during rain/freezing rain conditions.	Study team to forward comments to 407ETR to review and address as appropriate.
	Provide separate bridges over the on-ramps.	The Recommended Design at the 407ETR is for AT bridges over the 407ETR only at this time. However based on consultation with 407ETR and feedback from the Open House, an Ultimate Vision has been identified to include separate AT bridges over the on-ramps and will be reviewed and confirmed during Detailed Design in consultation with 407ETR, MTO, City of Markham and York Region.
GO Rail Crossing North of Austin Drive	Future consideration should be limited to below ground separation to maintain resident privacy for the homes along Kennedy Road.	Comment noted and carried forward for the future study. An Underpass design is a consideration for the Future

Topic	Comments and Questions	Response
		Grade Separation study at this location.
	The ultimate vision is the preferred solution in the long-term.	Comment noted.
Other	It would be easier for us to move to a different City.	Comment noted.
	Do an environmental assessment to determine the air quality and dangerous toxins in the air due to greater use of cars and trucks.	An Air Quality Impact Assessment was conducted and concluded that the proposed widening will result in a small increase in predicted concentrations of all indicator compounds, with the exception of nitrogen dioxide, which shows a decrease. All relevant compounds are below the Project Criteria.
	Implement electric buses (NFI makes them).	Study team to forward request to York Region Transit.
	Use tree noise barriers as an opportunity to bring artists together. It's time to research infrastructure in other countries, which promote and support electric buses.	Noise barriers will be implemented in accordance with the Region's Noise By-Law, SOP and Design Standards.
	Transit fare should be free for high school students and seniors after 9:30am (everyday). There should be free buses to buses to Metrolinx and TTC stations.	Study team to forward request to York Region Transit.
	A lot of J-walking occurs from the Casa Del Sol residence to/from the Denison Centre across the street.	Study team to forward concern to YR traffic operations team and Markham.
	Add a southbound left at Carlton Road.	The proposed design includes a dedicated southbound left turn at Carlton Road.
	Review location for noise barrier. Vibration concerns from previous watermain construction. More vibration concerns with increased traffic and increase train traffic.	Comment noted. The study includes a Noise Impact Assessment which will identify impacts and mitigation measures (noise barriers) as required to address the projects impacts. The report

Topic	Comments and Questions	Response
		will be provided in the Environmental Study Report and available for public review.
	Install a traffic light at Angus Glen Boulevard to permit northbound left access.	Signal warrant analyses were completed for all unsignalized intersections. Future traffic volumes at Kennedy Road and Angus Glen Boulevard did not warrant signalization.
	Implement Dutch protected intersections for all intersections.	Protected intersection design (including crossrides and crosswalks) has been identified at all signalized intersections which will be reviewed and confirmed during Detailed Design in consultation with YR Active Transportation and in accordance with applicable YR intersection design standards / guidelines.
	There are long lines along Kennedy Road turning left into the Unionville Montessori Private School parking lot.	Comment noted. Study team to forward concern to traffic operations for review and address, if appropriate.
	At the intersection of Kennedy Road and 16 th Avenue, there is no need for a northbound advanced left-turn. However, a southbound left advanced green is needed.	Study team to forward request to traffic operations team.

Comment sheets received at The Pan Am Centre and a copy of the roll plan comments are provided in **Appendix D**.

Feedback Received through Online Survey

Five (5) members of the public provided comments through the online survey, and three (3) members of the public submitted comments to the Region through Roads.ca during the commenting period (November 25, 2019 to December 28, 2019). The most common comments received include:

- Disagreement with widening for Transit/HOV lanes;
- Preference for separated sidewalks and cycle tracks instead of MUPs;

- General agreement with separate bridges over the 407ETR; however, there are concerns about conflict points at the on-ramps;
- Disagreement with the ultimate vision for the Viva Rapidway;
- General agreement with the **recommended** and **ultimate solution** for the GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive. One attendee disagrees with the underpass;
- General agreement with the **recommended** solution to provide an at-grade crossing and **ultimate solution** to undertake a future study to determine the grade separation for the GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive;
- Concerns about noise; and
- Concerns about the centre median.

The key comments received online are summarized by topic in **Table 4** along with the project team's responses.

Table 4: Summary of Public Comments Received from Online Surveys

Topic	Comments and Questions	Responses
Widening	There is concern that HOV lanes will not make a huge impact considering the York Downs development at 16th Avenue & Kennedy Road. What other construction projects will happen to the north of 16th Avenue & Kennedy Road that will greatly impact use of Kennedy Road in the future?	A traffic assessment was completed as part of the EA study that analyzed projected traffic volumes to the year 2041, which accounted for future population and employment growth. The proposed curb lane is identified for Transit/HOV not just HOV. The Transit/HOV lane will provide for improvements and efficiencies to transit service which is planned for up to every 15 minutes on Kennedy Road as a corridor of the Frequent Transit Network. Please review the York Region 2041 Road Network Map for identified improvements to other road corridors.
	See 3 links about HOV and HOT lanes: https://www.theglobeandmail.com/news/national/hov-lanes-a-green-disguise/article733361/	Comment noted. The additional Transit/HOV lane will provide for improvements and efficiencies to transit service which is planned for up to every 15 minutes on Kennedy Road as a

Topic	Comments and Questions	Responses
	<p>https://scholar.colorado.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2319&context=honr_theses</p> <p>https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5babe62b29f2cc0c9b5103a5/t/5bc36756ec212d9111586e4c/1539532634445/Trojan+HOVs.pdf</p> <p>Due to Induced Demand, road widening will create more congestion, air pollution and traffic deaths, etc.</p> <p>Construction south of highway 7 should be made a priority.</p>	<p>corridor of the Frequent Transit Network.</p> <p>Construction for the section of Kennedy Road between 14th Avenue to Highway 7 is planned to commence in 2023 as per the Region's 2020 10-Year Construction Program. Council will review and update the 10 Year Construction Program annually.</p>
Active Transportation	<p>Is there enough pedestrian / cycling traffic north of highway 7 to justify this all the way to Major Mackenzie Drive? I use the road regularly and don't see that many cyclists especially in winter months.</p>	<p>Presently there are no dedicated cycling facilities along Kennedy Road. The purpose of providing active transportation facilities is to encourage users to choose other modes of transportation and shift mobility behaviours.</p>
	<p>There is concern that this will not always be possible on both sides of the road.</p>	<p>MUPs are proposed on both sides of the road. In constrained sections of the corridor, a narrower path will be provided to maintain a consistent facility on both sides for pedestrians and cyclists.</p>
	<p>While the inclusion of active transportation facilities is very much welcomed, the design concept being proposed simply moves the conflict between cyclists and motorists to a</p>	<p>Separated cycling facilities along Kennedy Road cannot fit continuously along the corridor due to the number of constrained</p>

Topic	Comments and Questions	Responses
	<p>conflict between cyclists and pedestrians. Any time that transportation methods of different speeds interact, there is conflict that can result in safety issues. Putting these two mismatched transportation modes together can also have the unintended consequence of pushing cyclists back onto the road in order to avoid pedestrian conflict. The better alternative is to have separate facilities for all three transportation modes. Is there no cost effective way of having separation?</p>	<p>sections along the corridor. At these constrained sections, a narrow path is recommended on both sides. MUP on both sides is proposed throughout the entire corridor to maintain consistency in facility type to avoid changing back and forth between facility types.</p>
	<p>Separation of cyclists and pedestrians has been proven safer world-wide. Speed difference is not inviting for both users.</p>	<p>See response above.</p>
	<p>Widening the road will reduce space between pedestrians and vehicles. The current layout keeps pedestrians safely away from traffic with enough space for pedestrians and cyclists to use simultaneously.</p>	<p>A utility/landscape buffer between the road and MUP is provided along the corridor to provide separation from vehicles. Except at constrained locations where the MUP will be adjacent to the road in short segments.</p> <p>The existing sidewalk accommodates pedestrians only. The minimum width of a sidewalk is 1.5m, but is not wide enough for cyclists to use in tandem. A 3.0m MUP is recommended to provide sufficient space for pedestrians and cyclists to share and to separate cyclists from vehicles.</p>
<p>Hagerman Cemeteries and St. Philip's on-the-hill and</p>	<p>We understand and recognize that certain constraints will require specific limited areas to have compromised, shared facilities. This alternative makes sense in this case.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>

Topic	Comments and Questions	Responses
Bethesda Cemeteries	Narrower lane widths should be considered all the way, since it is safer for vulnerable road users, since they are traffic calming.	Narrower lane widths along the entire corridor are not recommended due to road operations and maintenance, such as snow removal. Lane widths throughout the corridor are identified based on York Region's Towards Great Regional Streets Guideline for six lane roads. Standard lane widths throughout the corridor are narrower than the existing lane widths and will promote traffic calming. Narrower lane widths adjacent to the cemeteries are proposed in these constrained locations only for short segments.
407ETR	There is still a problem at the crossing of the on-ramps.	The Recommended Design at the 407ETR is for AT bridges over the 407ETR only. However based on consultation with 407ETR and feedback from the Open House, an Ultimate Vision has been identified to include separate AT bridges over the on-ramps and will be reviewed and confirmed during Detailed Design in consultation with 407ETR, MTO, City of Markham and York Region.
	I agree with the idea of pedestrian lanes near the 407ETR ramps. There is a considerable amount of foot traffic near the East and West 407 ramps and I think it would be beneficial for the pedestrians that frequent those crossing to have more safety measures put in place.	Comment noted. See above response.
Viva Rapidway	We agree with the general vision with respect to the Viva Rapidway,	Separated cycling facilities along Kennedy Road is not

Topic	Comments and Questions	Responses
	but would prefer to see separated cycling and pedestrian facilities to eliminate the potential for conflict between these two users who travel at markedly different speeds.	recommended due to the number of constrained sections along the corridor. At these constrained sections, a narrow path is recommended on both sides. Therefore, an MUP on both sides is proposed throughout the entire corridor to maintain consistency in facility type to avoid changing back and forth between facility types.
	Generally agree with curb lanes for buses for now, but there is need to have cycle tracks, separated from pedestrians.	See response above.
	I do not agree with the ultimate vision to shift the Viva buses to dedicated centre lanes.	Comment noted.
GO Rail Crossing north of Clayton Drive	Given this proposal, why has the city just spent money re-doing the pathways on either side in the same position they were in? Could they not have been relocated so it only needed doing once?	Sidewalks at this crossing were improved to better delineate the pedestrian pathway and included pedestrian gates to prevent pedestrians from crossing the tracks when there is an oncoming train as per the City's Whistle Cessation policy. The recommendations from this EA study were not complete at the time of this work. It is also noted that based on the Region's current 2020 10-Year Construction Program, this section of Kennedy Road is not identified for construction within the next 10 years.
	Better to have grade separation from the beginning. Frequency of trains increasing.	Since the Open House, Metrolinx has initiated the Stouffville Grade Separations Transit Project Assessment Process

Topic	Comments and Questions	Responses
		(TPAP) in 2020, please refer to the Metrolinx study for the final recommendation at this location.
GO Rail Crossing north of Austin Drive	This will be a challenge due to the short distance between the bridge, Austin Drive and the GO rail line.	Comment noted. A future study is recommended to determine the feasibility of a grade separation at this location. Access to Austin Drive will be incorporated into the future grade separation options.
	Better to have grade separation from the beginning. Frequency trains and safer for a 6 lanes road.	Comment noted. A grade separation is the ultimate vision and is subject to funding. Construction at this segment is not planned within the Region's current 2020 10 year Construction Program.
	I would like to hear more about these plans and would of course be against them if they included the destruction of the land and introduction of multi-use paths surrounding Kennedy road.	Comment noted. Contact will be added when the grade separation study is initiated at this location.
Other	On the face of it the study seems short sighted. The rapid population growth in the area that is planned will overwhelm any plans being proposed and additional capacity needs to be considered.	The purpose of providing Transit/HOV lanes and active transportation facilities is to encourage users to choose other modes of transportation and shift mobility behaviours. The proposed design maintains current vehicle capacity, while providing additional capacity for more buses and high occupancy vehicles.
	A 6 lane road is a Fat road and not a real Complete Street. https://www.strongtowns.org/journal/2016/6/6/narrow-streets-do-more-with-less	The Dutch junction design would not be feasible because the majority of cross-roads do not provide cycling facilities currently.

Topic	Comments and Questions	Responses
	<p>We also need Protected Intersections: http://www.protectedintersection.com/</p> <p>The Dutch comments on Nick Falbo's video: https://bicycledutch.wordpress.com/2014/02/23/junction-design-in-the-netherlands/</p> <p>There is concern regarding the centre median that blocks access to Denby Court. There is an aging number of residents and an increase of EMS vehicles on the street in the last few years. If there is a centre median, residents and EMS vehicles are unable to make a northbound left-turn onto the street and cannot make an eastbound left turn onto Kennedy Road. Having to make a u-turn at the nearest signalized intersection is illogical.</p> <p>The following alternatives should be considered:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Reduced lane width, no centre median, with a narrow (1metre) multi use path on both sides (not 3 metres as proposed) as this would still accommodate a left turn lane northbound on Kennedy- there is plenty of room on either side of Kennedy Rd to do this. 2. No center median at Denby Court/Second St and Kennedy Rd intersection and leave left turn lane. 3. Partial median to allow left turns into Denby Court from northbound Kennedy Rd and leave left turn lane. 	<p>Intersections are proposed to have crossrides and crosswalks and will be reviewed in Detailed Design in consultation with York Region Active Transportation staff and current guidelines for intersection treatment for pedestrians and cyclists.</p> <p>The study team is reviewing and will revise the design to reflect a mountable curb with breakaway poles to permit EMS access at this location. During Detailed Design the Region will consult with EMS throughout the corridor to review and confirm where additional access is needed to provide similar treatment. A meeting will be scheduled with Denby Court residents to discuss the recommendations, if necessary.</p>

Topic	Comments and Questions	Responses
	<p>4. Lowered median that can be driven over to allow left turns into Denby Court from northbound Kennedy Rd and leave left turn lane</p> <p>I request a meeting with Denby Court residents and York Region to discuss these changes before any decisions are made.</p>	
	<p>Widening the road will create more noise.</p>	<p>A Noise Assessment is being undertaken as part of this study to assess impacts of the proposed improvements. Mitigation measures (noise barriers) will be recommended as required and in conformance with York Regions' Noise Policy and SOP.</p>
	<p>The removal of trees is opposed.</p>	<p>Tree removals may be necessary based on the identified improvements. Tree protection plans and a compensation strategy to mitigate for tree removals will be determined in consultation with the Toronto and Region Conservation Authority (TRCA) during Detailed Design.</p> <p>Where feasible, tree plantings within the boulevards and select locations within the median are identified.</p>
	<p>There is concern with the centre median as it is unnecessary, unsafe, and illogical. Do not build a median that forces us to drive needlessly around Unionville. This will add aggravation and nuisance to our daily lives and will impact our ability</p>	<p>The raised centre median prevents left-turning vehicles from crossing three lanes of traffic at unsignalized locations. Vehicles are permitted to</p>

Topic	Comments and Questions	Responses
	to come and go as we please with our daily errands.	making u-turns at signalized intersections.
	There is concern with buses idling at bus stops near homes.	Homes along Kennedy Road are sufficiently setback from the right-of-way.

A copy survey responses and emailed comments are summarized in **Appendix E**.

Feedback Received through Social Media

No direct comments relating specifically to the Kennedy Road study were submitted through social media (i.e., Twitter, Facebook) during the commenting period.

Appendix A

Open House Display Boards

Kennedy Road

**Class Environmental Assessment Study
from Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive**

Welcome Open House Two

November 25, 2019


December 2, 2019

Please sign in and join our mailing list




Study Area, Study Objectives and Municipal Class EA Process

Description of Project

York Region is undertaking a transportation Environmental Assessment (EA) Study for improvements to **Kennedy Road from Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive** in the City of Markham.




Objectives

-  Accommodate current and future transportation needs of pedestrians, cyclists, transit users and motorists
-  Supplement the findings of the 2016 York Region Transportation Master Plan (YR-TMP)
-  Adhere to the principles of York Region's Design Guidelines

Environmental Assessment (EA) Study

An EA study is a planning process for municipal infrastructure, legislated by the Ontario Environmental Assessment Act.

This EA study is being conducted as a Schedule 'C' project under the Municipal Class EA document (October 2000, as amended in 2007, 2011 and 2015).



Purpose of Open House Two and Preferred Solution


Purpose and Framework of Open House Two

- ❖ Share key feedback received so far from the public and other agencies
- ❖ Present the design approach and the evaluation of alternatives for the road design, pedestrian and cyclist (active transportation) facilities and areas of special consideration
- ❖ Present the Recommended Plan and Preliminary Design
- ❖ Obtain your input and answer any questions you may have about the project
- ❖ Discuss next steps

Station 1 - Background <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Study Area and Objectives ▶ Class Environmental Assessment Process ▶ What We've Heard So Far 	Station 3 – Areas of Special Consideration <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Hagerman Cemeteries Alternatives and Evaluation ▶ St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries Alternatives and Evaluation ▶ CN Rail Crossing & Miller Avenue Extension Alternatives and Evaluation ▶ 407ETR Crossing Alternatives and Evaluation ▶ Viva Rapidway Alternatives and Evaluation 	Station 3 – Areas of Special Consideration <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Stouffville GO Rail Crossing North of Clayton Drive Alternatives and Evaluation ▶ Stouffville GO Rail Crossing North of Austin Drive Alternatives and Evaluation ▶ Watercourse Crossing at Rouge River
Station 2 – Design Approach <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ Evaluation Criteria ▶ Road Widening Alternatives and Evaluation ▶ Active Transportation (AT) Alternatives and Evaluation 		Station 4 – Next Steps <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▶ We want your feedback!

Summary of Preferred Solution from Open House One

Widen to six lanes for Transit / HOV is identified as the preferred solution due to its alignment with YR-TMP objectives.



Proposed Six Lane Widening



Frequent Transit Network



Separated Cycling Facilities

What We've Heard so Far

Community Outreach

-  Direct mail notices
-  Newspaper notices
-  Road signs
-  Open Houses
-  Project website
-  York Region social media (Facebook and Twitter)
-  Stakeholder Group (SHG)
-  Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)

Public Open House One

-  Signal timing needs improvement
-  Concerns about congestion
-  Concerns about noise level
-  Concerns about costs related to construction
-  Better connections to Unionville GO Station
-  Request to add signage along AT facilities

Online Comments













- General support for HOV lanes
- Increase public transit service
- Concerns about pedestrian safety
- Concerns about widening at the cemeteries

Stakeholder Group

- Plan for a cycle track and sidewalk but implement a multi-use path in the interim
- Install a cycling facility on the east side of Kennedy Road to better serve schools
- Preference to maintain the centre-left turn lane for residents and businesses on the east side of Kennedy Road
- Need for a physical barrier separation from buses at the Hagerman Cemeteries location due to the lack of separation between vehicular traffic and pedestrians/cyclists

Key Technical Studies and Evaluation Criteria

Key Technical Studies to inform the evaluations and impact assessments:

 Natural Heritage Impact Assessment	 Geotechnical and Pavement Assessment	 Cultural Heritage Resource Assessment	 Noise Impact Assessment	 Hydrogeological Assessment
 Drainage and Stormwater Management Report	 Structural Assessment	 Archaeological Assessment	 Contamination Study Overview	 Fluvial Geomorphological Assessment
 Air Quality Impact Assessment	 Heritage Impact Assessment			

Evaluation Criteria

The Alternative Designs were evaluated based on the following criteria:


 Transportation Service <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Improve public transit service• Reduce traffic congestion and delays• Create a pedestrian and cyclist-friendly environment• Improve safety for all travel modes• Improve mode choice	 Infrastructure Design <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Minimize utility relocation• Minimize disruption due to construction• Minimize constructability complexity
 Social Environment <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Minimize impacts on existing residential, institutional and recreational dwellings / properties• Improve access to residential areas, institutional and recreational facilities• Mitigate traffic on local streets• Minimize traffic noise• Preserve archaeological and cultural heritage features• Minimize impacts to cemeteries and burial grounds• Improve visual aesthetics• Improve community character	 Economic Environment and Cost Effectiveness <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Accommodate planned development and growth• Minimize impacts on business properties• Improve access to businesses and key employment areas• Maximize construction value• Minimize property requirements• Minimize operating costs
	 Natural Environment <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Protect designated natural areas• Protect vegetation• Protect wildlife• Protect aquatic habitat• Surface water and ground water management• Improve air quality• Minimizes effects on climate change

Road Widening Design Approach



Design Approach and Typical Cross-Sections

Based on available right-of-way (ROW), two typical cross-sections were developed. Both options provide for the recommended six lane widening for Transit / HOV lanes, continuous facilities for pedestrians and cyclists, and streetscaping.

Typical 36m Cross-Section




Typical 43m Cross-Section



Road Widening Alternatives

Alternative 1: Widening About the Centreline

Provide additional lanes on both sides of the street to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road



Alternative 2: Widening to the West

Shift road centreline so additional lanes and associated impacts occur on the west side of Kennedy Road

Alternative 3: Widening to the East

Shift road centreline so additional lanes and associated impacts occur on the east side of Kennedy Road

Recommendations

Widening about the Centreline is preferred because:

- It balances impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road and minimizes impacts at existing structures and watercourses
- Minimizes impacts to area properties and need for residential displacement

Active Transportation (AT) Facilities

Active Transportation Alternatives

The following alternatives were considered to determine how best to accommodate pedestrians and cyclists.




Alternative 1: Cycle Tracks and Sidewalks, Both Sides



Alternative 2: Multi-Use Paths, Both Sides



Alternative 3: Multi-Use Path One Side, Sidewalk One Side



Evaluation and Recommendations


Criteria	Alternative 1: Cycle Tracks and Sidewalks, Both Sides	Alternative 2: Multi-Use Paths, Both Sides	Alternative 3: Multi-Use Path One Side, Sidewalk One Side
Transportation Service	Less Preferred	Most Preferred	Least Preferred
Natural Environment	Less Preferred	Less Preferred	Most Preferred
Social Environment	Most Preferred	Most Preferred	Least Preferred
Infrastructure Design	Less Preferred	Less Preferred	Most Preferred
Economic Environment and Cost Effectiveness	Least Preferred	Less Preferred	Most Preferred
Recommendation		Recommended	

Multi-Use Paths, Both Sides is the preferred Solution because:

- It improves the pedestrian and cyclist environment while minimizing operational costs
- It fits within the available right-of-way and provides for continuous and uniform facilities through constrained areas and throughout the corridor

GO Rail Crossing North of Clayton Drive

Design Considerations



Safety concerns for pedestrians and cyclists, and low pedestrian and cycling level of service



Access to adjacent land use



Delays to vehicles as they are required to stop for trains to cross – safety concerns for motorists due to conflicts with crossing trains




Increased train frequency due to GO expansion service



GO Expansion – Stouffville GO Corridor

All-day, two-way rail services between Union and Unionville Stations in the medium to long-term

- Distance to Clayton Drive intersection
- Market Village Access
- Proximity between Rail Crossing and residential homes
- Proximity between Rail Crossing and Wetland
- Hollywood Plaza access
- Distance to Gorvette Road intersection




GO Rail Crossing North of Clayton Drive

GO Rail Crossing Alternatives

These alternatives considered how to best accommodate the road widening, and pedestrians and cyclists at the GO Rail Crossing north of Clayton Drive:

Alternative 1: At-Grade Crossing with AT Improvements




✓
(Recommended)



Existing at-grade Kennedy Road crossing north of Clayton Drive

Alternative 2: Underpass with AT Improvements




✓
(Ultimate Vision)



Underpass example on Major Mackenzie Drive east of Keele Street

Alternative 3: Overpass with AT Improvements



Overpass example on Bayview Avenue south of Highway 401

GO Rail Crossing North of Clayton Drive

GO Rail Crossing Evaluation and Recommendation

Criteria	Alternative 1: At-grade crossing with AT improvements	Alternative 2: Underpass with AT improvements	Alternative 3: Overpass with AT improvements
Transportation Service	Least Preferred	Most Preferred	Less Preferred
Natural Environment	Less Preferred	Least Preferred	Most Preferred
Social Environment	Less Preferred	Most Preferred	Least Preferred
Infrastructure Design	Most Preferred	Least Preferred	Less Preferred
Economic Environment and Cost Effectiveness	Most Preferred	Less Preferred	Least Preferred
Recommendation	Recommended	ULTIMATE VISION	

Overpass with AT improvements is not recommended because:

- It results in increased travel distances for pedestrians and cyclists and does not maintain existing community connections to adjacent neighbourhoods
- It results in permanent closure of existing accesses to Market Village and Hollywood Plaza as these accesses would become too steep to remain open to meet the raised Kennedy Road


At-Grade Crossing with AT improvements is Recommended because:

- It provides improved pedestrian and cyclist facilities and dedicated Transit/HOV lanes until such time increase GO Train Service results in substantial vehicle queuing and increased potential for cyclist and pedestrian crossing conflicts

Underpass with AT improvements is the ULTIMATE VISION because:

- It eliminates vehicle queues from increased GO Train service
- It removes rail conflicts with pedestrians and cyclists
- Although the underpass is more costly due to the need for a pumping station, it allows for access to be maintained to adjacent land uses

Underpass with AT Improvements



Underpass example on Major Mackenzie Drive east of Keele Street

CN Rail Crossing & Miller Avenue Extension

Design Considerations



Replacement of CN structure is required to accommodate pedestrian and cyclist facilities for Kennedy Road and provides an opportunity to revisit the 2013 Markham EA Alignment of Miller Avenue Extension



Safety concerns for pedestrians and cyclists



- Proximity to 407 ETR Ramp
- CN Rail Overpass
- Proximity to proposed Miller Avenue Extension
- Proximity to commercial uses
- Proximity to residential area
- Distance to Duffield Drive intersection



Miller Avenue Extension Background


The City of Markham completed the Miller Avenue Extension EA study in 2013 and recommended Miller Avenue to connect to Kennedy Road through Duffield Drive intersection, requiring a crossing under CN Rail. The recommended alignment for Miller Avenue Extension is "Preferred Alternative K-1A."

This Kennedy Road EA study recommends replacing the existing CN Rail Overpass Bridge to accommodate Kennedy Road improvements. Since the CN Rail Overpass structure will be replaced, the recommended road alignment for the Miller Avenue Extension was revisited as part of the Kennedy Road EA to reassess if the Preferred Alternative K-1A was still recommended.


Miller Avenue Extension Alternatives

The below alternatives built off the City's 2013 EA recommendations to reassess how to best extend Miller Avenue with consideration of opportunities that arise from a new CN Rail Overpass bridge over Kennedy Road:


Alternative 1: Maintain Markham EA Preferred Alignment K-1A




Alternative 3: Buttonhook with New Bridge



Alternative 2: Loop with Bridge Extension



Alternative 4: Markham EA Option K-2



CN Rail Crossing & Miller Avenue Extension


Miller Avenue Extension Evaluation and Recommendations

Criteria	Alternative 1: Maintain Markham EA Preferred Alignment K-1A	Alternative 2: Loop with Bridge Extension	Alternative 3: Buttonhook with New Bridge	Alternative 4: Markham EA Option K-2
Transportation Service	Most Preferred	Most Preferred	Less Preferred	Least Preferred
Natural Environment	Most Preferred	Less Preferred	Least Preferred	Less Preferred
Social Environment	Most Preferred	Most Preferred	Less Preferred	Most Preferred
Infrastructure Design	Less Preferred	Less Preferred	Least Preferred	Most Preferred
Economic Environment and Cost Effectiveness	Less Preferred	Least Preferred	Least Preferred	Most Preferred
Recommendation	Recommended			


Maintain the Markham EA Preferred Alignment K-1A is recommended because :

- Access to Kennedy Road is via the signalized Duffield Drive, allowing for northbound and southbound travel, and a protected crossing for pedestrians and cyclists at the signalized intersection
- Implementation of Miller Avenue Extension can be independent of Kennedy Road improvements

Maintain Markham EA Preferred Alignment K-1A




CN Rail Crossing Recommendations



Replacement and widening of the CN Rail Overpass Structure is recommended to accommodate Kennedy Road improvements. The Miller Avenue Extension will have no impact on the CN Rail Overpass.

407 ETR Crossing

Design Considerations



- The 407ETR interchange does not have existing dedicated cycling facilities
- Four conflict points exist at the ramp interchanges, affecting pedestrian and cyclist safety
- The proposed design may require ramp reconfiguration to eliminate pedestrian and cyclist conflicts
- The proposed improvements must align with the Ministry of Transportation's plans for the future 407 Transitway




Point of Vehicular and Pedestrian Conflict


407 ETR Interchange Alternatives

The below alternatives consider how to best accommodate the road widening, and pedestrians and cyclists at the existing 407 ETR structure:


Alternative 1: No structure widening, 1 multi-use path (MUP) (road shift)



Alternative 2: No structure widening, 1 MUP in median (no road shift)




Alternative 3: Structure widened, 1 MUP + 1 sidewalk (no road shift)




407 ETR Interchange Alternatives (continued)


Alternative 4.1: Structure widened, 2 MUPs (road shift)




Alternative 4.2: Structure widened, 2 MUPs (no road shift)




Alternative 5.1: Structure widened, sidewalks and cycle tracks on both sides (road shift)



Alternative 5.2: Structure widened, sidewalks and cycle tracks on both sides (no road shift)



Alternative 6: No structure widening, separate AT bridge adjacent to existing structure




407 ETR Crossing

Evaluation and Recommendation

Criteria	Alternative 1: No Structure Widening, 1 MUP (Road Shift)	Alternative 2: No Structure Widening, MUP in Median	Alternative 3: Structure Widened, 1 MUP + 1 Sidewalk (No Road Shift)	Alternative 4.1: Structure Widened, 2 MUPs (Road Shift)	Alternative 4.2: Structure Widened, 2 MUPs (No Road Shift)	Alternative 5.1: Structure Widened, Sidewalks and Cycle Tracks on Both Sides (Road Shift)	Alternative 5.2: Structure Widened, Sidewalks and Cycle Tracks on Both Sides (No Road Shift)	Alternative 6: No Structure Widening, Separate AT Bridge adjacent to existing structure
Transportation Service	Less Preferred	Not Carried Forward due to operational concerns.	Least Preferred	Less Preferred	Less Preferred	Less Preferred	Less Preferred	Most Preferred
Natural Environment	Most Preferred		Most Preferred	Most Preferred	Most Preferred	Most Preferred	Most Preferred	Most Preferred
Social Environment	Most Preferred		Most Preferred	Most Preferred	Most Preferred	Most Preferred	Most Preferred	Most Preferred
Infrastructure Design	Less Preferred		Less Preferred	Least Preferred	Less Preferred	Least Preferred	Less Preferred	Most Preferred
Economic Environment and Cost Effectiveness	Most Preferred		Less Preferred	Least Preferred	Less Preferred	Less Preferred	Least Preferred	Less Preferred
Recommendation								Recommended

Separate AT bridge on both sides, with no widening to existing structure (Alternative 6) is recommended because:

- It does not require widening of the existing 407 ETR bridge, shifting the Kennedy Road alignment, nor ramp reconstruction
- It improves pedestrians and cyclist safety with exclusive AT bridges separated from vehicles over the 407 ETR
- It provides continuous facilities for pedestrians and cyclists




Viva Rapidway

Kennedy Road, YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7

Background

The Highway 7 Corridor and Vaughan North-South Link Public Transit Improvements Environmental Assessment (YRRTC EA) was completed and approved in 2005 and protects for the Viva rapidway connection to/from Markham Centre to Markham Stouffville Hospital.

A portion of the EA approved Rapidway runs along Kennedy Road from Highway 7 to YMCA Boulevard.



Design Considerations




YR-TMP outlines York Region's Rapid Transit Network – the Highway 7 corridor contains a link through Markham Centre on Kennedy Road



The proposed improvements must align with the plans for the Viva Rapidway




Existing Dealership and ROW Constraints



Tributary to Rouge River



Retail Developments and Proximity to Corridor ROW



Residential


Viva Rapidway

Kennedy Road, YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7


Alternatives

The below alternatives consider how to best accommodate the Rapidway, Transit/HOV lanes and pedestrians and cyclists along Kennedy Road between YMCA Boulevard and Highway 7:


Alternative 1:
Median Viva Rapidway with AT facilities
 (modified YRRTC EA*)
(Ultimate Vision)



Alternative 2:
Median Viva Rapidway,
Transit/HOV curb lanes, with AT facilities



Alternative 3:
Shift Viva Rapidway to share Transit/HOV curb
lanes, with AT facilities
(Recommended)



Evaluation and Recommendations

Criteria	Alternative 1: Median Viva Rapidway with AT facilities (modified YRRTC EA*)	Alternative 2: Median Viva Rapidway with Transit/HOV curb lanes, with AT facilities	Alternative 3: Shift Viva Rapidway to share Transit/HOV curb lane, with AT facilities
Transportation Service	Least Preferred	Most Preferred	Less Preferred
Natural Environment	Less Preferred	Least Preferred	Most Preferred
Social Environment	Less Preferred	Least Preferred	Most Preferred
Infrastructure Design	Less Preferred	Least Preferred	Most Preferred
Economic Environment and Cost Effectiveness	Less Preferred	Least Preferred	Most Preferred
Recommendation	ULTIMATE VISION		Recommended

Shift Viva Rapidway to share Transit/HOV curb lanes, with AT facilities is Recommended because:

- It reduces congestion and provides transit connectivity for YRT buses in Transit/HOV lanes. Viva buses are required to share the Transit/HOV lanes
- It provides continuous pedestrian and cyclist facilities with street planting opportunities while minimizing potential impacts to businesses and does not result in business displacement


Median Viva Rapidway with AT facilities (modified YRRTC EA) is the ULTIMATE VISION because:

- Viva transit service can operate within a dedicated median Rapidway and it allows for future opportunities to implement higher order transit service (Light Rail Transit) within the median in the **longer term**
- It provides continuous pedestrian and cyclist facilities with street planting opportunities while minimizing potential impacts to businesses and does not result in business displacement

*YRRTC EA was approved in 2005 and protects for the Viva rapidway connection to/from Markham Centre to Markham Stouffville Hospital

GO Rail Crossing North of Austin Drive

Design Considerations



Safety concerns for pedestrians and cyclists and low pedestrian and cyclist level of service



Access to adjacent land use



Delays to vehicles as they are required to stop for trains to cross – safety concerns for motorists due to conflicts with crossing trains



Increased train frequency due to GO expansion service



GO Expansion – Stouffville GO Corridor

All-day, two-way rail services between Union and Unionville Stations in the medium to long-term, and an increase in train frequency during morning and afternoon peak travel time beyond Unionville Station

- Proximity to Carlton Road
- Close proximity of residential homes to rail crossing, difficulties with detour development
- Proximity to Austin Drive intersection and grade separation impacts
- Consideration of underground watermain
- Proximity to Rouge River Crossing and grade separation impacts




GO Rail Crossing North of Austin Drive

GO Rail Crossing Alternatives

These alternatives considered how to best accommodate the road widening, and pedestrians and cyclists at the GO Rail Crossing north of Austin Drive:


Alternative 1: At-Grade Crossing with AT Improvements



Existing at-grade Kennedy Road crossing north of Clayton Drive

✓
(Recommended)


Alternative 2: Underpass with AT Improvements



Underpass example on Major Mackenzie Drive east of Keele Street

✓
(Ultimate Vision)
Grade Separation
Recommendation is
subject to separate
study

Alternative 3: Overpass with AT Improvements



Overpass example on Bayview Avenue south of Highway 401


GO Rail Crossing North of Austin Drive

GO Rail Crossing Evaluation and Recommendations

Criteria	Alternative 1: At-grade crossing with AT improvements	Alternative 2: Underpass with AT improvements	Alternative 3: Overpass with AT improvements
Transportation Service	Least Preferred	Carry forward for further study	Carry forward for further study
Natural Environment	Less Preferred		
Social Environment	Less Preferred		
Infrastructure Design	Most Preferred		
Economic Environment and Cost Effectiveness	Most Preferred		
Recommendation	Recommended		

At-Grade Crossing with AT improvements is Recommended because:

- It provides improved pedestrian and cyclist facilities and dedicated Transit/HOV lanes until such time increase GO Train Service results in substantial vehicle queuing and increased potential for cyclist and pedestrian crossing conflicts



Future Grade Separation (Underpass or Overpass) is the ULTIMATE VISION because:


- It eliminates vehicle queues from increased GO Train service
- It removes rail conflicts with pedestrians and cyclists
- There is insufficient information available at the time of this EA Study to make a determination and as a result a **separate study** will be completed in the future to identify the appropriate solution for the grade separation

Watercourse Crossing at Rouge River


Design Considerations




Existing structure cannot accommodate the preferred design alternative



Proximity of structure to Stouffville GO At-Grade Crossing and grade separation impacts




Consideration of underground watermain



Rouge River Crossing


Rouge River Crossing Recommendations

Carried Forward



Structural replacement / modification to accommodate the proposed improvements is recommended at the Rouge River crossing.

Carried Forward



Consideration of separate AT bridges are carried forward for further assessment and will be reviewed in consultation with TRCA.



Hagerman Cemeteries

Design Considerations




Safety concerns for pedestrians and cyclists



Heritage considerations due to the proximity of Hagerman Cemeteries and Thomas Morley House




Limited available right-of-way ~25.3m



Hagerman Cemeteries

Hagerman Cemeteries Alternatives

Alternative 1a: Reduced lane width, narrow multi-use path and sidewalk, best fit approach



Alternative 1b: Reduced lane width, narrow multi-use paths both sides, best fit approach



Alternative 2: Standard lane width, multi-use paths both sides, best fit approach




Alternative 3: Standard lane width, multi-use paths both sides, shift alignment west of Hagerman East Cemetery




Alternative 4: Standard lane width, multi-use paths both sides, shift alignment east of Hagerman West Cemetery




Alternative 5: Six lanes with centre active transportation (multi-use path)




Alternative 6: Six lanes, shared roadway between cyclists and vehicles



Alternative 7: Six lanes, no active transportation facilities




Alternative 8: No widening, multi-use paths both sides, queue jump lanes



St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries

Design Considerations





Safety concerns for pedestrians and cyclists



Heritage considerations at this segment due to the proximity of St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries and Thomas Lownsbrough House




Limited available right-of-way ~25.3m




St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries

St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries Alternatives

Alternative 1a: Reduced lane width, narrow multi-use path and sidewalk, best fit approach




Alternative 1b: Reduced lane width, narrow multi-use paths both sides, best fit approach



Alternative 2: Standard lane width, multi-use paths both sides, best fit approach




Alternative 3: Standard lane width, multi-use paths both sides, shift alignment east




Alternative 4: Standard lane width, multi-use paths both sides but discontinuous active transportation, shift alignment west



Alternative 5: Six lanes, shared roadway between cyclists and vehicles



Alternative 6: Six lanes, no active transportation facilities



Alternative 7: No widening, multi-use paths both sides and queue jump lanes



Hagerman Cemeteries, St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries

Hagerman Cemeteries - Evaluation and Recommendations

Criteria	Alternative 1a: Reduced Lane Width, Narrow MUP and Sidewalk, Best Fit Approach	Alternative 1b: Reduced Lane Width, Dual Narrow MUP, Best Fit Approach	Alternative 2: Standard Lane Width, Dual MUP, Best Fit Approach	Alternative 3: Standard Lane Width, Dual MUP, Shift to West	Alternative 4: Standard Lane Width, Dual MUP, Shift to East	Alternative 5: 6 Lanes, Centre Active Transportation (MUP)	Alternative 6: 6 Lanes, Shared Roadway between Cyclists and Vehicles	Alternative 7: 6 Lanes, No Active Transportation Facilities	Alternative 8: No widening, Dual MUP, Queue Jump Lanes
Transportation Service	Least Preferred	Less Preferred	Most Preferred	Not carried forward due to direct impacts to grave sites.	Not carried forward due to direct impacts to grave sites.	Not carried forward due to complications for median AT access.	Not carried forward due to non-compliance with YR Pedestrian/ Cyclist Guidelines.	Not carried forward due to impacts to AT facilities.	Not carried forward due to impacts to Transit/HOV and non-compliance with YR-TMP.
Natural Environment	Less Preferred	Most Preferred	Least Preferred						
Social Environment	Most Preferred	Less Preferred	Least Preferred						
Infrastructure Design	Most Preferred	Most Preferred	Least Preferred						
Economic Environment and Cost Effectiveness	Most Preferred	Most Preferred	Least Preferred						
Recommendation		Recommended							

St.Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries - Evaluation and Recommendations

Criteria	Alternative 1a: Reduced Lane Width, Narrow MUP and Sidewalk, Best Fit Approach	Alternative 1b: Reduced Lane Width, Dual Narrow MUP, Best Fit Approach	Alternative 2: Standard Lane Width, Dual MUP, Best Fit Approach	Alternative 3: Standard Lane Width, Dual MUP, Shift to the East	Alternative 4: Standard Lane Width, Dual MUP, Discontinuous AT, Shift to West	Alternative 5: 6 Lanes, Shared Roadway between Cyclists and Vehicles	Alternative 6: 6 Lanes, No Active Transportation Facilities	Alternative 7: No widening, Dual MUP, Queue Jump Lanes
Transportation Service	Least Preferred	Less Preferred	Most Preferred	Not carried forward due to direct impacts to grave sites.	Not carried forward due to direct impacts to grave sites.	Not carried forward due to non-compliance with YR Pedestrian/ Cyclist Guidelines.	Not carried forward due to impacts to AT facilities.	Not carried forward due to impacts to Transit/HOV and non-compliance with YR-TMP.
Natural Environment	Less Preferred	Most Preferred	Least Preferred					
Social Environment	Most Preferred	Less Preferred	Least Preferred					
Infrastructure Design	Most Preferred	Most Preferred	Least Preferred					
Economic Environment and Cost Effectiveness	Most Preferred	Most Preferred	Least Preferred					
Recommendation		Recommended						


Reduced Lane Width with Narrow Multi-Use Paths on both sides is the preferred Solution because:

- It provides improved active transportation facilities on both sides, dedicated Transit/ HOV lanes and avoids direct impacts to grave sites on cemetery lands. Narrower lanes may result in a reduction in vehicle speed creating a safer environment for all users.

Noise Barriers

How does the noise barrier work?

- Three design objectives must be achieved for a noise barrier to function properly:
 - Fence height
 - Fence thickness
 - Minimum 76mm
 - (3 inches) thick
 - No board gaps in fence




How high will the noise barrier adjacent to my property be?

- Regional noise barriers must be between 2.2 and 3 metres high
- Barrier heights for specific locations will be determined during detailed design

What does the Region need from me?

- Signed Liability Release Form
 - York Region will remove the existing fence only if this form is signed, otherwise the fence will be left in place
- Signed Permission to Enter Form
 - Allows York Region access onto your property to install the temporary security fence and remove and extend side fences



Noise Barriers

What impacts can I expect during construction?

- Some trees adjacent to the noise barrier, whether owned by you or the Region, will be removed or require pruning
- Other features (i.e. sheds) may also need to be relocated if they are too close to the existing fence

How will my property be protected during construction?

- Fence will be left in place as long as possible
- If existing fence needs to be removed, a security fence will be installed



How will the gap between my side fence and the new noise barrier be addressed?

- A separate post will be installed adjacent to the noise barrier and the existing side fence will be extended
- This may require removing and replacing a portion of the existing fence



Recommended Design, Timing of Improvements and Next Steps

Key Features of Recommended Design

- ❖ Widen to six lanes for Transit / HOV lanes
- ❖ Multi-use path on both sides and streetscaping
- ❖ Bus bays and transit facilities
- ❖ At-grade Crossing at Clayton Drive rail crossing (Recommended); Underpass (Ultimate Vision)
- ❖ Reduced lane widths at cemeteries
- ❖ Separate AT bridges at 407 ETR Interchange
- ❖ Structural Replacement of CN Overpass
- ❖ Viva and YRT in shared Transit/HOV Lanes (Recommended); Future median Viva Rapidway (Ultimate Vision)
- ❖ At-grade Crossing at Austin Drive rail crossing (Recommended); Grade Separation subject to future study (Ultimate Vision)
- ❖ Structural Modification / Replacement at Rouge River

Timing of Improvements

York Region's 2019 10-Year Roads and Transit Capital Construction Program:

Kennedy Road improvements:

- ❖ **Phase 1** from 14th Avenue to Highway 7, commencing **2023**
- ❖ **No current timeline** for improvements between Steeles Avenue and 14th Avenue, and between Highway 7 and Major Mackenzie Drive



Your input is very valuable to us!



Please fill in a **comment form** and return it to us today or provide your comments by mail, email or phone by **December 27, 2019**.

Contact Us

For more information visit: york.ca/kennedyroad



Please send your thoughts or opinions about the corridor by sending us an email at: roads.ea@york.ca



Join the Study Mailing List

Next Steps



Review feedback from the public



Refine Preferred Design



Prepare Final Environmental Study Report (ESR) (Spring 2020)

Look out for



Direct mail or e-mail notices



Newspaper notices



Updates on York Region social media (Facebook and Twitter)



Updates on the project website

Appendix B

Communication Material

JOIN US FOR AN OPEN HOUSE!

Date: Monday, November 25, 2019

Time: 6:30 p.m. to 8:30 p.m.

Location: Milliken Mills Community Centre

———— OR ————

Date: Monday, December 2, 2019

Time: 6:30 p.m. to 8:30 p.m.


Location: Markham Pan Am Centre

Kennedy Road


1) NB, south of Clayton



2) SB, south of Gorvette Road (north of rail track)



3) NB, north of Castan (Between Hwy 7 and YMCA)



4) SB, north of Stouffville GO Rail Line



NOTICE OF OPEN HOUSE

Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Study

Kennedy Road Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

in the City of Markham

We want your feedback

York Region is conducting a study to review improvements to Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive in the City of Markham to address current and future needs of pedestrians, cyclists, transit users and motorists.

You are invited to attend an open house to meet the project team, review the recommended plan and share your experiences.

The open houses will be held on:

Date: Monday, November 25, 2019
Time: Visit anytime between 6:30 p.m. and 8:30 p.m.
Location: Milliken Mills Community Centre South Hall
7600 Kennedy Road, Markham

Date: Monday, December 2, 2019
Time: Visit anytime between 6:30 p.m. and 8:30 p.m.
Location: Markham Pan Am Centre Multipurpose Room 3/4
16 Main Street Unionville, Markham



Please let us know if you need any accommodation to participate.

Can't attend?

Visit york.ca/kennedyroad to view the proposed improvements and complete our online survey. Information will be posted on November 25, 2019.

To submit a comment, question or be added to the mailing list, contact:

YORK REGION

Transportation Services

Vanessa Savelli, Communications and Community Engagement Specialist

17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, Ontario, L3Y 6Z1

Phone: 1-877-464-9675 ext. 75923

Email: roads.ea@york.ca

Fax: 905-836-4590

This study is being conducted in accordance with Schedule 'C' of the *Municipal Class Environmental Assessment* document (October 2000, as amended in 2007, 2011 and 2015). Personal information submitted (e.g., name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the *Environmental Assessment Act* and the *Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential.

An accessible version of this notice is available upon request.

This notice was issued on November 14, 2019.

Wayne Emmerson
York Region Chairman and CEO

NOTICE OF OPEN HOUSE

Municipal Class Environmental Assessment Study

Kennedy Road

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

in the City of Markham

We want your feedback

York Region is conducting a study to review improvements to Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive in the City of Markham to address current and future needs of pedestrians, cyclists, transit users and motorists.



You are invited to attend an open house to meet the project team, review the recommended plan and share your experiences. The open houses will be held on:

Date: Monday, November 25, 2019
Time: Visit anytime between 6:30 p.m. and 8:30 p.m.
Location: Milliken Mills Community Centre, South Hall
7600 Kennedy Road, Markham

Date: Monday, December 2, 2019
Time: Visit anytime between 6:30 p.m. and 8:30 p.m.
Location: Markham Pan Am Centre, Multipurpose Room 3/4
16 Main Street Unionville, Markham

Please let us know if you need any accommodation to participate.

Can't attend?

Visit york.ca/KennedyRoad to view the proposed improvements and complete our online survey. Information will be posted on November 25, 2019.

To submit a comment, question or be added to the mailing list, contact:

YORK REGION

Transportation Services

Vanessa Savelli, Communications and Community Engagement Specialist

17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, Ontario, L3Y 6Z1

Phone: 1-877-464-9675 ext. 75923

Email: roads.ea@york.ca

Fax: 905-836-4590

This study is being conducted in accordance with Schedule 'C' of the *Municipal Class Environmental Assessment* document (October 2000, as amended in 2007, 2011 and 2015). Personal information submitted (e.g., name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the *Environmental Assessment Act* and the *Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act* for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential.

An accessible version of this notice is available upon request.

This notice was issued on November 14, 2019.

Appendix C

Comment Forms and Roll Plan
Comments Received at Milliken Mills
Community Centre

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

1. What is your home postal code? _____

2. Which public open house did you attend?

☒ November 25, 2019 (Milliken Mills Community Centre)

☐ December 2, 2019 (Markham Pan Am Centre)

3. How did you hear about the open house? Select all that apply.

☒ Direct mail / Flyer ☐ Newspaper Ad ☒ Email from the project team ☐ Word of Mouth

☐ Road sign ☐ Other (please specify) _____

Road Widening Design Approach:

4. The preferred solution for **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr.** is to widen the road to six lanes for public transit / High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV), add cycling and pedestrian facilities, and improve streetscaping. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to widen the road on both sides to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Active Transportation Facilities:

5. The preferred solution for the active transportation facilities is to provide a continuous multi-use path on both sides of **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave and Major Mackenzie Dr.** Do you generally agree with the recommendation to provide a Multi-Use Path?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

Areas of Special Consideration:

6. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **Hagerman Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

7. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

8. The recommended alternative for the **Miller Avenue Extension** is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

9. The recommended alternative at the **407ETR Crossing** is to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Good call!

10. For the **Viva Rapidway from YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7**, it is Recommended to shift the Viva rapidway to share the proposed Transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide multi-use paths and streetscaping. This will later be converted to the Ultimate Vision to provide a median Viva rapidway, multi-use paths and streetscaping. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

11. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to provide an underpass (below the GO Rail tracks) with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

12. At the GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to undertake a future study to make a determination for the grade separation (either Underpass or Overpass) at this crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

13. Please share any other comments you may have related to the Kennedy Road EA Study:

No

Please leave your completed Comment Form in the drop box or send your comments (by December 27, 2019) to:

Vanessa Savelli

Communications and Community Engagement Specialist

The Regional Municipality of York

17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1

Phone: 1-877-464-9675 Ext 75923

Email: roads.ea@york.ca | Fax: 905-836-4590

For more information on the study please visit www.york.ca/kennedyroad

Personal information submitted (e.g., name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the Environmental Assessment Act and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential.

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

1. What is your home postal code? _____
2. Which public open house did you attend?
☒ November 25, 2019 (Milliken Mills Community Centre)
☐ December 2, 2019 (Markham Pan Am Centre)
3. How did you hear about the open house? Select all that apply.
☐ Direct mail / Flyer ☒ Newspaper Ad ☐ Email from the project team ☐ Word of Mouth
☒ Road sign ☐ Other (please specify) _____

Road Widening Design Approach:

4. The preferred solution for **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr.** is to widen the road to six lanes for public transit / High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV), add cycling and pedestrian facilities, and improve streetscaping. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to widen the road on both sides to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Active Transportation Facilities:

5. The preferred solution for the active transportation facilities is to provide a continuous multi-use path on both sides of **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave and Major Mackenzie Dr.** Do you generally agree with the recommendation to provide a Multi-Use Path?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

Areas of Special Consideration:

6. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **Hagerman Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

7. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

8. The recommended alternative for the **Miller Avenue Extension** is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

9. The recommended alternative at the **407ETR Crossing** is to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

10. For the **Viva Rapidway from YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7**, it is Recommended to shift the Viva rapidway to share the proposed Transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide multi-use paths and streetscaping. This will later be converted to the Ultimate Vision to provide a median Viva rapidway, multi-use paths and streetscaping. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

11. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to provide an underpass (below the GO Rail tracks) with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

12. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to undertake a future study to make a determination for the grade separation (either Underpass or Overpass) at this crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?



Yes



No

Comments:

13. Please share any other comments you may have related to the Kennedy Road EA Study:

Please leave your completed Comment Form in the drop box or send your comments (by December 27, 2019) to:

Vanessa Savelli

Communications and Community Engagement Specialist

The Regional Municipality of York

17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1

Phone: 1-877-464-9675 Ext 75923

Email: roads.ea@york.ca | Fax: 905-836-4590

For more information on the study please visit www.york.ca/kennedyroad

Personal information submitted (e.g., name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the Environmental Assessment Act and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential.

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

1. What is your home postal code? [REDACTED]

2. Which public open house did you attend?

☒ November 25, 2019 (Milliken Mills Community Centre)

☐ December 2, 2019 (Markham Pan Am Centre)

3. How did you hear about the open house? Select all that apply.

☒ Direct mail / Flyer ☐ Newspaper Ad ☐ Email from the project team ☐ Word of Mouth

☐ Road sign ☐ Other (please specify) _____

Road Widening Design Approach:

4. The preferred solution for **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr.** is to widen the road to six lanes for public transit / High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV), add cycling and pedestrian facilities, and improve streetscaping. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to widen the road on both sides to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

FIND A WAY OF DIVERTING TO
ANOTHER ROAD PARALLEL TO KENNEDY ROAD

Active Transportation Facilities:

5. The preferred solution for the active transportation facilities is to provide a continuous multi-use path on both sides of **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave and Major Mackenzie Dr.** Do you generally agree with the recommendation to provide a Multi-Use Path?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

Areas of Special Consideration:

6. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **Hagerman Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

7. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

8. The recommended alternative for the **Miller Avenue Extension** is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

9. The recommended alternative at the **407ETR Crossing** is to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

10. For the **Viva Rapidway from YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7**, it is Recommended to shift the Viva rapidway to share the proposed Transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide multi-use paths and streetscaping. This will later be converted to the Ultimate Vision to provide a median Viva rapidway, multi-use paths and streetscaping. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

HAVE THEY THOUGHT ABOUT THIS PLAN
ON WARDEN AVE.

11. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to provide an underpass (below the GO Rail tracks) with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

UNDERPASS NOT REQUIRED.
IDEN OF PROVIDING A SEPARATE SIGNAL
IS A BETTER SUGGESTION: MORE CYCLIST
BETWEEN KENNEDY AND DENISON. SO
THINK ABOUT ANY FEASIBLE SUGGESTION.

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study
Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive
Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

12. At the GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to undertake a future study to make a determination for the grade separation (either Underpass or Overpass) at this crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

13. Please share any other comments you may have related to the Kennedy Road EA Study:

ALREADY STEELES AVENUE IS A
COMPLETE MESS. IT SHOULD NOT
HOPEFULLY ON KENNEDY ROAD.

Please leave your completed Comment Form in the drop box or send your comments (by December 27, 2019) to:

Vanessa Savelli
Communications and Community Engagement Specialist
The Regional Municipality of York
17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1
Phone: 1-877-464-9675 Ext 75923
Email: roads.ea@york.ca | Fax: 905-836-4590

For more information on the study please visit www.york.ca/kennedyroad

Personal information submitted (e.g., name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the Environmental Assessment Act and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential.

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

1. What is your home postal code? [REDACTED]

2. Which public open house did you attend?

☒ November 25, 2019 (Milliken Mills Community Centre)

☒ December 2, 2019 (Markham Pan Am Centre)

3. How did you hear about the open house? Select all that apply.

☐ Direct mail / Flyer ☐ Newspaper Ad ☐ Email from the project team ☒ Word of Mouth
☐ Road sign ☐ Other (please specify) _____

Road Widening Design Approach:

4. The preferred solution for Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr. is to widen the road to six lanes for public transit / High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV), add cycling and pedestrian facilities, and improve streetscaping. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to widen the road on both sides to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road?

☐ Yes ☒ No

↳ can't be widened on both sides in all areas

Comments:

I don't know that this makes sense, as we live on Kennedy, so I can see that 90% of the vehicles are solo drivers, so what use is construction of an HOV lane... this won't alleviate traffic.

Active Transportation Facilities:

5. The preferred solution for the active transportation facilities is to provide a continuous multi-use path on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave and Major Mackenzie Dr. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to provide a Multi-Use Path?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

I like the idea of a path for pedestrians and cyclists because trying to accommodate cyclists on Kennedy Rd (esp. if 6 lanes) would be ridiculous and dangerous

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

Areas of Special Consideration:

6. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **Hagerman Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

7. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

8. The recommended alternative for the **Miller Avenue Extension** is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study
Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive
Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

9. The recommended alternative at the **407ETR Crossing** is to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

10. For the **Viva Rapidway from YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7**, it is Recommended to shift the Viva rapidway to share the proposed Transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide multi-use paths and streetscaping. This will later be converted to the Ultimate Vision to provide a median Viva rapidway, multi-use paths and streetscaping. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

11. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to provide an underpass (below the GO Rail tracks) with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

we NEED to be able to L-Turn in some cases.

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study
Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive
Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

12. At the GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to undertake a future study to make a determination for the grade separation (either Underpass or Overpass) at this crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

**do not block the intersections which I can tell you hardly ANY*

DRIVERS in the 14th Ave to Highglen area obey. How can we be expected to U-Turn at these intersections during ~~our~~ rush hour (ie. when we get home from work) when people crowd the entire intersection??

13. Please share any other comments you may have related to the Kennedy Road EA Study:

*I am still confused as to how there is enough room to create new pathways that are 1.5m larger AND add an extra lane on each side, but if it means less disruption for residents, that is helpful. Still, currently we can turn left into our house and with a median that isn't possible - a U-TURN is only feasible if drivers obey the ruler of the road and**

Name (please print): _____

Mailing Address: _____

City: _____ Postal Code: _____

Email Address: _____

Would you like to be added to the mailing list?

☐ Yes
☐ No

Please leave your completed Comment Form in the drop box or send your comments (by December 27, 2019) to:

Vanessa Savelli
Communications and Community Engagement Specialist
The Regional Municipality of York
17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1
Phone: 1-877-464-9675 Ext 75923
Email: roads_ea@york.ca | Fax: 905-836-4590

For more information on the study please visit www.york.ca/kennedyroad

Personal information submitted (e.g., name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the Environmental Assessment Act and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential

GREAT STREETS

Building Roads that Build Community



York Region

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

1. What is your home postal code? _____

2. Which public open house did you attend?

☒ November 25, 2019 (Milliken Mills Community Centre)

☐ December 2, 2019 (Markham Pan Am Centre)

3. How did you hear about the open house? Select all that apply.

☒ Direct mail / Flyer ☐ Newspaper Ad ☐ Email from the project team ☐ Word of Mouth

☐ Road sign ☐ Other (please specify) _____

Road Widening Design Approach:

4. The preferred solution for Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr. is to widen the road to six lanes for public transit / High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV), add cycling and pedestrian facilities, and improve streetscaping. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to widen the road on both sides to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road?

☐ Yes ☐ No * (URGENT CONCERN)

Comments:

* Median Blocks Access for Left Turn
into & out of our property

* TAKING AWAY OUR ACCESS UNDER THE NEW PLAN

Active Transportation Facilities:

5. The preferred solution for the active transportation facilities is to provide a continuous multi-use path on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave and Major Mackenzie Dr. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to provide a Multi-Use Path?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

Areas of Special Consideration

6. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **Hagerman Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

7. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

info & out of our budget
we're on blocks Access for left turn

8. The recommended alternative for the **Miller Avenue Extension** is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

9. The recommended alternative at the 407ETR Crossing is to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

CYCLIST SAFETY MUST BE CONSIDERED
ESPECIALLY HIGH SPEED LARGE VEHICLES

Also CYCLIST USE ONLY 4 MINITS A YEAR
~~IS IT~~ DEPENDS HOW MUCH BUDGET IS ALLOCATED

10. For the Viva Rapidway from YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7, it is Recommended to shift the ~~the~~ Viva rapidway to share the proposed Transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide multi-use paths ^{THIS} and streetscaping. This will later be converted to the Ultimate Vision to provide a median Viva rapidway, multi-use paths and streetscaping. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

(REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS)

11. At the GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to provide an underpass (below the GO Rail tracks) with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

12. At the GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to undertake a future study to make a determination for the grade separation (either Underpass or Overpass) at this crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

13. Please share any other comments you may have related to the Kennedy Road EA Study:

HWY AVE to HWY 7 (A BOTTLE NECK AREA)
WITH 7-8 LIGHTS LIMITED SPACE TO EXPAND
LANES - (ONLY IF MAKE NARROW LANES)

* (REDUCE SPEED LIMIT FOR ALL AREAS)

Please leave your completed Comment Form in the drop box or send your comments (by December 27, 2019) to:

Vanessa Savelli

Communications and Community Engagement Specialist

The Regional Municipality of York

17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1

Phone: 1-877-464-9675 Ext 75923

Email: roads.ea@york.ca | Fax: 905-836-4590

For more information on the study please visit www.york.ca/kennedyroad

Personal information submitted (e.g., name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the Environmental Assessment Act and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential.

GREAT STREETS

Building Roads that Build Community




York Region

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

1. What is your home postal code? [REDACTED]

2. Which public open house did you attend?

☒ November 25, 2019 (Milliken Mills Community Centre)

☐ December 2, 2019 (Markham Pan Am Centre)

3. How did you hear about the open house? Select all that apply.

☒ Direct mail / Flyer ☐ Newspaper Ad ☐ Email from the project team ☐ Word of Mouth

☐ Road sign ☐ Other (please specify) _____

Road Widening Design Approach:

4. The preferred solution for **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr.** is to widen the road to six lanes for public transit / High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV), add cycling and pedestrian facilities, and improve streetscaping. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to widen the road on both sides to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Active Transportation Facilities:

5. The preferred solution for the active transportation facilities is to provide a continuous multi-use path on both sides of **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave and Major Mackenzie Dr.** Do you generally agree with the recommendation to provide a Multi-Use Path?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

Areas of Special Consideration:

6. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **Hagerman Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

7. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

8. The recommended alternative for the **Miller Avenue Extension** is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

9. The recommended alternative at the **407ETR Crossing** is to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

10. For the **Viva Rapidway from YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7**, it is Recommended to shift the Viva rapidway to share the proposed Transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide multi-use paths and streetscaping. This will later be converted to the Ultimate Vision to provide a median Viva rapidway, multi-use paths and streetscaping. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

11. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to provide an underpass (below the GO Rail tracks) with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

12. At the GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to undertake a future study to make a determination for the grade separation (either Underpass or Overpass) at this crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

13. Please share any other comments you may have related to the Kennedy Road EA Study:

I am concerned that it will create a bottleneck at Steeles for the traffic going South as Toronto is not planning to widen Kennedy South of Steeles. It should ease the traffic going North. I am very surprised that you did not recommend underpasses for the GO Train like we are getting on Steeles. Safety is a key consideration.



Please leave your completed Comment Form in the drop box or send your comments (by December 27, 2019) to:

Vanessa Savelli

Communications and Community Engagement Specialist

The Regional Municipality of York

17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1

Phone: 1-877-464-9675 Ext 75923

Email: roads.ea@york.ca | Fax: 905-836-4590

For more information on the study please visit www.york.ca/kennedyroad

Personal information submitted (e.g., name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the Environmental Assessment Act and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential.

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

1. What is your home postal code? [REDACTED]

2. Which public open house did you attend?

☒ November 25, 2019 (Milliken Mills Community Centre)

☐ December 2, 2019 (Markham Pan Am Centre)

3. How did you hear about the open house? Select all that apply.

☒ Direct mail / Flyer ☐ Newspaper Ad ☐ Email from the project team ☐ Word of Mouth

☐ Road sign ☐ Other (please specify) _____

Road Widening Design Approach:

4. The preferred solution for **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr.** is to widen the road to six lanes for public transit / High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV), add cycling and pedestrian facilities, and improve streetscaping. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to widen the road on both sides to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Active Transportation Facilities:

5. The preferred solution for the active transportation facilities is to provide a continuous multi-use path on both sides of **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave and Major Mackenzie Dr.** Do you generally agree with the recommendation to provide a Multi-Use Path?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

Areas of Special Consideration:

6. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **Hagerman Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

7. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

8. The recommended alternative for the **Miller Avenue Extension** is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

- give priority to the miller av. extension ... that will help improve East/West traffic congestion
- may even help the ~~the~~ light timing issue @ 14th & Kennedy

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

9. The recommended alternative at the **407ETR Crossing** is to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

- Separate structures to maintain
- ~~together of the side~~

10. For the **Viva Rapidway from YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7**, it is Recommended to shift the Viva rapidway to share the proposed Transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide multi-use paths and streetscaping. This will later be converted to the Ultimate Vision to provide a median Viva rapidway, multi-use paths and streetscaping. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

- moving to the "ultimate" vision will cause zero disruptions:
- working sdn already in place
- buses crossing traffic lanes to go to "ultimate" center lanes.
- loss of HOV lanes
- for a relatively short span of road.

11. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to provide an underpass (below the GO Rail tracks) with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

12. At the GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to undertake a future study to make a determination for the grade separation (either Underpass or Overpass) at this crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

13. Please share any other comments you may have related to the Kennedy Road EA Study:

*Given the time line, 2023 for 14th → #7, can Kennedy between
Dennison & 14th get resurfaced in the mean time ??*

Please leave your completed Comment Form in the drop box or send your comments (by December 27, 2019) to:

Vanessa Savelli

Communications and Community Engagement Specialist

The Regional Municipality of York

17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1

Phone: 1-877-464-9675 Ext 75923

Email: roads.ea@york.ca | Fax: 905-836-4590

For more information on the study please visit www.york.ca/kennedyroad

Personal information submitted (e.g., name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the Environmental Assessment Act and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential.

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

1. What is your home postal code? [REDACTED]

2. Which public open house did you attend?

☒ November 25, 2019 (Milliken Mills Community Centre)

☐ December 2, 2019 (Markham Pan Am Centre)

3. How did you hear about the open house? Select all that apply.

☒ Direct mail / Flyer ☐ Newspaper Ad ☒ Email from the project team ☐ Word of Mouth

☐ Road sign ☐ Other (please specify) Website

Road Widening Design Approach:

4. The preferred solution for Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr. is to widen the road to six lanes for public transit / High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV), add cycling and pedestrian facilities, and improve streetscaping. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to widen the road on both sides to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Active Transportation Facilities:

5. The preferred solution for the active transportation facilities is to provide a continuous multi-use path on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave and Major Mackenzie Dr. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to provide a Multi-Use Path?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

Areas of Special Consideration:

6. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **Hagerman Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

7. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

8. The recommended alternative for the **Miller Avenue Extension** is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

9. The recommended alternative at the **407ETR Crossing** is to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Please work with 407ETR to coordinate the timing of traffic signals. Heavy back up in the morning SB

10. For the **Viva Rapidway from YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7**, it is Recommended to shift the Viva rapidway to share the proposed Transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide multi-use paths and streetscaping. This will later be converted to the Ultimate Vision to provide a median Viva rapidway, multi-use paths and streetscaping. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☒ No

A separate rapidway north of YMCA Blvd

VIVA Rapidway
YMCA

Comments:

The Ultimate Vision for VIVA rapidway will lead to route 8 Kennedy being in the general traffic lanes, resulting in significant delays for route 8 Kennedy. Also, there are bus bays on the design plates which the VIVA vehicles can use to pass the local buses. Convert the transit/HOV lanes into bus only lanes in the future

11. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to provide an underpass (below the GO Rail tracks) with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

12. At the GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to undertake a future study to make a determination for the grade separation (either Underpass or Overpass) at this crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

13. Please share any other comments you may have related to the Kennedy Road EA Study:

Add a bus only left turn lane Highway 7 WB to Kennedy SB for VIVA, 304, & GO buses. They wait so long before they can turn left.

Please leave your completed Comment Form in the drop box or send your comments (by December 27, 2019) to:

Vanessa Savelli

Communications and Community Engagement Specialist

The Regional Municipality of York

17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1

Phone: 1-877-464-9675 Ext 75923

Email: roads.ea@york.ca | Fax: 905-836-4590

For more information on the study please visit www.york.ca/kennedyroad

Personal information submitted (e.g., name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the Environmental Assessment Act and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential.

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

1. What is your home postal code? [REDACTED]

2. Which public open house did you attend?

☒ November 25, 2019 (Milliken Mills Community Centre)

☐ December 2, 2019 (Markham Pan Am Centre)

3. How did you hear about the open house? Select all that apply.

☐ Direct mail / Flyer ☐ Newspaper Ad ☒ Email from the project team ☐ Word of Mouth

☐ Road sign ☐ Other (please specify) _____

Road Widening Design Approach:

4. The preferred solution for **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr.** is to widen the road to six lanes for public transit / High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV), add cycling and pedestrian facilities, and improve streetscaping. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to widen the road on both sides to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Active Transportation Facilities:

5. The preferred solution for the active transportation facilities is to provide a continuous multi-use path on both sides of **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave and Major Mackenzie Dr.** Do you generally agree with the recommendation to provide a Multi-Use Path?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

Areas of Special Consideration:

6. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **Hagerman Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

7. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

8. The recommended alternative for the **Miller Avenue Extension** is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

9. The recommended alternative at the **407ETR Crossing** is to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

10. For the **Viva Rapidway from YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7**, it is Recommended to shift the Viva rapidway to share the proposed Transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide multi-use paths and streetscaping. This will later be converted to the Ultimate Vision to provide a median Viva rapidway, multi-use paths and streetscaping. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

11. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to provide an underpass (below the GO Rail tracks) with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

12. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to undertake a future study to make a determination for the grade separation (either Underpass or Overpass) at this crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?



Yes



No

Comments:

13. Please share any other comments you may have related to the Kennedy Road EA Study:

Please leave your completed Comment Form in the drop box or send your comments (by December 27, 2019) to:

Vanessa Savelli

Communications and Community Engagement Specialist

The Regional Municipality of York

17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1

Phone: 1-877-464-9675 Ext 75923

Email: roads.ea@york.ca | Fax: 905-836-4590

For more information on the study please visit www.york.ca/kennedyroad

Personal information submitted (e.g., name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the Environmental Assessment Act and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential.

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

1. What is your home postal code? [REDACTED]

2. Which public open house did you attend?



November 25, 2019 (Milliken Mills Community Centre)

December 2, 2019 (Markham Pan Am Centre)

3. How did you hear about the open house? Select all that apply.



Direct mail / Flyer



Newspaper Ad



Email from the project team



Word of Mouth



Road sign



Other (please specify) _____

Road Widening Design Approach:

4. The preferred solution for **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr.** is to widen the road to six lanes for public transit / High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV), add cycling and pedestrian facilities, and improve streetscaping. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to widen the road on both sides to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road?



Yes



No

Comments:

Active Transportation Facilities:

5. The preferred solution for the active transportation facilities is to provide a continuous multi-use path on both sides of **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave and Major Mackenzie Dr.** Do you generally agree with the recommendation to provide a Multi-Use Path?



Yes



No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

Areas of Special Consideration:

6. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **Hagerman Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Concerned with reduced lane widths. Will
Will lane widths be sufficient to prevent accidents?

7. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

- See above

8. The recommended alternative for the **Miller Avenue Extension** is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

9. The recommended alternative at the **407ETR Crossing** is to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

10. For the **Viva Rapidway from YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7**, it is Recommended to shift the Viva rapidway to share the proposed Transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide multi-use paths and streetscaping. This will later be converted to the Ultimate Vision to provide a median Viva rapidway, multi-use paths and streetscaping. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

11. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to provide an underpass (below the GO Rail tracks) with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study
Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive
Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

12. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to undertake a future study to make a determination for the grade separation (either Underpass or Overpass) at this crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

13. Please share any other comments you may have related to the Kennedy Road EA Study:

Please leave your completed Comment Form in the drop box or send your comments (by December 27, 2019) to:

Vanessa Savelli
Communications and Community Engagement Specialist
The Regional Municipality of York
17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1
Phone: 1-877-464-9675 Ext 75923
Email: roads.ea@york.ca | Fax: 905-836-4590

For more information on the study please visit www.york.ca/kennedyroad

Personal information submitted (e.g., name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the Environmental Assessment Act and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential.

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

1. What is your home postal code? [REDACTED]

2. Which public open house did you attend?

☒ November 25, 2019 (Milliken Mills Community Centre)

☒ December 2, 2019 (Markham Pan Am Centre)

3. How did you hear about the open house? Select all that apply.

☐ Direct mail / Flyer ☒ Newspaper Ad ☐ Email from the project team ☐ Word of Mouth

☐ Road sign ☐ Other (please specify) _____

Road Widening Design Approach:

4. The preferred solution for Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr. is to widen the road to six lanes for public transit / High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV), add cycling and pedestrian facilities, and improve streetscaping. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to widen the road on both sides to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Please do not block the entrance to Denby Court. It would restrict entrance to residents, ambulance, fire services and school buses. Kennedy Rd & Hwy 7 is already a high accident intersection - do not add to the already bad situation. Maintain the centre-left turn lane. Planning for the transportation needs of the Kennedy Rd corridor while improving the transportation needs of Denby Court residents by taking away the current access to their street & forcing them to take circuitous routes is regressive. **Active Transportation Facilities:** not progressive and demonstrates disregard for the safety and transportation needs of residents.

5. The preferred solution for the active transportation facilities is to provide a continuous multi-use path on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave and Major Mackenzie Dr. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to provide a Multi-Use Path?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Do not add bicycle lanes. Kennedy is a very busy street. Few cyclists ride on Kennedy Rd.

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study
Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive
Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

Areas of Special Consideration:

6. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **Hagerman Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

7. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

8. The recommended alternative for the **Miller Avenue Extension** is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study
Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive
Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

9. The recommended alternative at the **407ETR Crossing** is to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

10. For the **Viva Rapidway from YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7**, it is Recommended to shift the Viva rapidway to share the proposed Transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide multi-use paths and streetscaping. This will later be converted to the Ultimate Vision to provide a median Viva rapidway, multi-use paths and streetscaping. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

11. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to provide an underpass (below the GO Rail tracks) with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

12. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to undertake a future study to make a determination for the grade separation (either Underpass or Overpass) at this crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

13. Please share any other comments you may have related to the Kennedy Road EA Study:

Please leave your completed Comment Form in the drop box or send your comments (by December 27, 2019) to:

Vanessa Savelli

Communications and Community Engagement Specialist

The Regional Municipality of York



17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1



Phone: 1-877-464-9675 Ext 75923

Email: roads.ea@york.ca | Fax: 905-836-4590



For more information on the study please visit www.york.ca/kennedyroad



Personal information submitted (e.g., name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the Environmental Assessment Act and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential.














Appendix D

Comment Forms and Roll Plan Comments Received at Angus Glen Community Centre

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

1. What is your home postal code? _____
2. Which public open house did you attend?
 - ☐ November 25, 2019 (Milliken Mills Community Centre)
 - ☐ December 2, 2019 (Markham Pan Am Centre)
3. How did you hear about the open house? Select all that apply.
 - ☐ Direct mail / Flyer
 - ☐ Newspaper Ad
 - ☐ Email from the project team
 - ☐ Word of Mouth
 - ☐ Road sign
 - ☐ Other (please specify) _____

Road Widening Design Approach:

4. The preferred solution for **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr.** is to widen the road to six lanes for public transit / High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV), add cycling and pedestrian facilities, and improve streetscaping. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to widen the road on both sides to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Active Transportation Facilities:

5. The preferred solution for the active transportation facilities is to provide a continuous multi-use path on both sides of **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave and Major Mackenzie Dr.** Do you generally agree with the recommendation to provide a Multi-Use Path?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study
Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive
Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

Areas of Special Consideration:

6. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **Hagerman Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

7. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

8. The recommended alternative for the **Miller Avenue Extension** is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

9. The recommended alternative at the **407ETR Crossing** is to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

10. For the **Viva Rapidway from YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7**, it is Recommended to shift the Viva rapidway to share the proposed Transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide multi-use paths and streetscaping. This will later be converted to the Ultimate Vision to provide a median Viva rapidway, multi-use paths and streetscaping. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

11. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to provide an underpass (below the GO Rail tracks) with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

12. At the GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to undertake a future study to make a determination for the grade separation (either Underpass or Overpass) at this crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

13. Please share any other comments you may have related to the Kennedy Road EA Study:

we don't care. IT would be easier
for us To move to a different
CITY.



Please leave your completed Comment Form in the drop box or send your comments (by December 27, 2019) to:

Vanessa Savelli

Communications and Community Engagement Specialist

The Regional Municipality of York

17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1

Phone: 1-877-464-9675 Ext 75923

Email: roads.ea@york.ca | Fax: 905-836-4590

For more information on the study please visit www.york.ca/kennedyroad

Personal information submitted (e.g., name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the Environmental Assessment Act and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential.

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

1. What is your home postal code? [REDACTED]

2. Which public open house did you attend?

☐ November 25, 2019 (Milliken Mills Community Centre)

☒ December 2, 2019 (Markham Pan Am Centre)

3. How did you hear about the open house? Select all that apply.

☒ Direct mail / Flyer ☐ Newspaper Ad ☒ Email from the project team ☐ Word of Mouth

☐ Road sign ☐ Other (please specify) _____

Road Widening Design Approach:

4. The preferred solution for Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr. is to widen the road to six lanes for public transit / High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV), add cycling and pedestrian facilities, and improve streetscaping. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to widen the road on both sides to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

Due to pollution issues, fossil fuels, environmental concerns, a six-lane highway only encourages more car and truck use. A solution to this problem is to keep the present 4-lane highway and use the outer lanes as bus route / HOV lanes. Therefore only one lane will be used for cars. It will force people to use public transportation and also help keep a cleaner environment.

Active Transportation Facilities:

5. The preferred solution for the active transportation facilities is to provide a continuous multi-use path on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave and Major Mackenzie Dr. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to provide a Multi-Use Path?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

See above

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

Areas of Special Consideration:

6. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **Hagerman Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Maybe.

7. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

8. The recommended alternative for the **Miller Avenue Extension** is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

9. The recommended alternative at the **407ETR Crossing** is to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

10. For the **Viva Rapidway from YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7**, it is Recommended to shift the Viva rapidway to share the proposed Transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide multi-use paths and streetscaping. This will later be converted to the Ultimate Vision to provide a median Viva rapidway, multi-use paths and streetscaping. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

11. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to provide an underpass (below the GO Rail tracks) with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019


12. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to undertake a future study to make a determination for the grade separation (either Underpass or Overpass) at this crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

13. Please share any other comments you may have related to the Kennedy Road EA Study:

① Keep the 4-lane highway, and use the outer lanes for public transportation buses.
② Do an environmental assessment to determine the air quality and dangerous toxic air due to the greater use of cars & trucks.



Please leave your completed Comment Form in the drop box or send your comments (by December 27, 2019) to:

Vanessa Savelli

Communications and Community Engagement Specialist

The Regional Municipality of York

17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1

Phone: 1-877-464-9675 Ext 75923

Email: roads.ea@york.ca | Fax: 905-836-4590

For more information on the study please visit www.york.ca/kennedyroad

Personal information submitted (e.g., name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the Environmental Assessment Act and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential.

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

1. What is your home postal code? [REDACTED]

2. Which public open house did you attend?

☐ November 25, 2019 (Milliken Mills Community Centre)

☒ December 2, 2019 (Markham Pan Am Centre)

3. How did you hear about the open house? Select all that apply.

☒ Direct mail / Flyer ☐ Newspaper Ad ☐ Email from the project team ☐ Word of Mouth

☐ Road sign ☐ Other (please specify) _____

Road Widening Design Approach:

4. The preferred solution for Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr. is to widen the road to six lanes for public transit / High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV), add cycling and pedestrian facilities, and improve streetscaping. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to widen the road on both sides to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

I agree with balancing what you do to both sides, but I disagree with widening the road to 6 lanes - keep it will not solve the traffic problem. Use 2 of the 4 lanes for transit and make transit every 15 mins. Then people will use transit.

Active Transportation Facilities:

5. The preferred solution for the active transportation facilities is to provide a continuous multi-use path on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave and Major Mackenzie Dr. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to provide a Multi-Use Path?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Do allow cyclists on the sidewalk - then I will bike. The road is too dangerous.

with looming climate change we can no longer think like this

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

Areas of Special Consideration:

6. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **Hagerman Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

7. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

8. The recommended alternative for the **Miller Avenue Extension** is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

9. The recommended alternative at the **407ETR Crossing** is to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

10. For the **Viva Rapidway from YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7**, it is Recommended to shift the Viva rapidway to share the proposed Transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide multi-use paths and streetscaping. This will later be converted to the Ultimate Vision to provide a median Viva rapidway, multi-use paths and streetscaping. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

11. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to provide an underpass (below the GO Rail tracks) with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

12. At the GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to undertake a future study to make a determination for the grade separation (either Underpass or Overpass) at this crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Encouraging car-pooling is baby steps
13. Please share any other comments you may have related to the Kennedy Road EA Study:
Baby steps are not good enough for CLIMATE CHANGE
Widening to 6 lanes will not solve traffic problems: please look at the evidence!! Keep 4 lanes, use one each way for transit and increase transit to every 15 minutes. That is the point at which people will get out of their cars.

Please leave your completed Comment Form in the drop box or send your comments (by December 27, 2019) to:

Vanessa Savelli

Communications and Community Engagement Specialist

The Regional Municipality of York

17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1

Phone: 1-877-464-9675 Ext 75923

Email: roads.ea@york.ca | Fax: 905-836-4590

For more information on the study please visit www.york.ca/kennedyroad

Personal information submitted (e.g., name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the Environmental Assessment Act and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential.

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

1. What is your home postal code? [REDACTED]

2. Which public open house did you attend?

☐ November 25, 2019 (Milliken Mills Community Centre)

☒ December 2, 2019 (Markham Pan Am Centre)

3. How did you hear about the open house? Select all that apply.

☒ Direct mail / Flyer ☐ Newspaper Ad ☐ Email from the project team ☐ Word of Mouth

☐ Road sign ☐ Other (please specify) _____

Road Widening Design Approach:

4. The preferred solution for **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr.** is to widen the road to six lanes for public transit / High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV), add cycling and pedestrian facilities, and improve streetscaping. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to widen the road on both sides to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Active Transportation Facilities:

5. The preferred solution for the active transportation facilities is to provide a continuous multi-use path on both sides of **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave and Major Mackenzie Dr.** Do you generally agree with the recommendation to provide a Multi-Use Path?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

Areas of Special Consideration:

6. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **Hagerman Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

relocate cemetery

7. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

- relocate cemetery
- the top of the hill is most dangerous for pedestrians + cyclists

8. The recommended alternative for the **Miller Avenue Extension** is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

9. The recommended alternative at the **407ETR Crossing** is to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

It is safer for all ^

10. For the **Viva Rapidway from YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7**, it is Recommended to shift the Viva rapidway to share the proposed Transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide multi-use paths and streetscaping. This will later be converted to the Ultimate Vision to provide a median Viva rapidway, multi-use paths and streetscaping. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

11. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to provide an underpass (below the GO Rail tracks) with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

12. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to undertake a future study to make a determination for the grade separation (either Underpass or Overpass) at this crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

13. Please share any other comments you may have related to the Kennedy Road EA Study:

ELECTRIC BUSES!
(MFI makes them)

Please leave your completed Comment Form in the drop box or send your comments (by December 27, 2019) to:

Vanessa Savelli

Communications and Community Engagement Specialist

The Regional Municipality of York

17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1

Phone: 1-877-464-9675 Ext 75923

Email: roads.ea@york.ca | Fax: 905-836-4590

For more information on the study please visit www.york.ca/kennedyroad

Personal information submitted (e.g., name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the Environmental Assessment Act and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential.

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

1. What is your home postal code? [REDACTED]

2. Which public open house did you attend?

☐ November 25, 2019 (Milliken Mills Community Centre)

☒ December 2, 2019 (Markham Pan Am Centre)

3. How did you hear about the open house? Select all that apply.

☒ Direct mail / Flyer ☐ Newspaper Ad ☐ Email from the project team ☐ Word of Mouth

☐ Road sign ☐ Other (please specify) _____

Road Widening Design Approach:

4. The preferred solution for **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr.** is to widen the road to six lanes for public transit / High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV), add cycling and pedestrian facilities, and improve streetscaping. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to widen the road on both sides to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Active Transportation Facilities:

5. The preferred solution for the active transportation facilities is to provide a continuous multi-use path on both sides of **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave and Major Mackenzie Dr.** Do you generally agree with the recommendation to provide a Multi-Use Path?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

Areas of Special Consideration:

6. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **Hagerman Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

Relocate Cemeteries

7. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Relocate Cemeteries

8. The recommended alternative for the **Miller Avenue Extension** is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

9. The recommended alternative at the **407ETR Crossing** is to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

10. For the **Viva Rapidway from YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7**, it is Recommended to shift the Viva rapidway to share the proposed Transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide multi-use paths and streetscaping. This will later be converted to the Ultimate Vision to provide a median Viva rapidway, multi-use paths and streetscaping. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

11. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to provide an underpass (below the GO Rail tracks) with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

12. At the GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to undertake a future study to make a determination for the grade separation (either Underpass or Overpass) at this crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

13. Please share any other comments you may have related to the Kennedy Road EA Study:

It is time to get creative, to think of changing the greyish brownish color palette of Markham. Use the sound walls barriers as an opportunity to bring artist together. It is time to research on construction expertise of infrastructure in other countries, i.e. the train tracks built by China in what was the Silk Road or how the 407. Standards can quickly expand the And, promote electric buses only!

Would you like to be added to the mailing list?

Please leave your completed Comment Form in the drop box or send your comments (by December 27, 2019) to:

Vanessa Savelli

Communications and Community Engagement Specialist

The Regional Municipality of York

17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1

Phone: 1-877-464-9675 Ext 75923

Email: roads.ea@york.ca | Fax: 905-836-4590

For more information on the study please visit www.york.ca/kennedyroad

Personal information submitted (e.g., name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the Environmental Assessment Act and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential.

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

1. What is your home postal code? [REDACTED]

2. Which public open house did you attend?

☐ November 25, 2019 (Milliken Mills Community Centre)

☒ December 2, 2019 (Markham Pan Am Centre)

3. How did you hear about the open house? Select all that apply.

☒ Direct mail / Flyer ☒ Newspaper Ad ☐ Email from the project team ☐ Word of Mouth

☐ Road sign ☐ Other (please specify) _____

Road Widening Design Approach:

4. The preferred solution for **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr.** is to widen the road to six lanes for public transit / High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV), add cycling and pedestrian facilities, and improve streetscaping. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to widen the road on both sides to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Active Transportation Facilities:

5. The preferred solution for the active transportation facilities is to provide a continuous multi-use path on both sides of **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave and Major Mackenzie Dr.** Do you generally agree with the recommendation to provide a Multi-Use Path?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

Areas of Special Consideration:

6. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **Hagerman Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

7. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

8. The recommended alternative for the **Miller Avenue Extension** is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

9. The recommended alternative at the **407ETR Crossing** is to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

10. For the **Viva Rapidway from YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7**, it is Recommended to shift the Viva rapidway to share the proposed Transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide multi-use paths and streetscaping. This will later be converted to the Ultimate Vision to provide a median Viva rapidway, multi-use paths and streetscaping. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

11. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to provide an underpass (below the GO Rail tracks) with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

12. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to undertake a future study to make a determination for the grade separation (either Underpass or Overpass) at this crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?



Yes



No

Comments:

13. Please share any other comments you may have related to the Kennedy Road EA Study:

Name (please print): _____

Mailing Address: _____

City: _____ Postal Code: _____

Email Address: _____

Would you like to be added to the mailing list?

☐

Yes

☐

No

Please leave your completed Comment Form in the drop box or send your comments (by December 27, 2019) to:

Vanessa Savelli

Communications and Community Engagement Specialist

The Regional Municipality of York

17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1

Phone: 1-877-464-9675 Ext 75923

Email: roads.ea@york.ca | Fax: 905-836-4590

For more information on the study please visit www.york.ca/kennedyroad

Personal information submitted (e.g., name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the Environmental Assessment Act and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential.

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study
Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive
Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

1. What is your home postal code? _____
2. Which public open house did you attend?
- ☐ November 25, 2019 (Milliken Mills Community Centre)
- ☐ December 2, 2019 (Markham Pan Am Centre)
3. How did you hear about the open house? Select all that apply.
- ☐ Direct mail / Flyer ☐ Newspaper Ad ☐ Email from the project team ☐ Word of Mouth
- ☐ Road sign ☐ Other (please specify) _____

Road Widening Design Approach:

4. The preferred solution for **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr.** is to widen the road to six lanes for public transit / High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV), add cycling and pedestrian facilities, and improve streetscaping. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to widen the road on both sides to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Active Transportation Facilities:

5. The preferred solution for the active transportation facilities is to provide a continuous multi-use path on both sides of **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave and Major Mackenzie Dr.** Do you generally agree with the recommendation to provide a Multi-Use Path?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

Areas of Special Consideration:

6. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **Hagerman Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

7. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

8. The recommended alternative for the **Miller Avenue Extension** is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study
Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive
Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

9. The recommended alternative at the **407ETR Crossing** is to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

10. For the **Viva Rapidway from YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7**, it is Recommended to shift the Viva rapidway to share the proposed Transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide multi-use paths and streetscaping. This will later be converted to the Ultimate Vision to provide a median Viva rapidway, multi-use paths and streetscaping. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

11. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to provide an underpass (below the GO Rail tracks) with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

12. At the GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to undertake a future study to make a determination for the grade separation (either Underpass or Overpass) at this crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

13. Please share any other comments you may have related to the Kennedy Road EA Study:

*- Change transit fare: free for students (high school), and
Sr. after 9:30am (all days), free
buses to Metrolinx / TTC
- Smaller buses*



Please leave your completed Comment Form in the drop box or send your comments (by December 27, 2019) to:

Vanessa Savelli

Communications and Community Engagement Specialist

The Regional Municipality of York

17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1

Phone: 1-877-464-9675 Ext 75923

Email: roads.ea@york.ca | Fax: 905-836-4590

For more information on the study please visit www.york.ca/kennedyroad

Personal information submitted (e.g., name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the Environmental Assessment Act and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential.

GREAT STREETS

Building Roads that Build Communities




York Region

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

1. What is your home postal code? [REDACTED]

2. Which public open house did you attend?

☐ November 25, 2019 (Milliken Mills Community Centre)

☒ December 2, 2019 (Markham Pan Am Centre)

3. How did you hear about the open house? Select all that apply.

☐ Direct mail / Flyer ☒ Newspaper Ad ☐ Email from the project team ☒ Word of Mouth

☐ Road sign ☐ Other (please specify) _____

Road Widening Design Approach:

4. The preferred solution for **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr.** is to widen the road to six lanes for public transit / High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV), add cycling and pedestrian facilities, and improve streetscaping. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to widen the road on both sides to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

I disagree with the premise of the question. I'm sure balancing both sides of road makes sense (it is such a wide road that the pedestrian will not cross over to get to a better sidewalk) BUT, I disagree with the need for HOV/6.5 lanes!!

Active Transportation Facilities:

5. The preferred solution for the active transportation facilities is to provide a continuous multi-use path on both sides of **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave and Major Mackenzie Dr.** Do you generally agree with the recommendation to provide a Multi-Use Path?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

For almost exactly the same real-estate, you can have a standard sidewalk and a dedicated, (off road) bike path.

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

Areas of Special Consideration:

6. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **Hagerman Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

sure

7. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

sure

8. The recommended alternative for the **Miller Avenue Extension** is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

9. The recommended alternative at the **407ETR Crossing** is to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Very much preferred over the dangerous crossings at the entrance / exit ramps to the 404 at Highway 7.

10. For the **Viva Rapidway from YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7**, it is Recommended to shift the Viva rapidway to share the proposed Transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide multi-use paths and streetscaping. This will later be converted to the Ultimate Vision to provide a median Viva rapidway, multi-use paths and streetscaping. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

11. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to provide an underpass (below the GO Rail tracks) with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

12. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to undertake a future study to make a determination for the grade separation (either Underpass or Overpass) at this crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

13. Please share any other comments you may have related to the Kennedy Road EA Study:

Although attention is being taken for safe walking (and cycling) it is still halfway - full protected bike lanes are not much more cost or land and should be employed. I do disagree with the 400 lane - a dedicated bus lane I could not dispute and would like to see this implemented.

Please leave your completed Comment Form in the drop box or send your comments (by December 27, 2019) to:

Vanessa Savelli

Communications and Community Engagement Specialist

The Regional Municipality of York

17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1

Phone: 1-877-464-9675 Ext 75923

Email: roads.ea@york.ca | Fax: 905-836-4590

For more information on the study please visit www.york.ca/kennedyroad

Personal information submitted (e.g. name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the Environmental Assessment Act and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential.

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

1. What is your home postal code? [REDACTED]

2. Which public open house did you attend?

☐ November 25, 2019 (Milliken Mills Community Centre)

☒ December 2, 2019 (Markham Pan Am Centre)

3. How did you hear about the open house? Select all that apply.

☐ Direct mail / Flyer ☐ Newspaper Ad ☐ Email from the project team ☐ Word of Mouth

☐ Road sign ☐ Other (please specify) Friend

Road Widening Design Approach:

4. The preferred solution for **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr.** is to widen the road to six lanes for public transit / High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV), add cycling and pedestrian facilities, and improve streetscaping. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to widen the road on both sides to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Active Transportation Facilities:

5. The preferred solution for the active transportation facilities is to provide a continuous multi-use path on both sides of **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave and Major Mackenzie Dr.** Do you generally agree with the recommendation to provide a Multi-Use Path?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

Areas of Special Consideration:

6. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **Hagerman Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

7. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

8. The recommended alternative for the **Miller Avenue Extension** is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

9. The recommended alternative at the **407ETR Crossing** is to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

10. For the **Viva Rapidway from YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7**, it is Recommended to shift the Viva rapidway to share the proposed Transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide multi-use paths and streetscaping. This will later be converted to the Ultimate Vision to provide a median Viva rapidway, multi-use paths and streetscaping. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

11. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to provide an underpass (below the GO Rail tracks) with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

12. At the GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to undertake a future study to make a determination for the grade separation (either Underpass or Overpass) at this crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?



Yes



No

Comments:

13. Please share any other comments you may have related to the Kennedy Road EA Study:

Please leave your completed Comment Form in the drop box or send your comments (by December 27, 2019) to:

Vanessa Savelli

Communications and Community Engagement Specialist

The Regional Municipality of York

17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1

Phone: 1-877-464-9675 Ext 75923

Email: roads.ea@york.ca | Fax: 905-836-4590

For more information on the study please visit www.york.ca/kennedyroad

Personal information submitted (e.g., name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the Environmental Assessment Act and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential.

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

1. What is your home postal code? [REDACTED]

2. Which public open house did you attend?

☐ November 25, 2019 (Milliken Mills Community Centre)

☒ December 2, 2019 (Markham Pan Am Centre)

3. How did you hear about the open house? Select all that apply.

☒ Direct mail / Flyer ☐ Newspaper Ad ☐ Email from the project team ☐ Word of Mouth

☐ Road sign ☐ Other (please specify) _____

Road Widening Design Approach:

4. The preferred solution for **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr.** is to widen the road to six lanes for public transit / High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV), add cycling and pedestrian facilities, and improve streetscaping. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to widen the road on both sides to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Active Transportation Facilities:

5. The preferred solution for the active transportation facilities is to provide a continuous multi-use path on both sides of **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave and Major Mackenzie Dr.** Do you generally agree with the recommendation to provide a Multi-Use Path?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

Do not see enough current use

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

Areas of Special Consideration:

6. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **Hagerman Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

7. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

8. The recommended alternative for the **Miller Avenue Extension** is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

9. The recommended alternative at the **407ETR Crossing** is to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

10. For the **Viva Rapidway from YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7**, it is Recommended to shift the Viva rapidway to share the proposed Transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide multi-use paths and streetscaping. This will later be converted to the Ultimate Vision to provide a median Viva rapidway, multi-use paths and streetscaping. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

11. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to provide an underpass (below the GO Rail tracks) with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

12. At the GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to undertake a future study to make a determination for the grade separation (either Underpass or Overpass) at this crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

Future consideration should be limited to below ground separation to maintain resident privacy for those homes along Kennedy

13. Please share any other comments you may have related to the Kennedy Road EA Study:

Name (please print): _____

Mailing Address: _____

City: _____ Postal Code: _____

Email Address: _____

Would you like to be added to the mailing list?

☒ Yes
☐ No

Please leave your completed Comment Form in the drop box or send your comments (by December 27, 2019) to:

Vanessa Savelli

Communications and Community Engagement Specialist

The Regional Municipality of York

17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1

Phone: 1-877-464-9675 Ext 75923

Email: roads.ea@york.ca | Fax: 905-836-4590

For more information on the study please visit www.york.ca/kennedyroad

Personal information submitted (e.g., name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the Environmental Assessment Act and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential.

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

1. What is your home postal code? [REDACTED]

2. Which public open house did you attend?

☐ November 25, 2019 (Milliken Mills Community Centre)

☒ December 2, 2019 (Markham Pan Am Centre)

3. How did you hear about the open house? Select all that apply.

☐ Direct mail / Flyer ☒ Newspaper Ad ☒ Email from the project team ☒ Word of Mouth

☐ Road sign ☐ Other (please specify) _____

Road Widening Design Approach:

4. The preferred solution for Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr. is to widen the road to six lanes for public transit / High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV), add cycling and pedestrian facilities, and improve streetscaping. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to widen the road on both sides to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

- too much focus on pedestrians, cyclists and mass transit all of which are minor stakeholders

- need to improve especially west but also east access

Active Transportation Facilities:

5. The preferred solution for the active transportation facilities is to provide a continuous multi-use path on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave and Major Mackenzie Dr. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to provide a Multi-Use Path?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

encourage pedestrians and cyclists to areas slightly east and west of Kennedy between 16th & Major Mac
the priority for this section CARS

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

Areas of Special Consideration:

6. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by Hagerman Cemeteries is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

see comment #5

see comment #5

7. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments: The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

8. The recommended alternative for the Miller Avenue Extension is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

Comments: The recommended alternative for the Miller Avenue Extension is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

9. The recommended alternative at the **407ETR Crossing** is to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Comments: 407 707
10 11/22/19 10:10
22/11/2019

10. For the **Viva Rapidway from YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7**, it is Recommended to shift the Viva rapidway to share the proposed Transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide multi-use paths and streetscaping. This will later be converted to the Ultimate Vision to provide a median Viva rapidway, multi-use paths and streetscaping. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Comments: 11/22/19 10:10
11/22/19 10:10

11. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Graydon Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to provide an underpass (below the GO Rail tracks) with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study
Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive
Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

12. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to undertake a future study to make a determination for the grade separation (either Underpass or Overpass) at this crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

for now
when possible underpass or
overpass

13. Please share any other comments you may have related to the Kennedy Road EA Study:

Please leave your completed Comment Form in the drop box or send your comments (by December 27, 2019) to:

Vanessa Savelli
Communications and Community Engagement Specialist
The Regional Municipality of York
17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1
Phone: 1-877-464-9675 Ext 75923
Email: roads.ea@york.ca | Fax: 905-836-4590

For more information on the study please visit www.york.ca/kennedyroad

Personal information submitted (e.g., name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the Environmental Assessment Act and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential.

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

1. What is your home postal code? [REDACTED]

2. Which public open house did you attend?

☐ November 25, 2019 (Milliken Mills Community Centre)

☒ December 2, 2019 (Markham Pan Am Centre)

3. How did you hear about the open house? Select all that apply.

☒ Direct mail / Flyer ☐ Newspaper Ad ☐ Email from the project team ☐ Word of Mouth

☐ Road sign ☐ Other (please specify) _____

Road Widening Design Approach:

4. The preferred solution for Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr. is to widen the road to six lanes for public transit / High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV), add cycling and pedestrian facilities, and improve streetscaping. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to widen the road on both sides to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

36 m all the way - simplify design

bike/walk - one side only - not the volume for both sides.

Active Transportation Facilities:

5. The preferred solution for the active transportation facilities is to provide a continuous multi-use path on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave and Major Mackenzie Dr. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to provide a Multi-Use Path?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

1 side only.

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

Areas of Special Consideration:

6. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **Hagerman Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

1 side only

7. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☐ Yes ☒ No

Comments:

1 side only

8. The recommended alternative for the **Miller Avenue Extension** is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

No comment

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

9. The recommended alternative at the **407ETR Crossing** is to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☐ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

1 side only

10. For the **Viva Rapidway from YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7**, it is Recommended to shift the Viva rapidway to share the proposed Transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide multi-use paths and streetscaping. This will later be converted to the Ultimate Vision to provide a median Viva rapidway, multi-use paths and streetscaping. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

11. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to provide an underpass (below the GO Rail tracks) with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study
Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive
Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019


12. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Austin Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to undertake a future study to make a determination for the grade separation (either Underpass or Overpass) at this crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

13. Please share any other comments you may have related to the Kennedy Road EA Study:

Great work - well presented. Thank you.



Please leave your completed Comment Form in the drop box or send your comments (by December 27, 2019) to:

Vanessa Savelli
Communications and Community Engagement Specialist
The Regional Municipality of York
17250 Yonge Street, Newmarket, ON L3Y 6Z1
Phone: 1-877-464-9675 Ext 75923
Email: roads.ea@york.ca | Fax: 905-836-4590

For more information on the study please visit www.york.ca/kennedyroad

Personal information submitted (e.g., name, address and phone number) is collected, maintained and disclosed under the authority of the Environmental Assessment Act and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act for transparency and consultation purposes. Personal information you submit will become part of a public record that is available to the general public, unless you request that your personal information remain confidential.

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

1. What is your home postal code? [REDACTED]

2. Which public open house did you attend?

☐ November 25, 2019 (Milliken Mills Community Centre)

☒ December 2, 2019 (Markham Pan Am Centre)

3. How did you hear about the open house? Select all that apply.

☒ Direct mail / Flyer ☐ Newspaper Ad ☐ Email from the project team ☐ Word of Mouth

☐ Road sign ☐ Other (please specify) _____

Road Widening Design Approach:

4. The preferred solution for **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave. and Major Mackenzie Dr.** is to widen the road to six lanes for public transit / High Occupancy Vehicles (HOV), add cycling and pedestrian facilities, and improve streetscaping. Do you generally agree with the recommendation to widen the road on both sides to balance the impacts on both sides of Kennedy Road?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Active Transportation Facilities:

5. The preferred solution for the active transportation facilities is to provide a continuous multi-use path on both sides of **Kennedy Road between Steeles Ave and Major Mackenzie Dr.** Do you generally agree with the recommendation to provide a Multi-Use Path?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

Areas of Special Consideration:

6. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **Hagerman Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

7. The recommended alternative at Kennedy Road by **St. Philips and Bethesda Cemeteries** is to provide narrower multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road between Steeles Avenue and Major Mackenzie Drive and reduced lane widths. Do you generally agree with the recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

However, the narrower lane widths will be a problem in the long-term because of significant increasing traffic flows. In particular it will cause Southbound traffic to flow into the Kylemore townhome development on the North-West segment of Kennedy Rd / 16th Avenue, this must not be allowed because the residential roads and laneways of this townhome development cannot accommodate such increased traffic flows.

8. The recommended alternative for the **Miller Avenue Extension** is to maintain the Markham EA approved alignment (K-1A) which provides access to Kennedy Road via Duffield Drive intersection and does not impact the replacement of the CN Rail Overpass structure. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

Kennedy Road Class Environmental Assessment Study

Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Open House #2 – November 25 and December 2, 2019

9. The recommended alternative at the **407ETR Crossing** is to provide separate pedestrian and cyclist bridges on both sides of the 407ETR crossing. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

great idea!

10. For the **Viva Rapidway from YMCA Boulevard to Highway 7**, it is Recommended to shift the Viva rapidway to share the proposed Transit/HOV lanes with YRT and provide multi-use paths and streetscaping. This will later be converted to the Ultimate Vision to provide a median Viva rapidway, multi-use paths and streetscaping. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments:

11. At the **GO Rail crossing north of Clayton Drive** it is Recommended to provide an at-grade crossing with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road, and an Ultimate Vision to provide an underpass (below the GO Rail tracks) with multi-use paths on both sides of Kennedy Road. Do you generally agree with this recommendation?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Comments: