2067 - Weston Road - Teston Road to King Road

| Project Description |  |  | Project ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Location | Weston Road | Road Segment ID | 2067 |
| Municipality | Vaughan, King | Length | $56-12$ to $56-16$ |
| Project Limits | Teston Road to King Road |  | $6,200 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Project Type | Widen to 4 lanes |  |  |



## Existing Conditions

Physical and Transportation Conditions
OP Designated ROW Up to 36 metres

Peak Hour
Auto Volume
Maximum Average
Model Forecast
2011 Existing
Daily truck volume
$880 \quad 740$

Peak Hour
VIC Ratio
Maximum Average
$0.87 \quad 0.74$

## Description

Existing 2 general purpose lanes. Widens to 4 lanes at the intersection of Teston Road/Weston Road with turning lanes at this intersection. No sidewalks on either side. Shared roadway (unsigned route). No transit services.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Near Regional Greenlands System.

Land Use and Built Primarily agricultural lands with pocket of estate residential. Laskay hamlet south of King Road. Mainly
Environment designated urban area up to north Vaughan boundary.

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | 1,940 | 1,500 | 1.94 | 1.49 |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 2,570 | 2,260 | 1.28 | 1.13 |

## 2067 - Weston Road - Teston Road to King Road (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Transportation network improvements needed to accommodate expansion of the Designated Urban Area.
- Capacity improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Corridor improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Corridor improvements need to support transit.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Optimize existing facility with intersection improvements only - Minor improvement for corridor traffic flow. Does not address overall traffic congestion.
3. Urbanize corridor but maintain 2-lane cross-section - Does not address traffic congestion. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities.
4. Widen corridor to 4 lanes and maintain rural cross-section - Addresses traffic capacity. Does not improve walking facilities.
5. Widen corridor to 4 lanes and construct to urban arterial standard - Addresses traffic capacity. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities.
6. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - Potential to divert some traffic to other corridors. Does not address corridor congestion and provides no improvements to walking and cycling facilities.

## Recommended Improvement and Justification

Recommendation Widen corridor to 4 lanes and construct to urban arterial standard.

Justification Forecast travel demands meet threshold for widening to 4 lanes. Widening accommodates growth in urban area in north Vaughan and King. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities.
TMP Phase 2027 to 2031: Teston Road to Kirby Road
2032 to 2041: Kirby Road to King Road

Alignment with TMP Objectives

| Support Transit | Support Road <br> Network | Support Active Transportation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | | Support Goods |
| :---: |
| Movement |$\quad$ Support Last Mile

## Costs

| Capital Cost | $\$$ | $36,155,500$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 309,900 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost | $\$$ | 116,900 |

## Related Projects

Name

2067 - Weston Road - Teston Road to King Road (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Weston Road at Teston Road


Weston Road at King Road


2069 - Pine Valley Drive - Rutherford Road to King Vaughan Road

| Project Description |  |  | Project ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Location | Pine Valley Drive | Road Segment ID | 2069 |
| Municipality | Vaughan | Length | $57-08$ to $57-14$ |
| Project Limits | Rutherford Road to King Vaughan Road | $8,280 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |
| Project Type | Widen to 4 lanes |  |  |



## Existing Conditions <br> Physical and Transportation Conditions

OP Designated ROW Up to 36 metres

|  | Peak Hour <br> Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour <br> V/C Ratio |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Model Forecast | $\frac{\text { Maximum }}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Average }}{}$ | Maximum | $\frac{\text { Average }}{}$ |
| 2011 Existing | 650 | 480 | 0.65 | 0.49 |
| Daily truck volume | N/A | N/A |  |  |

## Description

Existing 2 general purpose lanes with turning lanes at some intersections. No sidewalks on either side. Shared pathway (inboulevard) from Davos Road to Via Teodoro. Curbside transit from Davos Road to Major Mackenzie Drive. Jogged intersection at Pine Valley Drive and Teston Road.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Forested blocks on the east side between Rutherford Road and Major Mackenzie Drive. Corridor traverses or is adjacent to Regional Greenlands System throughout.
Environmentally Sensitive Areas: Designated areas northeast and northwest of Rutherford Road and Pine Valley Drive. Multiple ANSIs located on east side of Pine Valley Drive between Rutherford Road and Major Mackenzie Drive.

Land Use and Built Kortright Centre for Conservation on west side between Rutherford Road and Major Mackenzie Drive.
Environment Primarily agricultural with some residential development.

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | 1,370 | 1,170 | 1.37 | 1.23 |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 2,150 | 1,690 | 1.12 | 0.90 |

## 2069 - Pine Valley Drive - Rutherford Road to King Vaughan Road (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Transportation network improvements needed to accommodate expansion of the Designated Urban Area.
- Capacity improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Corridor improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Corridor improvements need to support transit.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Optimize existing facility with intersection improvements only - Minor improvement for corridor traffic flow. Does not address overall traffic congestion.
3. Urbanize corridor but maintain 2-lane cross-section - Does not address traffic congestion. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities.
4. Widen corridor to 4 lanes and maintain rural cross-section - Addresses traffic capacity. Does not improve walking facilities.
5. Widen corridor to 4 lanes and construct to urban arterial standard - Addresses traffic capacity. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities.
6. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - Potential to divert some traffic to other corridors. Does not address corridor congestion and provides no improvements to walking and cycling facilities.

## Recommended Improvement and Justification

Recommendation Widen corridor to 4 lanes and construct to urban arterial standard.

Justification Forecast demands meet threshold for widening to 4 lanes. Capacity improvements will serve growth in North Vaughan provide a continuous north-south corridor with the construction of the Pine Valley Drive missing road link. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities.

| TMP Phase | 2022 to 2026: Major Mackenzie Drive to Teston Road |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2027 to 2031: Rutherford Road to Major Mackenzie Drive |  |
| 2032 to 2041: Teston Road to King Vaughan Road |  |

Alignment with TMP Objectives

| Support Transit | Support Road <br> Network | Support Active Transportation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | | Support Goods |
| :---: |
| Movement |$\quad$ Support Last Mile

## Costs

| Capital Cost | $\$$ | $44,444,700$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 413,900 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost | $\$$ | 156,100 |

## Related Projects

Name

2069 - Pine Valley Drive - Rutherford Road to King Vaughan Road (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Pine Valley Drive at Rutherford Road


Pine Valley Drive at Major Mackenzie Drive


Pine Valley Drive at Teston Road


2070 - Warden Avenue - Steeles Avenue to McNabb Street

| Project Description |  |  | Project ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Location | Warden Avenue | Road Segment ID | $65-02$ |
| Municipality | Markham | Length | $1,500 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Project Limits | Steeles Avenue to McNabb Street |  |  |
| Project Type | Widen to 6 lanes |  |  |



## Existing Conditions <br> Physical and Transportation Conditions

OP Designated ROW Up to 45 metres

Model Forecast
2011 Existing
Daily truck volume

Peak Hour Auto Volume

| Peak Hour <br> Auto Volume |
| :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Maximum }}{\text { Mverage }}$ |
| 1,270 |$\quad 1,270$

Peak Hour
VIC Ratio
Maximum Average
$0.70 \quad 0.70$

## Description

Existing 4 general purpose lanes from Steeles Avenue to south of McNabb Street. Widens to 6 lanes at the intersection of McNabb Street/Warden Avenue. Turning lanes at intersections. Continuous sidewalks on both sides, but no dedicated cycling facilities. Curbside transit service.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Existing development on both sides.

Land Use and Built Primarily employment lands with some residential areas and retail/commercial.
Environment

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | 1,570 | 1,570 | 0.87 | 0.87 |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 1,990 | 1,990 | 0.85 | 0.85 |

## 2070 - Warden Avenue - Steeles Avenue to McNabb Street (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Capacity improvements needed to address existing congestion.
- Capacity improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Corridor improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Corridor improvements needed to support transit and HOV.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Optimize existing facility with intersection improvements only - Minor improvement for corridor traffic flow. Does not address overall traffic congestion. Does not improve transit/HOV and active modes.
3. Widen corridor to 6 lanes for general purpose capacity improvements - Improves traffic flow and reduces congestion. Opportunity to improve cycling facilities. Does not support shift to transit/HOV. Not consistent with Council policy to widen to 6 lanes for transit/HOV lanes only.
4. Widen corridor to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes - Addresses traffic capacity. Opportunity to improve cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit travel time and encourage shift to transit/HOV.
5. Widen corridor to implement rapid transit - Does not address traffic congestion. Transit ridership does not meet RT threshold.
6. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - Potential to divert some traffic to other corridors. Does not address corridor congestion and travel demand, no improvements to walking and cycling facilities, does not encourage shift to transit/HOV.

Recommended Improvement and Justification
Recommendation Widen corridor to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes.

| Justification | Corridor experiences congestion in peak periods. Opportunity to provide continuous transit/HOV lane from |
| :--- | :--- |
| Steeles Avenue to 16th Avenue and encourages shift to transit/HOV. Opportunity to provide cycling |  |
| facilities. |  |

TMP Phase
2027 to 2031

Alignment with TMP Objectives

| Support Transit | Support Road <br> Network | Support Active Transportation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | | Support Goods |
| :---: |
| Movement |$\quad$ Support Last Mile

## Costs

Capital Cost \$ 12,433,200

Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost \$
75,000
Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost
28,300

## Related Projects

Name

2070 - Warden Avenue - Steeles Avenue to McNabb Street (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints


Warden Avenue at 14th Avenue


## York Region

2072 - Warden Avenue - Highway 7 to Major Mackenzie Drive


Existing Conditions
Physical and Transportation Conditions
OP Designated ROW Up to 43 metres

|  | Peak Hour <br> Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour <br> V/C Ratio |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Model Forecast | $\frac{\text { Maximum }}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Average }}{}$ | Maximum | $\frac{\text { Average }}{}$ |
| 2011 Existing | 1,900 | 1,900 | 0.79 | 0.79 |
| Daily truck volume | $870 /$ day | $870 /$ day |  |  |

## Description

Existing 6 general purpose lanes from Highway 7 to Apple Creek Boulevard. Tapers to 4 lanes from Apple Creek Boulevard to 16th Avenue. Continuous sidewalks on both sides. No dedicated cycling facilities. Curbside transit service.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Existing development on both sides. Crossing of Berczy Creek and valley , part of Regional Greenlands System, north of 16th Avenue.

Land Use and Built Primarily residential areas with civic centre in the northwest quadrant of Highway 7 and Warden Avenue.

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | 2,840 | 2,840 | 1.18 | 1.18 |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 2,510 | 2,510 | 1.16 | 1.16 |

## 2072 - Warden Avenue - Highway 7 to Major Mackenzie Drive (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Capacity improvements needed to address existing congestion.
- Capacity improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Corridor improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Corridor improvements needed to support transit and HOV.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Optimize existing facility with intersection improvements only - Minor improvement for corridor traffic flow. Does not address overall traffic congestion. Does not improve transit/HOV and active modes.
3. Widen corridor to 6 lanes for general purpose capacity improvements - Improves traffic flow and reduces congestion. Opportunity to improve cycling facilities. Does not support shift to transit/HOV. Not consistent with Council policy to widen to 6 lanes for transit/HOV lanes only.
4. Widen corridor to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes - Addresses traffic capacity. Opportunity to improve cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit travel time and encourage shift to transit/HOV.
5. Widen corridor to implement rapid transit - Does not address traffic congestion. Transit ridership does not meet RT threshold.
6. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - Potential to divert some traffic to other corridors. Does not address corridor congestion and travel demand, no improvements to walking and cycling facilities, does not encourage shift to transit/HOV.

Recommended Improvement and Justification
Recommendation Widen corridor to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes. Convert 2 existing lanes south of Highway 7 to provide continuous transit/HOV lanes.

Justification Corridor experiences congestion in peak periods. Forecast traffic demand meets threshold for widening to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lane. Opportunity to provide continuous transit/HOV lane from Steeles Avenue to 16th Avenue and encourages shift to transit/HOV. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities.

TMP Phase
2027 to 2031: Highway 7 to 16th Avenue
2032 to 2041: 16th Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

Alignment with TMP Objectives

Support Transit \begin{tabular}{c}
Support Road <br>
Network

$\quad$ Support Active Transportation 


| Support Goods |
| :---: |
| Movement | <br>

\end{tabular}

| Costs |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Capital Cost | $\$$ | $44,102,100$ |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 188,900 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost | $\$$ | 71,300 |
| Related Projects |  | Project ID |
| Name | 2073 |  |
| Warden Avenue - Major Mackenzie Drive to Donald Cousens Parkway - Widen to 4 lanes |  |  |

2072 - Warden Avenue - Highway 7 to Major Mackenzie Drive (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Warden Avenue at Highway 7


Warden Avenue at 16th Avenue


2073 - Warden Avenue - Major Mackenzie Drive to Donald Cousens Parkway


## Existing Conditions

## Physical and Transportation Conditions

OP Designated ROW Up to 43 metres

| Peak Hour <br> Auto Volume | Peak Hour <br> VIC Ratio |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Maximum   <br> 980 $\frac{\text { Average }}{}$ 840 | $\frac{\text { Maximum }}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Average }}{}$ |  |
| $300 /$ day | $210 /$ day |  | 0.97 |

## Description

Existing 2 general purpose lanes with turning lanes at intersections. No sidewalk on either side. Paved shoulder. No transit services.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Agricultural fields on both sides.

Land Use and Built Low density residential south of Major Mackenzie Drive. Primarily agricultural uses north of Major
Environment Mackenzie Drive.

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | 2,530 | 2,000 | 2.23 | 1.81 |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 2,960 | 2,610 | 1.49 | 1.36 |

## York Region

## 2073 - Warden Avenue - Major Mackenzie Drive to Donald Cousens Parkway (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Transportation network improvements needed to accommodate expansion of the Designated Urban Area.
- Capacity improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Corridor improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Corridor improvements need to support transit.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Optimize existing facility with intersection improvements only - Minor improvement for corridor traffic flow. Does not address overall traffic congestion.
3. Urbanize corridor but maintain 2-lane cross-section - Does not address traffic congestion. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities.
4. Widen corridor to 4 lanes and maintain rural cross-section - Addresses traffic capacity. Does not improve walking facilities.
5. Widen corridor to 4 lanes and construct to urban arterial standard - Addresses traffic capacity. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities.
6. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - Potential to divert some traffic to other corridors. Does not address corridor congestion and provides no improvements to walking and cycling facilities.

## Recommended Improvement and Justification

Recommendation Widen corridor to 4 lanes and construct to urban arterial standard.

Justification Corridor is congested in peak periods. Forecast demand exceeds threshold for widening. Widening will accommodate growth in designated urban area. Opportunity for improved walking and cycling facilities.

TMP Phase
2027 to 2031

Alignment with TMP Objectives

| Support Transit | Supad <br> Network | Support Active Transportation | Support Goods <br> Movement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |


| Costs |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Capital Cost | $\$$ | $17,837,200$ |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 175,400 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost | $\$$ | 66,200 |
| Related Projects |  | Project ID |
| Name | 2072 |  |
| Warden Avenue - Highway 7 to Major Mackenzie Drive - Widen to 6 lanes |  |  |

2073 - Warden Avenue - Major Mackenzie Drive to Donald Cousens Parkway (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Warden Avenue at 16th Avenue


Warden Avenue at Major Mackenzie Drive


2074 - McCowan Road - Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

| Project Description |  |  | Project ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Location | McCowan Road | Road Segment ID | $\mathbf{2 0 7 4}$ |
| Municipality | Markham | Length | $67-01$ to $67-08$ |
| Project Limits | Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive |  | $7,770 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Project Type | Widen to 6 lanes |  |  |




## Description

Existing 4 general purpose lanes with turning lanes at intersections. Continuous sidewalks on both sides. No dedicated cycling facilities. Curbside transit service. Grade separated rail crossing for CN York Subdivision south of Highway 407. At-grade crossing of Stouffville GO line north of highway 7.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Crossing of Rouge River north of Highway 407. Milne Dam Conservation Park in northeast quadrant of McCowan Road and Highway 407.
Environmentally Sensitive Areas: Designated area east side of McCowan north of Highway 407 (Milne Dam Conservation Area).

Land Use and Built
Environment

Primarily residential south of Highway 7 and also north of Bullock Drive. Regional shopping centre northwest quadrant of McCowan Road and Highway 7. Employment/industrial area between Highway 7 and Bullock Drive on the east side.

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | 2,880 | 2,430 | 1.71 | 1.40 |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 2,910 | 2,530 | 1.21 | 1.03 |

## 2074 - McCowan Road - Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Capacity improvements needed to address existing congestion.
- Capacity improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Corridor improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Corridor improvements needed to support transit and HOV.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Optimize existing facility with intersection improvements only - Minor improvement for corridor traffic flow. Does not address overall traffic congestion. Does not improve transit/HOV and active modes.
3. Widen corridor to 6 lanes for general purpose capacity improvements - Improves traffic flow and reduces congestion. Opportunity to improve cycling facilities. Does not support shift to transit/HOV. Not consistent with Council policy to widen to 6 lanes for transit/HOV lanes only.
4. Widen corridor to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes - Addresses traffic capacity. Opportunity to improve cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit travel time and encourage shift to transit/HOV.
5. Widen corridor to implement rapid transit - Does not address traffic congestion. Transit ridership does not meet RT threshold.
6. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - Potential to divert some traffic to other corridors. Does not address corridor congestion and travel demand, no improvements to walking and cycling facilities, does not encourage shift to transit/HOV.

## Recommended Improvement and Justification

Recommendation Widen corridor to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes.

| Justification | Corridor experiences congestion during peak periods. Forecast volumes meet threshold for widening to 6 <br> lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes. Transit/HOV lanes benefit transit travel time and encourages shift to <br> transit/HOV. Opportunity to improve cycling facilities. |
| :--- | :--- |

TMP Phase
2022 to 2026: Steeles Avenue to 16th Avenue
2032 to 2041: 16th Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive

## Alignment with TMP Objectives

Support Transit Road

Network $\quad$ Support Active Transportation \begin{tabular}{c}

| Support Goods |
| :---: |
| Movement | <br>

\end{tabular}

| Costs |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Capital Cost | $\$$ | $75,874,500$ |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 388,400 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost | $\$$ | 146,500 |
| Related Projects |  | Project ID |
| Name | 2075 |  |
| McCowan Road - Major Mackenzie Drive to Donald Cousens Parkway - Widen to 4 lanes | 2138 |  |
| Stouffville GO Grade Separation - McCowan Road north of Highway 7-Rail grade separation |  |  |

## $5^{2}$ <br> York Region

2074 - McCowan Road - Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

McCowan Road at Steeles Avenue


McCowan Road at Highway 407


McCowan Road at 14th Avenue


McCowan Road at Highway 7


## $5^{2}$ <br> York Region

2074 - McCowan Road - Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

McCowan Road at 16th Avenue


McCowan Road at Major Mackenzie Drive


Stouffville GO at McCowan Road


2075 - McCowan Road - Major Mackenzie Drive to Donald Cousens Parkway


## Existing Conditions

Physical and Transportation Conditions
OP Designated ROW Up to 36 metres

Peak Hour
Auto Volume
Maximum Average
Model Forecast
2011 Existing
Daily truck volume

## Description

Existing 2 general purpose lanes with turning lanes at intersections. Widens to 4 lanes at the intersection of McCowan Road/Major Mackenzie Drive. No sidewalks on either side. Paved shoulder. No transit services.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Agricultural fields on both sides.
Environmentally Sensitive Areas: ANSI located midway between Major Mackenzie Drive and Elgin Mills Road.

Land Use and Built Primarily agricultural lands. Markham Fairgrounds at northeast quadrant of McCowan Road and Elgin Mills
Environment Road.

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | 1,300 | 1,080 | 1.30 | 1.08 |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 1,710 | 1,240 | 0.85 | 0.81 |

## York Region

## 2075 - McCowan Road - Major Mackenzie Drive to Donald Cousens Parkway (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Transportation network improvements needed to accommodate expansion of the Designated Urban Area.
- Capacity improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Corridor improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Corridor improvements need to support transit.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Optimize existing facility with intersection improvements only - Minor improvement for corridor traffic flow. Does not address overall traffic congestion.
3. Urbanize corridor but maintain 2-lane cross-section - Does not address traffic congestion. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities.
4. Widen corridor to 4 lanes and maintain rural cross-section - Addresses traffic capacity. Does not improve walking facilities.
5. Widen corridor to 4 lanes and construct to urban arterial standard - Addresses traffic capacity. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities.
6. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - Potential to divert some traffic to other corridors. Does not address corridor congestion and provides no improvements to walking and cycling facilities.

## Recommended Improvement and Justification

Recommendation Widen corridor to 4 lanes and construct to urban arterial standard.

Justification Forecast meets threshold for 4-lane widening. Accommodates growth in designated urban area. Provides improved facilities for walking and cycling.

TMP Phase
2032 to 2041

Alignment with TMP Objectives

Support Transit \begin{tabular}{c}
Support Road <br>
Network

 Support Active Transportation 

Support Goods <br>
Movement
\end{tabular}$\quad$ Support Last Mile

| Costs |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Capital Cost | $\$$ | $14,711,500$ |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 132,000 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost | $\$$ | 49,800 |
| Related Projects |  | Project ID |
| Name | 2074 |  |
| McCowan Road - Steeles Avenue to Major Mackenzie Drive - Widen to 6 lanes |  |  |

2075 - McCowan Road - Major Mackenzie Drive to Donald Cousens Parkway (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

McCowan Road at Major Mackenzie Drive


2076 - Markham Road - Steeles Avenue to north of 14th Avenue

| Project Description |  |  | Project ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Location | Markham Road | Road Segment ID | 2076 |
| Municipality | Markham | Length | $68-01$ to $68-02$ |
| Project Limits | Steeles Avenue to north of 14th Avenue |  | $2,000 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Project Type | Widen to 6 lanes |  |  |



Existing Conditions
Physical and Transportation Conditions
OP Designated ROW Up to 45 metres

|  | Peak Hour <br> Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour <br> V/C Ratio |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Model Forecast | $\frac{1,950}{\text { Maximum }}$ | $\frac{\text { Average }}{1,790}$ | $\frac{\text { Maximum }}{0.98}$ | $\frac{\text { Average }}{0.94}$ |
| 2011 Existing | N/A | N/A |  |  |
| Daily truck volume |  |  |  |  |

## Description

Existing 4 general purpose lanes with turning lanes at intersections. Widens to 6 lanes at the intersection of Steeles Avenue/Markham Road. Continuous sidewalk on west side from Steeles Avenue to CN railway underpass. Discontinuous sidewalk on east side south of New Delhi Road ( $\sim 200 \mathrm{~m}$ ). No dedicated cycling facilities. Curbside transit service. CN York Subdivision railway underpass south of 14th Avenue; structural walls of underpass abuts travel lanes and sidewalk.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Existing development on both sides.

Land Use and Built Residential and retail-commercial land uses on both sides of corridor.
Environment

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | 2,330 | 2,220 | 1.17 | 1.16 |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 2,500 | 2,470 | 1.07 | 1.06 |

## York Region

## 2076 - Markham Road - Steeles Avenue to north of 14th Avenue (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Capacity improvements needed to address existing congestion.
- Capacity improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Corridor improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Corridor improvements needed to support transit and HOV.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Optimize existing facility with intersection improvements only - Minor improvement for corridor traffic flow. Does not address overall traffic congestion. Does not improve transit/HOV and active modes.
3. Widen corridor to 6 lanes for general purpose capacity improvements - Improves traffic flow and reduces congestion. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities. Does not support shift to transit/HOV. Not consistent with Council policy to widen to 6 lanes for transit/HOV lanes only.
4. Widen corridor to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes - Addresses traffic capacity. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit travel time and encourage shift to transit/HOV.
5. Widen corridor to implement rapid transit - Does not address traffic congestion. Transit ridership does not meet RT threshold.
6. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - Potential to divert some traffic to other corridors. Does not address corridor congestion and travel demand, no improvements to walking and cycling facilities, does not encourage shift to transit/HOV.

Recommended Improvement and Justification
Recommendation Widen corridor to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes.

Justification Traffic demand meets threshold for widening to 6 lanes for transit/HOV lanes. Connects to 6-lane corridor south of Steeles Avenue. Opportunity to provide continuous transit/HOV lanes which benefits transit travel time and encourages shift to transit/HOV. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities.

TMP Phase
2022 to 2026

Alignment with TMP Objectives

Support Transit \begin{tabular}{c}
Support Road <br>
Network

$\quad$ Support Active Transportation 


| Support Goods |
| :---: |
| Movement | <br>

\end{tabular}

## Costs

| Capital Cost | $\$$ | $51,755,300$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 100,000 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost | $\$$ | 37,700 |

Related Projects
Name
Highway 48 (MTO) - Major Mackenzie Drive to Bloomington Road - Widen to 4 lanes

## $5^{2}$ <br> York Region

2076 - Markham Road - Steeles Avenue to north of 14th Avenue (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Markham Road at Steeles Avenue


Markham Road at 14th Avenue


Railway underpass south of 14th Avenue (Image capture: 2015, ©2016 Google)


2077 - Highway 48 (MTO) - Major Mackenzie Drive to Bloomington Road

| Project Description |  |  | Project ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Location | Highway 48 (MTO) | Road Segment ID | $\mathbf{2 0 7 7}$ |
| Municipality | Markham, Whitchurch-Stouffville | Length | to |
| Project Limits | Major Mackenzie Drive to Bloomington Road |  | $10,190 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Project Type | Widen to 4 lanes |  |  |



## Existing Conditions

## Physical and Transportation Conditions

OP Designated ROW N/A

|  | Peak Hour <br> Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour <br> VIC Ratio |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Model Forecast | $\frac{\text { Maximum }}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Average }}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Maximum }}{0}$ | $\frac{\text { Average }}{}$ |
| 2011 Existing | 710 | 670 |  | 0.71 |

## Description

Existing 2 general purpose lanes from Major Mackenzie Drive to Stouffville Road. Widens to 4 lanes from Stouffville Road to north of Millard Street. Tapers to 2 lanes south of Bloomington Road. Widens to 4 lanes at the intersection of Bloomington Road/Highway 48. No sidewalks on either side. No dedicated cycling facilities. Curbside transit service between Sam's Way to Millard Street.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Corridor crosses forested areas and Regional Greenlands System.
Source Water Protection Areas: Adjacent to protection area just south of Bloomington Road.

Land Use and Built
Environment

Primarily agricultural lands on both sides of corridor. Cemetery located on west side south of Elgin Mills Road. Corridor bypasses Dickenson Hill hamlet. Parcel of retail-commercial located south of Stouffville. Golf course and commercial uses north of Stouffville Road-Main Street.

|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | 1,300 | 1,060 | 1.29 | 1.05 |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 1,600 | 1,520 | 0.80 | 0.76 |

## 2077 - Highway 48 (MTO) - Major Mackenzie Drive to Bloomington Road (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Transportation network improvements needed to accommodate expansion of the Designated Urban Area.
- Capacity improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Corridor improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Corridor improvements need to support transit.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Optimize existing facility with intersection improvements only - Minor improvement for corridor traffic flow. Does not address overall traffic congestion.
3. Urbanize corridor but maintain 2-lane cross-section - Does not address traffic congestion. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities.
4. Widen corridor to 4 lanes and maintain rural cross-section - Addresses traffic capacity. Does not improve walking facilities.
5. Widen corridor to 4 lanes and construct to urban arterial standard - Addresses traffic capacity. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities.
6. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - Potential to divert some traffic to other corridors. Does not address corridor congestion and provides no improvements to walking and cycling facilities.

## Recommended Improvement and Justification

Recommendation Widen corridor to 4 lanes and construct to urban arterial standard within designated urban areas.

| Justification | Provides additional north-south capacity parallel to Ninth Line to accommodate growth in Stouffville. Serves |
| :--- | :--- |
| as a Primary Arterial for Goods Movement. Corridor under Provincial jurisdiction. Opportunity to improve |  |
| walking and cycling facilities within urban area. |  |

TMP Phase
2032 to 2041

## Alignment with TMP Objectives

Support Transit \begin{tabular}{c}
Support Road <br>
Network

$\quad$ Support Active Transportation 

Support Goods <br>
Movement
\end{tabular}$\quad$ Support Last Mile

| Costs |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Capital Cost | $\$$ | $42,478,500$ |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 320,000 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost | $\$$ | 192,100 |
| Related Projects |  | Project ID |
| Name |  |  |
| Markham Road - Steeles Avenue to north of 14th Avenue - Widen to 6 lanes |  |  |

## $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ <br> York Region

2077 - Highway 48 (MTO) - Major Mackenzie Drive to Bloomington Road (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Highway 48 at Major Mackenzie Drive


Highway 48 at Bloomington Road


Highway 48 at Stouffville Road


Cemetery east side of Highway 48 south of 19th Avenue


2078-14th Avenue - Markham Road to York/Durham Line

| Project Description |  |  | Project ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Location | 14th Avenue | Road Segment ID | 2078 |
| Municipality | Markham | Length | $71-40$ to $71-46$ |
| Project Limits | Markham Road to York/Durham Line |  | $6,660 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Project Type | Widen to 4 lanes |  |  |



Existing Conditions

## Physical and Transportation Conditions

OP Designated ROW Up to 43 metres

|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model Forecast | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2011 Existing | 1,100 | 440 | 1.37 | 0.57 |
| Daily truck volume | 1,040 /day | $220 /$ day |  |  |

## Description

Existing 4 general purpose lanes from Markham Road to Havelock Gate. Tapers to 2 lanes from Havelock Gate to York/Durham Line. Turning lanes at some intersections. Jogged intersection at Reesor Road. Sidewalk on south side from Markham Road to Sellwood Lane. Discontinuous sidewalk on north side. Multiuse path in Roxbury Park. Curbside transit from Markham Road to Chatelaine Drive, Boxwood Crescent to Legacy Drive, and from Riverwalk Drive to Donald Cousens Parkway. At-grade rail crossing for CP Havelock Rail Line east of Donald Cousens Parkway (Box Grove Collector Road). Corridor serves as an extension of the future Whitevale Bypass in Pickering, serving the Seaton community.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: 14th Avenue crosses Rouge River west of Ninth Line and crosses Little Rouge Creek east of Reesor Road. Corridor traverses forested areas, Regional Greenlands System, and Rouge National Urban Park (RNUP) between Donald Cousens Parkway and York/Durham Line. Widening of corridor will likely pose one of the largest challenges of any park-related road improvement in terms of conservation of nature, culture and agriculture, promotion of visitor connection with park resources and landscape character.

Land Use and Built
Environment

Historic community of Box Grove located at Ninth Line. Residential subdivisions between Markham Road and Donald Cousens Parkway. Cedar Grove Community Centre east of Reesor Road is designated under the Ontario Heritage Act. Corridor traverses the RNUP between Donald Cousens Parkway and York/Durham Line. Parks Canada noted that 14th Avenue passes through important cultural and natural heritage landscapes within the RNUP and is one of the Park's distinguishing 2-lane rural roads. The Bob Hunter Memorial Park portion of the RNUP is an important venue for ecological restoration, loop trails, public programming and education.

## York Region

2078-14th Avenue - Markham Road to York/Durham Line (continued)

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | 1,640 | 750 | 2.04 | 0.96 |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 2,230 | 1,330 | 1.39 | 0.86 |

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Transportation network improvements needed to accommodate expansion of the Designated Urban Area.
- Elimination of jogged intersection needed to provide continuous corridor.
- Capacity improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Corridor improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Corridor improvements need to support transit.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Optimize existing facility with intersection improvements only - Minor improvement for corridor traffic flow. Does not address overall traffic congestion.
3. Urbanize corridor but maintain 2-lane cross-section - Does not address traffic congestion. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities.
4. Widen corridor to 4 lanes and construct to urban arterial standard - Addresses traffic capacity. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities.
5. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - Potential to divert some traffic to other corridors. Does not address corridor congestion and provides no improvements to walking and cycling facilities.

## Recommended Improvement and Justification

Recommendation Widen corridor to 4 lanes and construct to urban arterial standard within designated urban area. A rural standard could be considered within Rouge National Urban Park with special attention to park functions, values, and character. Eliminate jogged intersection at Reesor Road. Timing of this widening be coordinated with Durham Region's construction of the Whitevale Bypass, which already has a completed EA. Parks Canada requested that the scope of any future EA include the examination of larger network solutions to accommodate east-west traffic movements.
Justification Corridor is congested during peak periods and is a bottleneck in the road network. Widening will complete the partial urban cross-section on existing segments of the roadway. Will provide connection to a future arterial road in Durham (Whitevale Bypass). Section east of railway is outside of designated urban area and rural cross-section is recommended. Realigned intersection improves capacity and traffic flow. A roundabout could be an option to address the jogged intersection at Reesor Road. Parks Canada requested that the corridor's landscape character, and its contribution to the pastoral character of this part of RNUP, be a key consideration in future environmental assessments.
TMP Phase 2022 to 2026: Markham Road to Donald Cousens Parkway 2032 to 2041: Donald Cousens Parkway to York/Durham Line

Alignment with TMP Objectives

| Support Transit | Support Road <br> Network | Support Active Transportation | Support Goods <br> Movement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |


| Costs |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Capital Cost | $\$$ | $41,006,400$ |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 236,500 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost | $\$$ | 125,500 |
| Related Projects |  | Project ID |
| Name | 2155 |  |
| CP Havelock Grade Separation - 14th Avenue east of Donald Cousens Parkway - Rail grade separation |  |  |

## $5^{2}$ <br> York Region

2078-14th Avenue - Markham Road to York/Durham Line (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

14th Avenue at Markham Road


14th Avenue at Donald Cousens Parkway


14th Avenue at Box Grove Bypass


Jogged intersection at 14th Avenue at Reesor Road


2078-14th Avenue - Markham Road to York/Durham Line (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

14th Avenue at York/Durham Line


CP Havelock at 14th Avenue


## York Region

2079 - Langstaff Road - Weston Road to Jane Street

| Project Description |  |  | Project ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Location | Langstaff Road | Road Segment ID | 2079 |
| Municipality | Vaughan | Length | $72-15$ to $72-16$ |
| Project Limits | Weston Road to Jane Street | Widen to 6 lanes |  |
| Project Type | Win |  |  |




## Description

Existing 4 general purpose lanes with turning lanes at intersections. Continuous sidewalk on south side. Sidewalk on north side is disconnected across the Highway 400 crossing. No dedicated cycling facilities. Curbside transit service.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Existing development on both sides.

Land Use and Built Major employment area with light industrial and warehouse uses on both sides.
Environment

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | 2,220 | 2,160 | 1.39 | 1.35 |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 2,460 | 2,190 | 1.14 | 1.01 |

## 2079 - Langstaff Road - Weston Road to Jane Street (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Capacity improvements needed to address existing congestion and goods movement.
- Capacity improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Corridor improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Corridor improvements needed to support transit and HOV.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Optimize existing facility with intersection improvements only - Minor improvement for corridor traffic flow. Does not address overall traffic congestion. Does not improve transit/HOV and active modes.
3. Widen corridor to 6 lanes for general purpose capacity improvements - Improves traffic flow and reduces congestion. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities. Does not support shift to transit/HOV. Not consistent with Council policy to widen to 6 lanes for transit/HOV lanes only.
4. Widen corridor to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes - Addresses traffic capacity. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit travel time and encourage shift to transit/HOV.
5. Widen corridor to implement rapid transit - Does not address traffic congestion. Transit ridership does not meet RT threshold.
6. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - Potential to divert some traffic to other corridors. Does not address corridor congestion and travel demand, no improvements to walking and cycling facilities, does not encourage shift to transit/HOV.

## Recommended Improvement and Justification

Recommendation Widen corridor to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes.

| Justification | Forecast traffic demand meets threshold for widening to 6 lanes for transit/HVO lanes. Provides continuous <br> 6-lane corridor with completion of missing link east of Jane Street. Corridor serves as Primary Arterial for <br> Goods Movement and provides access to Highway 400. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling <br> facilities. |
| :--- | :--- |

TMP Phase
2027 to 2031

Alignment with TMP Objectives

Support Transit \begin{tabular}{c}
Support Road <br>
Network

 Support Active Transportation 

Support Goods <br>
Movement
\end{tabular}$\quad$ Support Last Mile

| Costs |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Capital Cost | $\$$ | $24,505,800$ |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 98,000 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost | $\$$ | 36,900 |
| Related Projects |  | Project ID |
| Name | 2080 |  |
| Langstaff Road - Jane Street to Keele Street - Missing Link | 2113 |  |
| Highway 400 Interchange Improvements - at Langstaff Road - Interchange Improvements |  |  |

2079 - Langstaff Road - Weston Road to Jane Street (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Langstaff Road at Weston Road


Langstaff Road at Highway 400


## Langstaff Road at Jane Street



2080 - Langstaff Road - Jane Street to Keele Street


## Existing Conditions <br> Physical and Transportation Conditions

OP Designated ROW N/A

|  | Peak Hour <br> Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour <br> V/C Ratio |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Model Forecast | $\frac{\text { Maximum }}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Average }}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Maximum }}{0.27}$ | $\frac{\text { Average }}{0.27}$ |
| 2011 Existing | 390 | 390 |  |  |
| Daily truck volume | N/A | N/A |  |  |

## Description

Existing 4 general purpose lanes from Jane Street to industrial area. The road is discontinuous at the CN MacMillan Yard. The existing road section does not have cycling facilities, but has sidewalks on both sides.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Existing development on both west of Creditstone Road. Railyard east of Creditstone Road.

Land Use and Built
Environment

Major employment area with light industrial and warehouse uses. Missing road link across CN MacMillan Yard, which is the largest rail yard in North America and operates 24 hours per day and 7 days per week.

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | 800 | 800 | 0.57 | 0.57 |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 2,140 | 2,140 | 1.13 | 1.13 |

## 2080 - Langstaff Road - Jane Street to Keele Street (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Capacity improvements needed to address existing congestion and goods movement.
- Capacity improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Corridor improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Corridor improvements needed to support transit and HOV.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - Potential to divert travel demand to other corridor. Does not address travel demand within corridor. No improvements to walking and cycling. No improvement to transit service.
3. Construct missing link - Addresses travel demand in corridor. Opportunity to provide walking and cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit service.


## $5^{7}$ <br> York Region

2080 - Langstaff Road - Jane Street to Keele Street (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Langstaff Road at Jane Street


Langstaff Road at Keele Street


## CN MacMillan Yard



2081 - Langstaff Road - Keele Street to Dufferin Street

| Project Description |  |  | Project ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Location | Langstaff Road | Road Segment ID | $\mathbf{2 0 8 1}$ |
| Municipality | Vaughan | Length | $2,140 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Project Limits | Keele Street to Dufferin Street |  |  |
| Project Type | Widen to 6 lanes |  |  |




## Description

Existing 2 general purpose lanes with turning lanes at some intersections. Widens to 4 lanes at the intersection of Dufferin Street/Langstaff Road. Sidewalk on north side only from west of Dufferin Street to Staffern Drive and from Spinnaker Way to Keele Street. No dedicated cycling facilities. Curbside transit between Planchet Road and North Rivermede Road. At-grade rail crossing of Barrie GO Line east of Keele Street.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Langstaff Park located on south side east of Keele Street.

Land Use and Built Major employment area with light industrial and warehouse uses.
Environment

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | 1,190 | 1,190 | 1.31 | 1.31 |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 2,440 | 2,440 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## 2081 - Langstaff Road - Keele Street to Dufferin Street (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Capacity improvements needed to address existing congestion and goods movement.
- Capacity improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Corridor improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Corridor improvements needed to support transit and HOV.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Optimize existing facility with intersection improvements only - Minor improvement for corridor traffic flow. Does not address overall traffic congestion. Does not improve transit/HOV and active modes.
3. Widen corridor to 6 lanes for general purpose capacity improvements - Improves traffic flow and reduces congestion. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities. Does not support shift to transit/HOV. Not consistent with Council policy to widen to 6 lanes for transit/HOV lanes only.
4. Widen corridor to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes - Addresses traffic capacity. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit travel time and encourage shift to transit/HOV.
5. Widen corridor to implement rapid transit - Does not address traffic congestion. Transit ridership does not meet RT threshold.
6. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - Potential to divert some traffic to other corridors. Does not address corridor congestion and travel demand, no improvements to walking and cycling facilities, does not encourage shift to transit/HOV.

## Recommended Improvement and Justification

Recommendation Widen corridor to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes.

| Justification | Forecast traffic demand meets threshold for widening to 6 lanes. Provides continuous 6-lane transit/HOV <br> corridor with completion of missing link west of Keele Street and connection to Highway 400. Corridor |
| :--- | :--- |
| serves as Primary Arterial for Goods Movement. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities. |  |

TMP Phase
2022 to 2026

Alignment with TMP Objectives

Support Transit \begin{tabular}{c}
Support Road <br>
Network

$\quad$ Support Active Transportation 

Support Goods <br>
Movement
\end{tabular}$\quad$ Support Last Mile

## Costs

| Capital Cost | $\$$ | $18,964,300$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 107,000 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost | $\$$ | 40,300 |
| Related Projects |  |  |
| Name | Project ID |  |
| Langstaff Road - Jane Street to Keele Street - Missing Link | 2080 |  |
| Barrie GO Grade Separation - Langstaff Road east of Keele Street - Rail grade separation | 2136 |  |

## ${ }^{2}$ <br> York Region

2081 - Langstaff Road - Keele Street to Dufferin Street (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Langstaff Road at Keele Street


## Langstaff Road at Dufferin Street



Barrie GO at Langstaff Road


2082 - Rutherford Road - Highway 50 to Weston Road

| Project Description |  |  | Project ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Location | Rutherford Road | Road Segment ID | $\mathbf{2 0 8 2}$ |
| Municipality | Vaughan | Length | $73-06$ to $73-14$ |
| Project Limits | Highway 50 to Weston Road |  | $9,290 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Project Type | Widen to 6 lanes |  |  |



Existing Conditions

## Physical and Transportation Conditions

OP Designated ROW Up to 43 metres

|  | Peak Hour <br> Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour <br> V/C Ratio |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Model Forecast | $\frac{\text { Maximum }}{1,580}$ | $\underline{\text { Average }}$ | 1,150 |  |

## Description

Existing 4 general purpose lanes with turning lanes at intersections. Sidewalk on north side from Weston Road to Pine Valley Drive and from Islington Avenue to Highway 27. Sidewalk on south side form Weston Road to Vaughan Mills Road. Shared pathway (inboulevard) from Vaughan Mills Road to west of Islington Avenue, and from Islington Avenue to Humber River Trail. Curbside transit from Highway 50 to Huntington Road and from Highway 27 to Weston Road. At-grade rail crossing for CP Rail Line located west of Highway 27.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Crossing of Humber River east of Highway 27. Crossing of East Humber River east of Islington Avenue. Abuts Boyd Conservation Area \& Kortright Centre Conservation Area.
Environmentally Sensitive Areas: Designated area east of Highway 27 on south side of Rutherford Road. Designated areas on north and south sides of Rutherford Road east of Islington Avenue to east of Pine Valley Drive.

Land Use and Built
Environment

Industrial uses and CP Rail terminal located between Highway 50 to Huntington. Agricultural lands between Huntington and Highway 27. Cemetery located on the north side east of McGillivray Road. Mix of residential and valley lands between Highway 27 and Weston Road.

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | 1,980 | 1,720 | 1.10 | 1.05 |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 3,040 | 2,060 | 1.12 | 0.87 |

## 2082 - Rutherford Road - Highway 50 to Weston Road (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Capacity improvements needed to address existing congestion and goods movement.
- Capacity improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Corridor improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Corridor improvements needed to support transit and HOV.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Optimize existing facility with intersection improvements only - Minor improvement for corridor traffic flow. Does not address overall traffic congestion. Does not improve transit/HOV and active modes.
3. Widen corridor to 6 lanes for general purpose capacity improvements - Improves traffic flow and reduces congestion. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities. Does not support shift to transit/HOV. Not consistent with Council policy to widen to 6 lanes for transit/HOV lanes only.
4. Widen corridor to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes - Addresses traffic capacity. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit travel time and encourage shift to transit/HOV.
5. Widen corridor to implement rapid transit - Does not address traffic congestion. Transit ridership does not meet RT threshold.
6. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - Potential to divert some traffic to other corridors. Does not address corridor congestion and travel demand, no improvements to walking and cycling facilities, does not encourage shift to transit/HOV.

## Recommended Improvement and Justification

Recommendation Widen corridor to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes. Convert 2 existing lanes between Weston Road and Jane Street to transit/HOV lanes.

Justification Meets threshold for widening to 6 lanes along most of the corrido. Improves access to Highway 427 extension and interchange. Opportunity to provide continuous transit/HOV lanes which benefits transit travel time and encourages shift to transit/HOV. Provides improved walking and cycling facilities. Improvements at the intersection of Highway 50 will require coordination with Peel Region

| TMP Phase | 2027 to 2031: Pine Valley Drive to Weston Road |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2032 to 2041: Highway 50 to Pine Valley Drive |  |

Alignment with TMP Objectives

Support Transit \begin{tabular}{c}
Support Road <br>
Network

$\quad$ Support Active Transportation 


| Support Goods |
| :---: |
| Movement | <br>

\end{tabular}

| Costs |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Capital Cost | $\$$ | $98,023,700$ |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 464,300 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost | $\$$ | 175,100 |
| Related Projects |  | Project ID |
| Name | 2144 |  |
| CP MacTier Grade Separation - Rutherford Road west of Highway 27 - Rail grade separation |  |  |

## $57^{2}$ <br> York Region

2082 - Rutherford Road - Highway 50 to Weston Road (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Rutherford Road at Highway 50


Rutherford Road at Islington Avenue


Rutherford Road at Highway 27


Rutherford Road at Pine Valley Drive


2082 - Rutherford Road - Highway 50 to Weston Road (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Rutherford Road at Weston Road


CP MacTier at Rutherford Road


2084 - Rutherford Road - Jane Street to Bathurst Street

| Project Description |  |  | Project ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Location | Rutherford Road | Road Segment ID | $\mathbf{2 0 8 4}$ |
| Municipality | Vaughan | Length | 73-18 to $73-22$ |
| Project Limits | Jane Street to Bathurst Street |  | $6,270 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Project Type | Widen to 6 lanes |  |  |



| Existing Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Physical and Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| OP Designated ROW | Up to 43 metres |  |  |  |
|  | Pea Auto |  | Peak VIC R |  |
| Model Forecast | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2011 Existing | 1,800 | 1,630 | 1.00 | 0.90 |
| Daily truck volume | 2,960 /day | 2,410/day |  |  |

## Description

Existing 4 general purpose lanes with turning lanes at intersections. Widens to 6 lanes at the intersection of Rutherford Road/Jane Street. No continuous sidewalk between Jane Street and Bathurst Street. No dedicated cycling facilities. Curbside transit service. Grade separated rail crossing of CN rail spur east of Jane Street. At-grade rail crossing of Barrie GO Line east of Keele Street.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: A number of forested areas and creek crossings along the corridor.

Land Use and Built Mix of industrial and residential land uses. Residential ranges from condo developments near Jane Street Environment to low-density subdivisions.

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | 2,340 | 2,110 | 1.30 | 1.17 |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 2,370 | 2,340 | 0.88 | 0.86 |

## 2084 - Rutherford Road - Jane Street to Bathurst Street (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Capacity improvements needed to address existing congestion and goods movement.
- Capacity improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Corridor improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Corridor improvements needed to support transit and HOV.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Optimize existing facility with intersection improvements only - Minor improvement for corridor traffic flow. Does not address overall traffic congestion. Does not improve transit/HOV and active modes.
3. Widen corridor to 6 lanes for general purpose capacity improvements - Improves traffic flow and reduces congestion. Opportunity to improve cycling facilities. Does not support shift to transit/HOV. Not consistent with Council policy to widen to 6 lanes for transit/HOV lanes only.
4. Widen corridor to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes - Addresses traffic capacity. Opportunity to improve cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit travel time and encourage shift to transit/HOV.
5. Widen corridor to implement rapid transit - Does not address traffic congestion. Transit ridership does not meet RT threshold.
6. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - Potential to divert some traffic to other corridors. Does not address corridor congestion and travel demand, no improvements to cycling facilities, does not encourage shift to transit/HOV.

## Recommended Improvement and Justification

Recommendation Widen corridor to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes. Convert 2 existing lanes between Weston Road and Jane Street to transit/HOV lanes.

Justification Forecast demand meets threshold for widening to 6 lanes. Continuous transit/HOV lanes benefits transit travel time and encourages shift to transit/HOV. Improves cycling facilities in the corridor. EA study for corridor (Jane Street to Yonge Street) underway. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities.

TMP Phase
2017 to 2021

Alignment with TMP Objectives

| Support TransitSupport Road <br> Network |
| :---: |

## Support Active Transportation <br> 

Support Goods Movement


Support Last Mile

## Costs

Capital Cost \$
Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost
Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost

71,928,500
313,400
118,200

## Related Projects

Name
Carrville Road - Bathurst Street to Yonge Street - Widen to 6 lanes

## $5^{2}$ <br> York Region

2084 - Rutherford Road - Jane Street to Bathurst Street (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Rutherford Road at Jane Street


## Rutherford Road at Dufferin Street



Rutherford Road at Keele Street


Rutherford Road at Bathurst Street


2084 - Rutherford Road - Jane Street to Bathurst Street (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Barrie GO at Rutherford Road


2085 - Carrville Road - Bathurst Street to Yonge Street

| Project Description |  |  | Project ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Location | Carrville Road | Road Segment ID | $\mathbf{2 0 8 5}$ |
| Municipality | Richmond Hill | Length | $2,150 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Project Limits | Bathurst Street to Yonge Street |  |  |
| Project Type | Widen to 6 lanes |  |  |



Existing Conditions

## Physical and Transportation Conditions

OP Designated ROW Up to 43 metres

| Peak Hour <br> Auto Volume | Peak Hour <br> VIC Ratio |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum <br> 1,030 | $\frac{\text { Average }}{1,030}$ | $\frac{\text { Maximum }}{\text { Average }}$ |  |
| $1,490 /$ day | $1,490 /$ day | 0.64 | 0.64 |

## Description

Existing 4 general purpose lanes with turning lanes at intersections. Continuous sidewalks on both sides. No dedicated cycling facilities. Curbside transit service.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Existing development on both sides. Crossing of Regional Greenlands System. Environmentally Sensitive Areas: Designated area just south of Carrville Road east of Bathurst within Regional Greenlands System.

Land Use and Built Mostly residential, with direct residential frontage on Carrville Road. Public school located midway between
Environment Bathurst Street and Yonge Street . Retail/commercial uses centred on Yonge Street.

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | 1,200 | 1,200 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 1,600 | 1,600 | 0.67 | 0.67 |

## 2085 - Carrville Road - Bathurst Street to Yonge Street (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Capacity improvements needed to address existing congestion and goods movement.
- Capacity improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Corridor improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Corridor improvements needed to support transit and HOV.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Optimize existing facility with intersection improvements only - Minor improvement for corridor traffic flow. Does not address overall traffic congestion. Does not improve transit/HOV and active modes.
3. Widen corridor to 6 lanes for general purpose capacity improvements - Improves traffic flow and reduces congestion. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities. Does not support shift to transit/HOV. Not consistent with Council policy to widen to 6 lanes for transit/HOV lanes only.
4. Widen corridor to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes - Addresses traffic capacity. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit travel time and encourage shift to transit/HOV.
5. Widen corridor to implement rapid transit - Does not address traffic congestion. Transit ridership does not meet RT threshold.
6. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - Potential to divert some traffic to other corridors. Does not address corridor congestion and travel demand, no improvements to walking and cycling facilities, does not encourage shift to transit/HOV.

## Recommended Improvement and Justification

Recommendation Widen corridor to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes.

| Justification | Forecast demand meets threshold for widening to 6 lanes. Continuous transit/HOV lanes benefits transit <br> travel time and encourages shift to transit/HOV. Improves cycling facilities in the corridor. EA study for <br> corridor (Jane Street to Yonge Street) underway. |
| :--- | :--- |

TMP Phase
2027 to 2031

Alignment with TMP Objectives

Support Transit \begin{tabular}{c}
Support Road <br>
Network

 Support Active Transportation 

Support Goods <br>
Movement
\end{tabular}$\quad$ Support Last Mile

## Costs

Capital Cost \$

19,799,100
Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost \$
107,500
Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost
Related Projects
Name
Project ID
Rutherford Road - Jane Street to Bathurst Street - Widen to 6 lanes
2084
16th Avenue - Yonge Street to Leslie Street - Widen to 6 lanes
2086

2085 - Carrville Road - Bathurst Street to Yonge Street (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Carrville Road at Bathurst Street


Carrville Road at Yonge Street


## York Region

2086-16th Avenue - Yonge Street to Leslie Street

| Project Description |  |  | Project ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Location | 16th Avenue | Road Segment ID | $\mathbf{2 0 8 6}$ |
| Municipality | Richmond Hill | Length | $73-26$ to $73-28$ |
| Project Limits | Yonge Street to Leslie Street |  | $3,870 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Project Type | Widen to 6 lanes |  |  |



## Existing Conditions

Physical and Transportation Conditions
OP Designated ROW Up to 43 metres

| Peak Hour <br> Auto Volume | Peak Hour <br> VIC Ratio |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\frac{\text { Maximum }}{1,460}$ | $\frac{\text { Average }}{}$ | 1,330 | $\frac{\text { Maximum }}{\text { Average }}$ |
| $1,780 /$ day | $1,650 /$ day | 0.81 | 0.74 |

## Description

Existing 4 general purpose lanes with turning lanes at intersections. Continuous sidewalks on both sides. No dedicated cycling facilities. Curbside transit service. Grade separated rail crossing for Richmond Hill GO/CN Rail Line located east of Yonge Street.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Existing development on both sides. Crossing of German Mills Creek east of Yonge Street.

Land Use and Built
Environment

Retail/commercial uses at Yonge Street. Residential eastward to Leslie with direct frontage on to 16th Avenue on the south side between Yonge Street and Bayview Avenue. Employment area at Leslie Street.

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | 2,060 | 1,940 | 1.14 | 1.08 |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 2,310 | 2,160 | 0.85 | 0.80 |

## York Region

## 2086-16th Avenue - Yonge Street to Leslie Street (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Capacity improvements needed to address existing congestion and goods movement.
- Capacity improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Corridor improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Corridor improvements needed to support transit and HOV.


#### Abstract

Alternatives Considered 1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement. 2. Optimize existing facility with intersection improvements only - Minor improvement for corridor traffic flow. Does not address overall traffic congestion. Does not improve transit/HOV and active modes 3. Widen corridor to 6 lanes for general purpose capacity improvements - Improves traffic flow and reduces congestion. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities. Does not support shift to transit/HOV. Not consistent with Council policy to widen to 6 lanes for transit/HOV lanes only. 4. Widen corridor to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes - Addresses traffic capacity. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit travel time and encourage shift to transit/HOV. 5. Widen corridor to implement rapid transit - Does not address traffic congestion. Transit ridership does not meet RT threshold. 6. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - Potential to divert some traffic to other corridors. Does not address corridor congestion and travel demand, no improvements to walking and cycling facilities, does not encourage shift to transit/HOV.


Recommended Improvement and Justification
Recommendation Widen corridor to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes.

| Justification | Forecast demand meets threshold for widening to 6 lanes. Continuous transit/HOV lanes benefits transit |
| :--- | :--- |
| travel time and encourages shift to transit/HOV. Improves cycling facilities in the corridor. Widening is |  |
| included in the 10-year Capital Program with EA study to be initiated for 16th Avenue from Yonge Street to |  |
| Woodbine Avenue. |  |

TMP Phase
2022 to 2026

Alignment with TMP Objectives

Support Transit \begin{tabular}{c}
Support Road <br>
Network

 Support Active Transportation 

Support Goods <br>
Movement
\end{tabular}$\quad$ Support Last Mile

## Costs

| Capital Cost | $\$$ | $43,295,600$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 193,400 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost | $\$$ | 72,900 |

## Related Projects

Name
Carrville Road - Bathurst Street to Yonge Street - Widen to 6 lanes
2085
16th Avenue - Leslie Street to Woodbine Avenue - Widen to 6 lanes
2087

2086-16th Avenue - Yonge Street to Leslie Street (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

16th Avenue at Yonge Street


16th Avenue at Bayview Avenue


16th Avenue at Leslie Street


## York Region

2087-16th Avenue - Leslie Street to Woodbine Avenue

| Project Description |  |  | Project ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Location | 16th Avenue | Road Segment ID | $\mathbf{2 0 8 7}$ |
| Municipality | Richmond Hill, Markham | Length | $73-29$ to $73-30$ |
| Project Limits | Leslie Street to Woodbine Avenue |  | $2,040 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Project Type | Widen to 6 lanes |  |  |



## Existing Conditions

Physical and Transportation Conditions
OP Designated ROW Up to 43 metres

Model Forecast
2011 Existing
Daily truck volume

Peak Hour
Auto Volume

| Peak Hour <br> Auto Volume |
| :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Average }}{\text { Maximum }}$ |
| 1,810 |$\quad 1,700$

Peak Hour
VIC Ratio
Maximum Average
$1.00 \quad 0.94$

## Description

Existing 4 general purpose lanes with turning lanes at intersections. Sidewalks on both sides from Leslie Street to Mural Street. Discontinuous sidewalks under Highway 404 overpass. Sidewalk on south side from Woodbine Avenue to Highway 404. Sidewalk on north side from Woodbine Avenue to Cachet Wood Court. No dedicated cycling facilities. Curbside transit service.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Crossing of Beaver Creek east of Leslie Street and crossing of Rouge River west of Woodbine Avenue.

Land Use and Built Employment lands with light industrial uses between Leslie Street and Highway 404. Buttonville Airport Environment east of Highway 404.

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | 2,260 | 2,180 | 1.25 | 1.21 |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 2,880 | 2,550 | 1.06 | 0.94 |

## York Region

## 2087-16th Avenue - Leslie Street to Woodbine Avenue (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Capacity improvements needed to address existing congestion and goods movement.
- Capacity improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Corridor improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Corridor improvements needed to support transit and HOV.


#### Abstract

Alternatives Considered 1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement. 2. Optimize existing facility with intersection improvements only - Minor improvement for corridor traffic flow. Does not address overall traffic congestion. Does not improve transit/HOV and active modes. 3. Widen corridor to 6 lanes for general purpose capacity improvements - Improves traffic flow and reduces congestion. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities. Does not support shift to transit/HOV. Not consistent with Council policy to widen to 6 lanes for transit/HOV lanes only. 4. Widen corridor to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes - Addresses traffic capacity. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit travel time and encourage shift to transit/HOV. 5. Widen corridor to implement rapid transit - Does not address traffic congestion. Transit ridership does not meet RT threshold. 6. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - Potential to divert some traffic to other corridors. Does not address corridor congestion and travel demand, no improvements to walking and cycling facilities, does not encourage shift to transit/HOV.


Recommended Improvement and Justification
Recommendation Widen corridor to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes.

| Justification | Forecast demand meets threshold for widening to 6 lanes. Continuous transit/HOV lanes benefits transit |
| :--- | :--- |
| travel time and encourages shift to transit/HOV. Improves walking and cycling facilities in the corridor. |  |
| Widening is included in the 10-year Capital Program with EA study to be initiated for Yonge Street to |  |
| Woodbine Avenue. |  |

TMP Phase
2017 to 2021

Alignment with TMP Objectives

Support Transit \begin{tabular}{c}
Support Road <br>
Network

 Support Active Transportation 

Support Goods <br>
Movement
\end{tabular}$\quad$ Support Last Mile

## Costs

| Capital Cost | $\$$ | $49,241,200$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 102,000 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost | $\$$ | 38,500 |

## Related Projects

## Name

## $\mathrm{FF}^{2}$ <br> Yorl Region

2087-16th Avenue - Leslie Street to Woodbine Avenue (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

16th Avenue at Leslie Street


16th Avenue at Woodbine Avenue


16th Avenue at Highway 404


Highway 404 overpass (Image capture: 2015, ©2016 Google)


2088-16th Avenue - Woodbine Avenue to McCowan Road


## Existing Conditions <br> Physical and Transportation Conditions

OP Designated ROW Up to 43 metres

|  | Peak Hour <br> Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour <br> V/C Ratio |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| Model Forecast | $\frac{\text { Maximum }}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Average }}{}$ | $\frac{\text { Maximum }}{1,970}$ | 1,790 |

## Description

Existing 4 general purpose lanes with turning lanes at intersections. Continuous sidewalk on south side. No continuous sidewalk on north side from Warden Avenue to Kennedy Road. No dedicated cycling facilities. Curbside transit service.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Park on the south side (Toogood Pond Park).

Land Use and Built Primarily residential subdivisions.
Environment

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | 2,650 | 2,500 | 1.47 | 1.39 |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 3,180 | 3,070 | 1.18 | 1.14 |

## York Region

## 2088-16th Avenue - Woodbine Avenue to McCowan Road (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Capacity improvements needed to address existing congestion and goods movement.
- Capacity improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Corridor improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Corridor improvements needed to support transit and HOV.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Optimize existing facility with intersection improvements only - Minor improvement for corridor traffic flow. Does not address overall traffic congestion. Does not improve transit/HOV and active modes.
3. Widen corridor to 6 lanes for general purpose capacity improvements - Improves traffic flow and reduces congestion. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities. Does not support shift to transit/HOV. Not consistent with Council policy to widen to 6 lanes for transit/HOV lanes only.
4. Widen corridor to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes - Addresses traffic capacity. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit travel time and encourage shift to transit/HOV.
5. Widen corridor to implement rapid transit - Does not address traffic congestion. Transit ridership does not meet RT threshold.
6. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - Potential to divert some traffic to other corridors. Does not address corridor congestion and travel demand, no improvements to walking and cycling facilities, does not encourage shift to transit/HOV.

Recommended Improvement and Justification
Recommendation Widen corridor to 6 lanes to implement transit/HOV lanes.

Justification Forecast demand meets threshold for widening to 6 lanes along most of the corridor. Continuous transit/HOV lanes benefits transit travel time and encourages shift to transit/HOV. Improves walking and cycling facilities in the corridor.

TMP Phase
2022 to 2026

Alignment with TMP Objectives

Support Transit \begin{tabular}{c}
Support Road <br>
Network

$\quad$ Support Active Transportation 


| Support Goods |
| :---: |
| Movement | <br>

\end{tabular}

## Costs

| Capital Cost | $\$$ | $58,863,700$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 297,900 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost | $\$$ | 112,300 |

## Related Projects

Name
16th Avenue - Leslie Street to Woodbine Avenue - Widen to 6 lanes
2087

## OF <br> York Region

2088-16th Avenue - Woodbine Avenue to McCowan Road (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

16th Avenue at Woodbine Avenue


16th Avenue at Kennedy Road


16th Avenue at Warden Avenue


16th Avenue at McCowan Road


2089 - Queensville Sideroad - Leslie Street to Woodbine Avenue

| Project Description |  |  | Project ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Location | Queensville Sideroad | Road Segment ID | $\mathbf{2 0 8 9}$ |
| Municipality | East Gwillimbury | Length | $77-29$ to $77-30$ |
| Project Limits | Leslie Street to Woodbine Avenue |  | $1,300 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Project Type | Widen to 4 lanes |  |  |



| Existing Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Physical and Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| OP Designated ROW | Up to 43 metres |  |  |  |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
| Model Forecast | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2011 Existing | 350 | 350 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| Daily truck volume | 140 /day | 140 /day |  |  |

## Description

Existing 2 general purpose lanes with turning lanes at intersections. Crossing over Highway 404 is 4 lanes. Sidewalk on both sides from within Queensville community from Leslie Street to 200m easterly. Shared roadway (unsigned route).

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment
Observations: Agricultural fields.
Environmentally Sensitive Areas: Designated area encompasses corridor from Leslie Street to Highway 404.

Source Water Protection Areas: Protection zone starts just west of Highway 404.

Land Use and Built Queensville community centred on Leslie Street surrounded primarily by agricultural lands.
Environment

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | 1,680 | 1,680 | 0.84 | 0.84 |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 1,890 | 1,890 | 0.94 | 0.94 |

## York Region

## 2089 - Queensville Sideroad - Leslie Street to Woodbine Avenue (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Transportation network improvements needed to accommodate expansion of the Designated Urban Area.
- Capacity improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Corridor improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Corridor improvements need to support transit.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Optimize existing facility with intersection improvements only - Minor improvement for corridor traffic flow. Does not address overall traffic congestion.
3. Urbanize corridor but maintain 2-lane cross-section - Does not address traffic congestion. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities.
4. Widen corridor to 4 lanes and construct to urban arterial standard - Addresses traffic capacity. Opportunity to improve walking and cycling facilities.
5. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - Potential to divert some traffic to other corridors. Does not address corridor congestion and provides no improvements to walking and cycling facilities.

## Recommended Improvement and Justification

Recommendation Widen corridor to 4 lanes and construct to urban arterial standard.

Justification Accommodates growth in designated urban area. Provides improved facilities for walking and cycling.

TMP Phase
2032 to 2041

Alignment with TMP Objectives

| Support Transit | Support Road <br> Network | Support Active Transportation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | | Support Goods |
| :---: |
| Movement |$\quad$ Support Last Mile


| Costs |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Capital Cost | $\$$ | $7,116,500$ |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 65,000 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost | $\$$ | 24,500 |
| Related Projects |  |  |
| Name |  | Project ID |

## $7^{2}$ <br> York Region

2089-Queensville Sideroad - Leslie Street to Woodbine Avenue (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Queensville Sideroad at Leslie Street


Queensville Sideroad at Highway 404


Queensville Sideroad at Woodbine Avenue


2090 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 427 - North of Langstaff Road



## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Wooded areas and watercourses. Corridor crosses Regional Greenlands System.

Land Use and Built Currently undeveloped farmland with a hydro corridor crossing at an angle. New road planned to flyover
Environment
planned Highway 427 extension.

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 320 | 320 | 0.22 | 0.22 |

## York Region

## 2090 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 427 - North of Langstaff Road (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Network improvements needed to address existing congestion.
- Network improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Network improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Network improvements needed to support transit.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - May not fully address travel demand needs as adjacent corridor is at capacity. No improvements to walking and cycling. No improvement to transit service.
3. Construct mid-block crossing - Addresses travel demand. Opportunity to provide walking and cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit service.

Recommended Improvement and Justification
Recommendation Construct mid-block crossing of 400-series highway.

| Justification | New crossing across Highway 427 will accommodate growth in employment lands on both sides of |
| :--- | :--- |
| Highway 427. Provides improved access for walking, cycling and transit to employment lands. City of |  |
| Vaughan and Block 59 (as co-proponents) completed the Municipal Class EA for midblock crossing in |  |
| August 2015. EA provides detailed justification. |  |

TMP Phase 2027 to 2031

Alignment with TMP Objectives

| Support Transit | Support Road <br> Network | Support Active Transportation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | | Support Goods |
| :---: |
| Movement |$\quad$ Support Last Mile

## Costs

| Capital Cost | $\$$ | $34,924,200$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 171,700 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost | $\$$ | 78,000 |

## Related Projects

Name

2091 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 400 - South of Highway 7

| Project Description |  |  | Project ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Location | Midblock Crossing of Highway 400 | Road Segment ID | $94-02$ |
| Municipality | Vaughan | Length | 650 m |
| Project Limits | South of Highway 7 |  |  |
| Project Type | New Midblock Crossing |  |  |



| Existing Conditions |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Physical and Transportation Conditions |  |  |
| OP Designated ROW | Proposed up to 26 metres |  |
| Peak Hour |  |  |
| Auto Volume |  |  |$\quad$| Peak Hour |
| :---: |
| Model Forecast |

## Description

Approaches to midblock crossing are 2-lane roads with sidewalks on the west approach (Colossus Drive), and sidewalks on the south side only on the east approach (Interchange Way). No transit service or cycling facilities.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Tributary of Black Creek on east side of Highway 400. Existing development.

Land Use and Built Big box-style retail developments on both sides of Highway 400.
Environment

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 1,200 | 1,200 | 1.20 | 1.20 |

## 2091 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 400 - South of Highway 7 (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Network improvements needed to address existing congestion.
- Network improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Network improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Network improvements needed to support transit.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - May not fully address travel demand needs as adjacent corridor is at capacity. No improvements to walking and cycling. No improvement to transit service.
3. Construct mid-block crossing - Addresses travel demand. Opportunity to provide walking and cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit service.

Recommended Improvement and Justification
Recommendation Construct mid-block crossing of 400-series highway. Alternative alignments to be evaluated as part of subsequent Environmental Assessments.

Justification New crossing of Highway 400 supports growth and intensification of Vaughan Metropolitan Centre. New connection also provides improved facilities for walking and cycling and improved transit access in and through VMC. Crossing provides congestion relief for Highway 7.

TMP Phase 2027 to 2031

Alignment with TMP Objectives

| Support Transit | Support Road <br> Network | Support Active Transportation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | | Support Goods |
| :---: |
| Movement |$\quad$ Support Last Mile


| Costs | $\$$ | $46,622,900$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Capital Cost | $\$$ | 53,900 |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 24,500 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost |  |  |
| Related Projects |  | Project ID |
| Name |  |  |

2091 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 400 - South of Highway 7 (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Highway 400 south of Highway 7


2092 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 400 - North of Rutherford Road

Midblock Crossing of Highway 400
Vaughan
North of Rutherford Road
New Midblock Crossing
Road Segment ID 94-04
Length $\quad 1,500 \mathrm{~m}$


## Existing Conditions

Physical and Transportation Conditions
OP Designated ROW Proposed up to 26 metres

Peak Hour
Auto Volume
Model Forecast
2011 Existing
Daily truck volume

## Description

West approach to midblock crossing is a 2-lane residential road with sidewalks on both sides and curbside transit service. East approach is a 4-lane private road with no sidewalks and no transit service. No dedicated cycling facilities on either approach.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Forested area on the east side of Highway 400. Ponds and watercourses on both side of Highway 400. Existing development.

Land Use and Built
Environment

Residential on both sides of Highway 400 with Canada's Wonderland amusement park located northeast of proposed crossing. Crossing would connect existing roads with some residential frontage.

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 900 | 900 | 1.12 | 1.12 |

2092 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 400 - North of Rutherford Road (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Network improvements needed to address existing congestion.
- Network improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Network improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Network improvements needed to support transit.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - May not fully address travel demand needs as adjacent corridor is at capacity. No improvements to walking and cycling. No improvement to transit service.
3. Construct mid-block crossing - Addresses travel demand. Opportunity to provide walking and cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit service.

## Recommended Improvement and Justification

Recommendation Construct mid-block crossing of 400-series highway. Alternative alignments to be evaluated as part of subsequent Environmental Assessments.

Justification New crossing of Highway 400 improves walking, cycling and transit access to development on both sides of the freeway. Crossing is an alternative to Rutherford Road and Major Mackenzie Drive which are congested in existing and future conditions.

TMP Phase 2032 to 2041

## Alignment with TMP Objectives

| Support Transit | Support Road <br> Network | Support Active Transportation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | | Support Goods |
| :---: |
| Movement |$\quad$ Support Last Mile


| Costs |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Capital Cost | $\$$ | $49,777,200$ |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 124,400 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost | $\$$ | 56,500 |
| Related Projects |  |  |
| Name |  | Project ID |

2092 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 400 - North of Rutherford Road (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Highway 400 north of Rutherford Road


2093 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 400 - North of Major Mackenzie Drive

| Project Description |  |  | Project ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Location | Midblock Crossing of Highway 400 | Road Segment ID | $94-05$ |
| Municipality | Vaughan | Length | 850 m |
| Project Limits | North of Major Mackenzie Drive |  |  |
| Project Type | New Midblock Crossing |  |  |



## Existing Conditions

Physical and Transportation Conditions
OP Designated ROW Proposed up to 26 metres

Peak Hour
Auto Volume
Maximum Average
Model Forecast
2011 Existing
Daily truck volume

Peak Hour
VIC Ratio
Maximum Average
N/A N/A
N/A

## Description

Approaches to midblock crossing are 2-lane residential roads with sidewalks on both sides and no dedicated cycling facilities. Curbside transit service.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Existing development on both sides.

Land Use and Built Residential on both sides of Highway 400. Crossing would connect existing roads with direct residential Environment
frontage.

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 640 | 640 | 0.53 | 0.53 |

## York Region

## 2093 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 400 - North of Major Mackenzie Drive (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Network improvements needed to accommodate expansion of the Designated Urban Area.
- Network improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Network improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Network improvements needed to support transit.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - May not fully address travel demand needs as adjacent corridor is at capacity. No improvements to walking and cycling. No improvement to transit service.
3. Construct mid-block crossing - Addresses travel demand. Opportunity to provide walking and cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit service.

Recommended Improvement and Justification
Recommendation Construct mid-block crossing of 400-series highway.

Justification New crossing of Highway 400 improves walking, cycling and transit access to development on both sides of the freeway. Corridor is an alternative route to Major Mackenzie Drive which is congested in existing and future conditions. City of Vaughan's Municipal Class EA for midblock crossing was completed in December 2013 and received MOECC clearance to proceed in November 2014. EA provides detailed justification.

TMP Phase
2027 to 2031

Alignment with TMP Objectives

Support Transit \begin{tabular}{c}
Support Road <br>
Network

$\quad$ Support Active Transportation 

Support Goods <br>
Movement
\end{tabular}$\quad$ Support Last Mile

## Costs

Capital Cost \$ 27,668,900

Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost \$
42,500
Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost
16,000

## Related Projects

Name

2093 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 400 - North of Major Mackenzie Drive (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Highway 400 north of Major Mackenzie Drive


2094 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 400 - North of Kirby Road


| Existing Conditions |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Physical and Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |
| OP Designated ROW | Proposed up to 26 metres |  |  |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
| Model Forecast | Maximum Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2011 Existing | N/A N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Daily truck volume | N/A N/A |  |  |
| Description |  |  |  |

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Regional Greenlands System on west side of Highway 400 with forested areas and watercourse.

Land Use and Built Mostly farmland on both sides of Highway 400 with highway service center on west side of Highway 400.
Environment Potential location of GTA West connection to Highway 400.

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 610 | 610 | 0.51 | 0.51 |

## 2094 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 400 - North of Kirby Road (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Network improvements needed to accommodate expansion of the Designated Urban Area.
- Network improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Network improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Network improvements needed to support transit.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - May not fully address travel demand needs as adjacent corridor is at capacity. No improvements to walking and cycling. No improvement to transit service.
3. Construct mid-block crossing - Addresses travel demand. Opportunity to provide walking and cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit service.

Recommended Improvement and Justification
Recommendation Construct mid-block crossing of 400-series highway. Alternative alignments to be evaluated as part of subsequent Environmental Assessments.

Justification New crossing supports growth and development in Northern Vaughan. Provides opportunity for improved walking, cycling and transit access to development on both sides of Highway 400.

TMP Phase

## Alignment with TMP Objectives

Support Transit \begin{tabular}{c}
Support Road <br>
Network

 Support Active Transportation 

Support Goods <br>
Movement
\end{tabular}$\quad$ Support Last Mile

## Costs

| Capital Cost | $\$$ | $46,731,000$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 164,300 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost | $\$$ | 74,600 |

## Related Projects

Name

2094 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 400 - North of Kirby Road (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Highway 400 north of Kirby Road


2095 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 407 - at Cedar Avenue

| Project Description |  |  | Project ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Location | Midblock Crossing of Highway 407 | 2095 |  |
| Municipality | Richmond Hill, Markham | Road Segment ID | Length |
| Project Limits | at Cedar Avenue |  | 630 m |
| Project Type | New Midblock Crossing |  |  |



Existing Conditions
Physical and Transportation Conditions
OP Designated ROW Proposed up to 26 metres

Model Forecast
2011 Existing
Daily truck volume

Peak Hour
Auto Volume
Maximum Average
N/A N/A
N/A N/A

Peak Hour
VIC Ratio
Maximum Average
N/A N/A

## Description

Approaches to midblock crossing are 2-lane industrial roads with no sidewalks and no dedicated cycling facilities. No transit service.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Existing development on both sides.

Land Use and Built
Environment

Retail/commercial north of Highway 407 and industrial uses to the south. Designated Richmond Hill Centre and Langstaff redevelopment areas. Pre-constructed overpass structures at Highway 407 and Highway 7.

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 720 | 720 | 0.90 | 0.90 |

## York Region

## 2095 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 407 - at Cedar Avenue (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Network improvements needed to address existing congestion.
- Network improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Network improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Network improvements needed to support transit.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - May not fully address travel demand needs as adjacent corridor is at capacity. No improvements to walking and cycling. No improvement to transit service.
3. Construct mid-block crossing - Addresses travel demand. Opportunity to provide walking and cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit service.

## Recommended Improvement and Justification

Recommendation Construct mid-block crossing of 400-series highway. Alternative alignments to be evaluated as part of subsequent Environmental Assessments. Timing of construction to be coordinated with the Town of Richmond Hill.

Justification New crossing of Highway 407 supports growth and intensification of Richmond Hill Centre and Langstaff Gateway. Crossing will provides improved facilities for walking, cycling and transit access between Richmond Hill Centre and Langstaff Gateway. Highway 407 and Highway 7 structures over the new road alignment are already in place.

TMP Phase
2017 to 2021

Alignment with TMP Objectives

| Support Transit | Support Road <br> Network | Support Active Transportation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | | Support Goods |
| :---: |
| Movement |$\quad$ Support Last Mile


| Costs | $\$$ | $3,093,500$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Capital Cost | $\$$ | 52,300 |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 23,800 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost |  |  |
| Related Projects |  | Project ID |
| Name |  |  |

2095 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 407 - at Cedar Avenue (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Highway 407 at Cedar Avenue


2096 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 404 - North of Highway 7

| Project Description |  |  | Project ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Location | Midblock Crossing of Highway 404 | 2096 |  |
| Municipality | Richmond Hill, Markham | Road Segment ID | Length |
| Project Limits | North of Highway 7 |  | 920 m |
| Project Type | New Midblock Crossing |  |  |




## Description

Approaches to midblock crossing are 2-lane roads with sidewalks on at least one side. Dedicated bike lanes provided on East Pearce Street. Curbside transit service provided on Centurian Drive.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Regional Greenlands System with watercourse and ponds on the east side of Highway 404. Existing development.

Land Use and Built
Environment

Employment lands with office and light industrial uses on both sides of Highway 404 with Seneca College Campus on the east.

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 1,340 | 1,340 | 1.12 | 1.12 |

## York Region

2096 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 404 - North of Highway 7 (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Network improvements needed to address existing congestion.
- Network improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Network improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Network improvements needed to support transit.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - May not fully address travel demand needs as adjacent corridor is at capacity. No improvements to walking and cycling. No improvement to transit service.
3. Construct mid-block crossing - Addresses travel demand. Opportunity to provide walking and cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit service.

## Recommended Improvement and Justification

Recommendation Construct mid-block crossing of 400-series highway.

| Justification | New crossing of Highway 404 serves growth in employment lands on both sides of the freeway. Crossing |
| :--- | :--- |
| provides an alternative to Highway 7 which is congested under existing and future conditions. Improves |  |
| walking, cycling and transit access to the employment lands and Seneca College Campus. |  |

TMP Phase
2017 to 2021

Alignment with TMP Objectives

| Support Transit | Support Road <br> Network | Support Active Transportation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | | Support Goods |
| :---: |
| Movement |$\quad$ Support Last Mile

## Costs

Capital Cost \$

41,479,400
Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost
\$ 76,300
Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost
\$
34,700

2096 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 404 - North of Highway 7 (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Highway 404 north of Highway 7


2097 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 404 - North of 16th Avenue

| Project Description |  |  | Project ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Location | Midblock Crossing of Highway 404 | Road Segment ID | $94-09$ |
| Municipality | Richmond Hill, Markham | Length | 590 m |
| Project Limits | North of 16th Avenue |  |  |
| Project Type | New Midblock Crossing |  |  |



| Existing Conditions |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Physical and Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |
| OP Designated ROW | Proposed up to 26 metres |  |  |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume | Peak VIC R |  |
| Model Forecast | Maximum Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2011 Existing | N/A N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Daily truck volume | N/A N/A |  |  |

## Description

Midblock crossing will connect 2-lane industrial road on the west to 3-lane collector road on the east.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Regional Greenlands System crosses Highway 404 at this location. Forested areas and crossing of Rouge River east of Highway 404.

Land Use and Built Office park style developments on both sides, with some low density residential on the east side of Environment Highway 404; some undeveloped farmland on the west side

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 970 | 970 | 0.97 | 0.97 |

## York Region

## 2097 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 404 - North of 16th Avenue (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Network improvements needed to address existing congestion.
- Network improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Network improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Network improvements needed to support transit.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - May not fully address travel demand needs as adjacent corridor is at capacity. No improvements to walking and cycling. No improvement to transit service.
3. Construct mid-block crossing - Addresses travel demand. Opportunity to provide walking and cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit service.

Recommended Improvement and Justification
Recommendation Construct mid-block crossing of 400-series highway.

Justification New crossing over Highway 404 supports growth in employment lands on both sides of the freeway and provides network connectivity. Crossing provides an alternative to 16 th Avenue which is congested in the Highway 404 area. Improves walking, cycling and transit access to employment lands.

TMP Phase
2017 to 2021

Alignment with TMP Objectives

| Support Transit | Support Road <br> Network | Support Active Transportation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | | Support Goods |
| :---: |
| Movement |$\quad$ Support Last Mile

## Costs

| Capital Cost | $\$$ | $44,296,700$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 48,900 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost | $\$$ | 22,200 |

## Related Projects

Name

2097 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 404 - North of 16th Avenue (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Highway 404 north of 16th Avenue


## York Region

2098 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 404 - North of Major Mackenzie Drive

Midblock Crossing of Highway 404
Richmond Hill, Markham
North of Major Mackenzie Drive
New Midblock Crossing

| Project ID | 2098 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Road Segment ID | $94-10$ |
| Length | $1,400 \mathrm{~m}$ |



Preliminary Existing Conditions
Physical and Transportation Conditions
OP Designated ROW Proposed up to 26 metres

Peak Hour
Auto Volume
Model Forecast
2011 Existing
Daily truck volume

|  | Peak Hour <br> Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour <br> V/C Ratio |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model Forecast | $\frac{\text { Maximum }}{\text { N/A }}$ | $\frac{\text { Average }}{\text { N/A }}$ | $\frac{\text { Maximum }}{\text { N/A }}$ | $\frac{\text { Average }}{\text { N/A }}$ |
| 2011 Existing | N/A | N/A |  |  |

## Description

Midblock crossing will connect 2-lane industrial road on the west to 3-lane collector road on the east.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Regional Greenlands System to the west of Highway 404 with forested areas and crossing of Rouge River. The Ministry of Natural Resources and Fisheries has identified the presence of species at risk in this area.

Land Use and Built
Environment

Undeveloped land and some offices/commercial on the west side of Highway 404, new urbanism-style residential/commercial development to the east

Future Transportation Conditions

| Peak Hour <br> Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour <br> VIC Ratio |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Maximum | Average |  |  |$\quad$| Maximum | Average |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N/A | N/A | 0.61 |

## York Region

## 2098 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 404 - North of Major Mackenzie Drive (continued)

## Preliminary Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Network improvements needed to address existing congestion.
- Network improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Network improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Network improvements needed to support transit.


## Preliminary Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - May not fully address travel demand needs as adjacent corridor is at capacity. No improvements to walking and cycling. No improvement to transit service.
3. Construct mid-block crossing - Addresses travel demand. Opportunity to provide walking and cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit service.

## Preliminary Recommended Improvement and Justification

Recommendation Construct mid-block crossing of 400-series highway. A full assessment of alternative alignments which considers species at risk to be evaluated as part of Environmental Assessment.

Justification New crossing over Highway 404 serves growth in North Markham and provides network connectivity. Crossing provides improved walking, cycling and transit access to development on both sides of Highway 404 including employment lands.

TMP Phase
2027 to 2031

Preliminary Alignment with TMP Objectives

| Support Transit | Support Road <br> Network | Support Active Transportation | Support Goods <br> Movement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |


| Preliminary Costs |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Capital Cost | $\$$ | $43,847,800$ |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 116,100 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost | $\$$ | 52,800 |
| Related Projects |  | Project ID |
| Name |  |  |

2098 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 404 - North of Major Mackenzie Drive (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Highway 404 north of Major Mackenzie Drive


2099 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 404 - North of Elgin Mills Road


| Existing Conditions |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Physical and Transportation Conditions |  |  |
| OP Designated ROW Proposed up to 26 metres |  |  |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |
| Model Forecast | Maximum Average | Maximum Average |
| 2011 Existing | N/A N/A | N/A N/A |
| Daily truck volume | N/A N/A |  |
| Description |  |  |

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Regional Greenlands System to the west of highway 404 with forested areas and crossing of Rouge River.

Land Use and Built Primarily farmland. Designated employment lands.
Environment

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 680 | 680 | 0.57 | 0.57 |

## 2099 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 404 - North of Elgin Mills Road (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Network improvements needed to accommodate expansion of the Designated Urban Area.
- Network improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Network improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Network improvements needed to support transit.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - May not fully address travel demand needs as adjacent corridor is at capacity. No improvements to walking and cycling. No improvement to transit service.
3. Construct mid-block crossing - Addresses travel demand. Opportunity to provide walking and cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit service.

## Recommended Improvement and Justification

Recommendation Construct mid-block crossing of 400-series highway.

Justification New crossing of Highway 404 supports growth in employment lands on both sides of the freeway and serves growth in North Markham. Crossing provides improved walking, cycling and transit access.

TMP Phase
2032 to 2041

## Alignment with TMP Objectives

| Support Transit | Support Road <br> Network | Support Active Transportation | Support Goods <br> Movement |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |

## Costs

| Capital Cost | $\$$ | $43,608,900$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 124,400 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost | $\$$ | 56,500 |
| Related Projects |  | Project ID |
| Name |  |  |

2099 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 404 - North of Elgin Mills Road (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Highway 404 north of Elgin Mills Road


2100 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 404 - North of Green Lanes

| Project Description |  |  | Project ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Location | Midblock Crossing of Highway 404 | Road Segment ID | $\mathbf{2 1 0 0}$ |
| Municipality | East Gwillimbury | Length | $2,050 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Project Limits | North of Green Lanes |  |  |
| Project Type | New Midblock Crossing |  |  |



## Existing Conditions

Physical and Transportation Conditions
OP Designated ROW Proposed up to 26 metres

Model Forecast
2011 Existing
Daily truck volume

Peak Hour
Auto Volume
Maximum Average
N/A N/A

Peak Hour
VIC Ratio
Maximum Average
N/A N/A
N/A

## Description

No existing facility.

## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Agricultural fields.

Land Use and Built
Environment

Low density residential to the west of Highway 404 (north of midblock crossing), farmland to the east of Highway 404.

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 10 | 10 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

## 2100 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 404 - North of Green Lanes (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Network improvements needed to accommodate expansion of the Designated Urban Area.
- Network improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Network improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Network improvements needed to support transit.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - May not fully address travel demand needs as adjacent corridor is at capacity. No improvements to walking and cycling. No improvement to transit service.
3. Construct mid-block crossing - Addresses travel demand. Opportunity to provide walking and cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit service.

## Recommended Improvement and Justification

Recommendation Construct mid-block crossing of 400-series highway. Alternative alignments to be evaluated as part of subsequent Environmental Assessments.

Justification New crossing serves growth in East Gwillimbury and provides improved walking, cycling and transit access to development on both sides of Highway 404.

TMP Phase

## Alignment with TMP Objectives

Support Transit | Support Road |
| :---: |
| Network |

## Support Active Transportation <br> 

Support Goods Movement

Support Last Mile


## Costs

| Capital Cost | $\$$ | $23,028,000$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 170,100 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost | $\$$ | 77,300 |
| Related Projects |  | Project ID |
| Name |  |  |

2100 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 404 - North of Green Lanes (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Highway 404 north of Green Lane


2101 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 404 - North of Doane Road

| Project Description |  |  | Project ID |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Location | Midblock Crossing of Highway 404 | Road Segment ID | $\mathbf{2 1 0 1}$ |
| Municipality | East Gwillimbury | Length | $2,050 \mathrm{~m}$ |
| Project Limits | North of Doane Road |  |  |
| Project Type | New Midblock Crossing |  |  |




## Natural and Built Environment

Natural Environment Observations: Regional Greenlands System with forested areas on both sides of Highway 404. Tributary of Maskinonge River on west side of Highway 404.
Environmentally Sensitive Areas: Designated ESA between Leslies Street and Woodbine Avenue.
Source Water Protection Areas: Within SWP zone

Land Use and Built Agricultural lands on both sides of Highway 404. Designated urban area.
Environment

| Future Transportation Conditions |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Auto Volume |  | Peak Hour VIC Ratio |  |
|  | Maximum | Average | Maximum | Average |
| 2041 Do Nothing | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2041 Proposed Network | 210 | 210 | 0.17 | 0.17 |

## 2101 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 404 - North of Doane Road (continued)

## Problem or Opportunity Statement

- Network improvements needed to accommodate expansion of the Designated Urban Area.
- Network improvements needed to accommodate future travel demands.
- Network improvements needed to support walking and cycling.
- Network improvements needed to support transit.


## Alternatives Considered

1. Do Nothing - Does not address Problem or Opportunity Statement.
2. Widen parallel/adjacent corridor - May not fully address travel demand needs as adjacent corridor is at capacity. No improvements to walking and cycling. No improvement to transit service.
3. Construct mid-block crossing - Addresses travel demand. Opportunity to provide walking and cycling facilities. Potential to improve transit service.

## Recommended Improvement and Justification

Recommendation Construct mid-block crossing of 400-series highway. Alternative alignments to be evaluated as part of subsequent Environmental Assessments.

Justification New crossing serves growth in East Gwillimbury and provides improved walking, cycling and transit access to development growth on both sides of Highway 404.

TMP Phase 2032 to 2041

## Alignment with TMP Objectives

| Support Transit | Support Road <br> Network | Support Active Transportation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | | Support Goods |
| :---: |
| Movement |$\quad$ Support Last Mile


| Costs |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Capital Cost | $\$$ | $23,028,000$ |
| Incremental Annual Road Operating Cost | $\$$ | 170,100 |
| Incremental Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Cost | $\$$ | 77,300 |
| Related Projects |  |  |
| Name |  | Project ID |

## York Region

2101 - Midblock Crossing of Highway 404 - North of Doane Road (continued)
Key Intersections and Constraints

Highway 404 north of Doane Road


