ISSUE DATE:

March 18, 2013



PL101128 PL101233 PL101238

Ontario Ontario Municipal Board Commission des affaires municipales de l'Ontario

IN THE MATTER OF subsection 17(36) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990 c. P. 13, as amended

Appellants:	See Attachment "1"
Subject:	Proposed Official Plan for the Regional Municipality of York
Municipality:	Regional Municipality of York
OMB Case No.:	PL101128
OMB File No.:	PL101128

IN THE MATTER OF subsection 17(24) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990 c. P. 13, as amended

Appellant:	1596630 Ontario Limited
Appellant:	Dalton & Alan Faris
Appellant:	Eden Mills Estates Inc.
Appellant:	Martin Pick, Thomas Pick & 132463 Ontario Inc.
Appellant:	Rice Commercial Group of Companies
Subject:	Proposed Regional Official Plan Amendment No. 1 (ROPA 1)
Municipality:	Regional Municipality of York (Town of East Gwillimbury)
OMB Case No.:	PL101233
OMB File No.:	PL101233

IN THE MATTER OF subsection 17(24) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990 c. P. 13, as amended

Minotar Holdings Inc., Cor-lots Developments, Cherokee Holdings & Halvan 5.5 Investments Limited (collectively referred to as "Minotar")
Grace Chinese Gospel Church of North York
North Markham Landowners Group
Proposed Regional Official Plan Amendment No. 3 (ROPA 3)
Regional Municipality of York (Town of Markham)
PL101238
PL101238

BEFORE:

S.W. LEE)	
ASSOCIATE CHAIR) Monday, the 18 th da	ay of
)	
K. HUSSEY) March, 2013	
VICE CHAIR)	

THIS MATTER having come on for a public hearing on January 23, 2013 at the Ontario Municipal Board, 16th Floor Hearing Room, 655 Bay Street in Toronto;

AND THE BOARD having heard the submissions of counsel for the Regional Municipality of York ("York Region"), relating to the Procedural Order, issued December 12, 2012 ("Procedural Order") and the scheduling of the exchange of witness statements for Phase 3;

AND THE BOARD having heard York Region's request that the Board strike out the fixed dates in paragraphs 24, 25, and 26 of the Procedural Order relating to Phase 3;

AND THE BOARD having heard the submissions of counsel for certain other parties;

AND THE BOARD having heard the submissions that in respect of the Phase 3 proceeding, York Region has received four requests from landowners seeking party status, filed as Exhibits 164, 164a, 165, 178 and 179 in this proceeding; and one request from a landowner seeking participant status, filed as Exhibits 163 and 163a in this proceeding;

AND THE BOARD having heard the submissions that York Region does not object to the said party and participant status requests in respect to the Phase 3 proceeding;

AND THE BOARD having heard the submissions of counsel for certain other parties that they do not object to said party and participant requests;

THE BOARD ORDERS that:

- 1. The Procedural Order be amended in respect of Phase 3 dates, by striking out the dates in paragraphs 24, 25, 26 and *Summary of Key Dates* and replacing them with **TBD**, in the form attached hereto as Schedule "A".
- 2. The following entities are hereby granted party status in respect of the Phase 3 proceeding:
 - a) Lindvest Properties (Cornell East) Limited;
 - b) Infrastructure Ontario, as agent for the Ministry of Infrastructure;
 - c) Remington Steeles 9 Inc., Barry Glenn Little and Robert Brownlee Little; and,

- d) Varlese Brothers Ltd.
- 3. The following landowner is hereby granted participant status in respect of the Phase 3 proceeding:
 - a) Mark Cleveland McGean & Dianne McGean.

Janne Hege. .

SECRETARY

Schedule "A"

PL101128 PL101233	
PL101238	
	ONTARIO MUNICIPAL BOARD
	ion des affaires municipales de l'Ontario
	i) of the <i>Planning Act</i> , R.S.O. 1990, c. P. 13, as amended
Appellants:	See Attachment "1"
Subject:	Proposed Official Plan for the Regional Municipality of York
Municipality	Regional Municipality of York
O.M.B. Case No.:	PL101128
O.M.B. File No.:	PL101128
•	e) of the <i>Planning Act</i> , R.S.O. 1990, c. P. 13, as amended
Appellant:	1596630 Ontario Limited
Appellant:	Dalton & Alan Faris
Appellant:	Eden Mills Estates Inc.
Appellant:	Martin Pick, Thomas Pick & 1324534 Ontario Inc.
Appellant:	Rice Commercial Group of Companies
Subject:	Proposed Official Plan Amendment No. 1 ("ROPA 1")
Municipality	Regional Municipality of York (Town of East Gwillimbury)
O.M.B. Case No.:	PL101233
O.M.B. File No.:	PL101233
	Contractions (at D.C.O. 1000, a.D. 12, as amounded
-) of the <i>Planning Act</i> , R.S.O. 1990, c. P. 13, as amended
Appellants:	Minotar Holdings Inc., Cor-lots Developments, Cherokee Holdings
	& Halvan 5.5 Investments Limited (collectively referred to as
A II	("Minotar")
Appellant:	Grace Chinese Gospel Church of North York
Appellant	North Markham Landowners Group
Subject:	Proposed Official Plan Amendment No. 3 ("ROPA 3")
Municipality	Regional Municipality of York (Town of Markham)
O.M.B. Case No.:	PL101238
O.M.B. File No.:	PL101238

PROCEDURAL ORDER

1. The Board may vary or add to these rules at any time, either on request of a party or as it sees fit. It may alter this Order by an oral ruling or by written Order.

Organization of the Hearing

2. The hearing of these appeals will commence on Monday, January 14, 2013 at 10:00 AM at the Ontario Municipal Board, 16th Floor Hearing Room, 655 Bay Street, in Toronto.

- 3. The length of time scheduled for phase 1 of the hearing is **four (4) weeks and phase 3** of the hearing is four (4) weeks.
- 4. The hearing of these appeals will be divided into separate phases, as follows:

Phase 1 – Growth Management and Land Needs: Component C

- i. a) Land requirements to accommodate population growthb) Land requirements to accommodate employment growth
- ii. Land required to accommodate approved ROW requirements
- iii. Determination of existing supply of land in designated greenfield areas
- iv. Determination of the appropriate elements to consider in the net to gross calculation for developable areas in designated greenfield areas and new community areas
- v. Comprehensive land budget methodology which includes but is not limited to relevant components of the above
- vi. Determination of amount of land required for settlement area expansion, including the consideration of the minima of Table 2 for intensification

Component D

- i. Consideration of growth beyond 2031 as it bears on retail and employment needs
- ii. Consideration of growth beyond 2031 as it bears on transit and transportation
 Consideration of how the official plan should/should not address growth beyond 2031 as it
 bears on the organization of land identified to meet growth to 2031

Phase 3

Area-specific application of land budget methodology based on the principles established in Phase 1, in ROPA 3 appeals, including (i) urban expansion area requirements in the Town of Markham, and appropriate location of urban expansion in the Town of Markham and (ii) Policy 7.2.50.

Phase 4

Resolution of other Region-wide policies including those necessary for ROPA lands to be released for urban development.

Phase 5

Remaining site/area specific appeals not addressed through phases 1 to 4, above.

- 5. The hearing of Phase 1 will commence on **January 14, 2013** in accordance with paragraph 2 above. The Board may be asked to release an interim decision after the completion of any component or phase of the hearing.
- 6. Any person intending to participate in any of the phases identified in paragraph 4 shall notify the Board and all other parties and participants of which phase or phases they intend to participate in no later than **August 3, 2012**.
- 7. The order of evidence for phases 1 and 3 shall be as follows:

- (a) York Region
- (b) Parties in support of York Region
- (c) Appellants and other parties
- (d) Reply York Region
- 8. Any person intending to participate in any of the phases identified in paragraph 4 above should provide a telephone number and an email address to the Board as soon as possible, and in any event, no later than **August 3, 2012**. Any participant who will be retaining a representative should advise the other parties and the Board of the representative's name, address and phone number and email address as soon as possible and in any event, no later than **August 3, 2012**.
- 9. The Issues for Phases 1 and 3 are to be set out in an Issues List to be settled upon by the parties and forwarded to the Board. There will be no additions or other changes to this List once it is settled unless the Board permits and a party who asks for changes to this List may have costs awarded against it.
- 10. The parties and participants (see Attachment 2 for the meaning of these terms) are listed at Attachment 3 to this Order.
- 11. The Board will conduct a PHC on (i) Friday, September 7, 2012, at 10:10 AM at the Ontario Municipal Board, 16th Floor Hearing Room, 655 Bay Street, in Toronto, at which time it may, among other things, receive submissions respecting further modifications to phasing as proposed in paragraph 4, including any adjustment to the order of Phase 2 and 3 (i.e. the potential for Phase 3 to precede Phase 2); (ii) Friday, September 21, 2012, at 10:10 AM at Arbitration Place, located at the Bay Adelaide Centre, 333 Bay Street, 9th Floor, in Toronto; (iii) Friday, October 12, 2012, at 10:10 AM at Arbitration Place, located at the Ontario Municipal Board, 16th Floor Hearing room, 655 Bay Street, , in Toronto; and (v) Monday, December 10, 2012 at 2:00 PM at the Ontario Municipal Board, 16th Floor Hearing room, 655 Bay Street, in Toronto;
- 12. The Board will conduct a teleconference with the Region and North Leslie Landowners Group on **July 18, 2012**, at 9:00 am, with respect to the status of the North Leslie Landowners Group Minutes of Settlement with the Region.

Requirements Before the Hearing

- 13. The requirements set out in paragraphs 14 to 18 below pertain to matters that will be addressed in Phases 1 and 3 of these appeals. Hearing requirements related to matters in Phase 4 and 5 (including the delivery of witness statements, reply witness statements, and visual evidence) will be determined through a separate procedural Order which will be established following the conclusion of Phase 3.
- 14. Expert witnesses in the same field shall have at least 2 meetings prior to Phase 1 of the hearing to try to resolve or reduce the issues for the hearing. The first meeting will be scheduled for approximately 10 days following the production of witness lists in accordance with paragraph 16. The second meeting will take place approximately 10

days following the exchange of written evidence for Phase 1, in accordance with paragraph 20. An additional expert meeting will take place prior to the exchange of written evidence for Phase 3, in accordance with paragraph 25. The experts are encouraged to prepare a list of agreed facts and the remaining issues to be addressed at the hearing, and provide this list to all parties.

- 15. The Board will be requested to schedule additional mediation sessions in August and September, 2012 on those issues that remain outstanding for adjudication in the hearing (as set out in paragraph 4 above).
- 16. A party who intends to call witnesses, whether by summons or not, shall provide to the Board, the other parties and to the Clerk a list of the witnesses and their disciplines on or before **August 17, 2012**.
- 17. Except as noted in this paragraph, only a party can call or lead professional (expert) evidence and only a party can cross-examine witnesses called by others. A participant can lead lay evidence and can make submissions. A party may be subject to an award of costs but a participant is not subject to costs.
- 18. All expert witnesses shall prepare an expert witness statement, which shall list any reports prepared by the expert, or any other reports or documents to be relied on at the hearing. Copies of the statement and supporting reports or documents must be provided as required in paragraphs 20 and 25 below. Instead of a witness statement, the expert may file his or her entire report if it contains the required information. If neither of these requirements are complied with, the Board may refuse to hear the expert's testimony.

Specific Requirements Prior to Hearing of Phase 1

- 19. A participant must provide to the Board and the parties a detailed participant statement for Phase 1 by the same date that expert witness statements for the phase are due (Friday **November 30**, 2012, as set out in paragraph 20 below) or the participant may not give oral evidence at the Phase 1 hearing.
- 20. On or before **Friday**, **November 30**, **2012**, all parties shall provide copies of their witness and expert witness statements for Phase 1 to all other parties and to the Clerk.
- 21. Any party may reply to an expert witness statement (or expert report) or participant statement for Phase 1 provided such reply is provided to all parties and the Clerk by no later than **Friday, December 21, 2012**.
- 22. A party who has filed an expert witness statement must have that witness attend Phase 1 to give oral evidence unless the party notifies the parties and the Board on or before **Friday, December 21, 2012**, that the written evidence is not part of the record.
- 23. On or before **Friday**, **January 4**, **2013**, the parties and participants shall provide copies of their visual evidence for Phase 1 to all other parties and participants. If a model will be used, all parties and participants must have a reasonable opportunity to view it before the hearing.

Specific Requirements Prior to Hearing of Phase 3

- 24. A participant must provide to the Board and the parties a detailed participant statement for Phase 3, as the case may be, by the same date that expert witness statements for that Phase are due **TBD**, as set out in paragraph 25 below) or the participant may not give oral evidence at the Phase 3 hearing.
- 25. On or before **TBD**, all parties shall provide copies of their witness and expert witness statements for Phase 3 to all other parties and to the Clerk.
- 26. Any party may reply to an expert witness statement (or expert report) or participant statement for Phase 3, provided such reply is provided to all parties and the Clerk by no later than **TBD**.
- 27. On or before **TBD**, the parties and participants shall provide copies of their visual evidence for Phase 3, to all other parties and participants. If a model will be used, all parties and participants must have a reasonable opportunity to view it before the hearing.
- 28. A party who has filed an expert witness statement must have that witness attend Phase 3 to give oral evidence unless the party notifies the parties and the Board on or before **TBD**, that the written evidence is not part of the record.
- 29. In the event that a Board decision, interim decision, or other guidance in respect of Phase 1 is released after **TBD** (i.e. the date for filing of reply evidence in accordance with paragraph 26 above) then the parties will be permitted to file supplementary witness statements and/or reply statements that are responsive to the Board's decision as they may deem appropriate in respect of Phase 3 issues. Such further supplementary and/or reply witness statements shall be filed no later than 10 days following the release of the Board's decision, interim or otherwise, in respect of the Phase 1 issues. It is acknowledged that there is disagreement among parties as to whether or not the issuance of an interim decision or decision following Phase 1, or any component thereof, is necessary or required prior to proceeding with subsequent components of Phase 1, or subsequent hearing phases.
- 30. The parties are targeting **TBD** as the start date for Phase 3. If a substantial number of Phase 1 issues settle or are resolved, the Board may be spoken to with respect to earlier exchange dates and an earlier hearing start date for Phase 3.
- 31. Subject to paragraph 29 above, a person wishing to change written evidence, including witness statements, must make a written motion to the Board. Such motion shall be in accordance with the Board's Rules 34 and 35, which require that the moving party provide copies of the motion to all other parties 10 days before the Board hears the motion.
- 32. Documents may be delivered by personal delivery, email, facsimile, courier, registered or certified mail, or otherwise as the Board may direct. The delivery of documents by fax shall be governed by the Board's Rules on this subject. Material delivered by mail shall be deemed to have been received five business days after the date of registration or certification.

- 33. The Ontario Municipal Board file number is to be clearly marked on all documents, visual, written or otherwise, to be filed with the Board.
- 34. No adjournments or delays will be granted before or during the hearing except for serious hardship or illness. The Board's Rules 61 to 65 apply to such requests.

This member is not seized of the matter.

So Orders the Board.

SUMMARY OF KEY DATES

DATE	<u>EVENT</u>	
July 18, 2012	Board teleconference with the Region and North Leslie Landowners	
	Group	
August 3, 2012	Parties/participants to identify phase(s) in which they intend to	
	participate	
August 3, 2012	Issues Lists to be settled	
August 17, 2012	Delivery of witness lists	
Between Aug. 17 and	Expert witnesses to meet at least twice	
Oct. 15, 2012		
September 7, 2012	Pre-hearing Conference	
September 21, 2012	Pre-hearing Conference	
October 12, 2012	Pre-hearing Conference	
October 23, 2012	CMHC motion for party status	
November 19, 2012	Pre-hearing Conference	
November 30, 2012	Exchange of expert witness statements/reports and witness/participant	
	statements for Phase 1	
December 10, 2012	Pre-hearing Conference	
December 21, 2012	Exchange of reply witness statements for Phase 1	
January 4, 2013	Visual evidence to be delivered for Phase 1	
January 14, 2013	Start of hearing on Phase 1	
TBD	Expert witnesses to meet	
TBD	Exchange of expert witness statements/reports and witness/participant	
	statements for Phase 3	
TBD	Exchange of reply witness statements for Phase 3	
TBD	Visual evidence to be delivered for Phase 3	
10 days after release of	Supplementary witness statements and/or reply statements in the event	
decision on Phase 1	that a Board decision, interim decision or other guidance in respect of	
	Phase 1 is released after TBD	
TBD	Target date for start of Phase 3	

Attachment 1 – List of Appellants

York region Official Plan – PL101128 (ROP) Appellants	Counsel
Angus Glen North West Inc. and Angus Glen	Patricia A. Foran/N. Jane Pepino
Holdings Inc. (Appellant 1)	pforan@airdberlis.com/
	jpepino@airdberlis.com
	Andrea Skinner <u>askinner@airdberlis.com</u>
E. Manson Investments (Appellant 2)	Patricia A. Foran/N. Jane Pepino
	pforan@airdberlis.com/
	jpepino@airdberlis.com
	Andrea Skinner <u>askinner@airdberlis.com</u>
North Leslie Residential Landowners Group	Patricia A. Foran/N. Jane Pepino
Inc. (Appellant 3)	pforan@airdberlis.com/
	jpepino@airdberlis.com
	Andrea Skinner <u>askinner@airdberlis.com</u>
North Markham Landowners Group (Appellant	Patricia A. Foran/N. Jane Pepino
4):	pforan@airdberlis.com/
1212763 Ontario Limited	jpepino@airdberlis.com
 1463069 Ontario Limited 	Andrea Skinner <u>askinner@airdberlis.com</u>
1512406 Ontario Limited	
 1612286 Ontario Inc. 	
4551 Elgin Mills Developments Limited	
CAVCOE Holdings Ltd.	
 EL-EN Packaging Company Limited 	
EL-EN Realty Limited	
First Elgin Mills Developments Inc.	
Glendower Properties Inc.	
Haremar Plastic Manufacturing Limited	
Haremar Realty Limited	
Mackenzie 48 Investments Limited	
Major Kennedy Developments Limited	
Major Kennedy South Developments	
Limited	
Frambordeaux Developments Inc. and	
Kennedy Elgin Developments Limited	
Tsialtas, Peter and Cathy	
Tung Kee Investment Limited	
Partnership	
Warden Mills Development Limited	
 ZACORP Ventures Inc. 	
Romandale Farms Ltd. (<i>initially part of</i>	Michael Melling/Raj Kehar
Appellant 4 but now separately represented)	michaelm@davieshowe.com /
	11110110011111111111101101111111111111

York region Official Plan – PL101128 (ROP) Appellants	Counsel
	rajk@davieshowe.com
	Assistant: Jules Calzavara
	julesc@davieshowe.com
Loblaw Properties Limited (Appellant 5)	Steven A. Zakem <u>szakem@airdberlis.com</u>
Rice Commercial Group of Companies	Ira T. Kagan <u>ikagan@ksllp.ca</u>
(Non-East Gwillimbury Lands) (Appellant 6)	
Yonge Green Lane Developments Limited	Steven A. Zakem <u>szakem@airdberlis.com</u>
(Appellant 7)	
Mr. Allen Eng (Appellant 8)	
Mr. John Hayes (Appellant 9)	
Mr. Paul Jadilebovski (Appellant 10)	
Mr. Peter Antonopoulos (Appellant 11)	
Mr. Philip Comartin (Appellant 12)	
Mr. Shai Perlmutter (Appellant 13)	
Mr. Steven DeFreitas (Appellant 14)	
Peat Farmers of Ontario represnted by Mr. Phil	
Comartin (Appellant 15)	
Property Owners with Rights Association	
represented by Paul Jadilebovski (Appellant	
16)	
Kau & Associates L.P. (Appellant 17)	
Block 27 Landowners Group (Appellant 18)	
Dorzil Developments (Bayview) Ltd.	John M. Alati johna@davieshowe.com
(Appellant 19)	
Westlin Farms (Appellant 20)	Michael Melling michaelm@davieshowe.com
Lucia Milani and Rizmi Holdings Limited	Michael Melling/Raj Kehar
(Appellant 21)	michaelm@davieshowe.com/
	rajk@davieshowe.com
	Assistant: Jules Calzavara
	julesc@davieshowe.com
Daraban Holdings Limited (Appellant 22)	Mark Flowers Email :
	markf@davieshowe.com
SmartCentres and Calloway Real Estate	Mark Flowers markf@davieshowe.com
Investment Trust (Appellant 23)	
Yonge Bayview Holdings Inc. (Appellant 24)	Mark Flowers markf@davieshowe.com
583753 Ontario Ltd. (Appellant 25 and 40)	Susan Rosenthal susanr@davieshowe.com
775377 Ontario Ltd. (Appellant 26)	Susan Rosenthal susanr@davieshowe.com
Helmhorst Investments Ltd. (Appellant 27)	Susan Rosenthal susanr@davieshowe.com
Aurora 2C Landowners Group Inc. (Appellant	Chris Barnett / Laura Bisset
28)	cbarnett@davis.ca/ lbisset@davis.ca
	Assistant: Simone Oliveira soliveira@davis.ca

York region Official Plan – PL101128 (ROP) Appellants	Counsel
W. J. Smith Gardens Limited (Appellant 29)	Neil G. Davis neil.davis@daviswebb.com
Metrus Development Inc. (Appellant 30)	David Bronskill/Jennifer Drake
	dbronskill@goodmans.ca/
	jdrake@goodmans.ca
Upper City Corporation and Clear Point	Roslyn Houser rhouser@goodmans.ca
Developments (Appellant 31)	
Minotar Holdings Inc, Cor-lots Development,	Catherine A. Lyons <u>clyons@goodmans.ca</u>
Cherokee Holdings and Halvan 5.5	
Investments Limited (Appellant 32)	
Dalton and Alan Faris and Eden Mills Estates	Susan Rosenthal susanr@davieshowe.com
Inc. (Appellant 33)	
Eden Mills Estates Inc. (<i>initially part of Appellant 33</i>)	Bobby Bhoola bobby@ballantryhomes.com
John Carlisle Robert G. Sikura (Appellant 34)	Patricia Foran / Jane Pepino
	pforan@airdberlis.com/
	jpepino@airdberlis.com
Aurora-Leslie Developments Inc. (Appellant	Andrea Skinner <u>askinner@airdberlis.com</u>
35)	Ira T. Kagan <u>ikagan@ksllp.ca</u>
Fieldgate Developments and TACC	Ira T. Kagan <u>ikagan@ksllp.ca</u>
Developments (Appellant 36)	ITA T. Kayan <u>ikayan@ksip.ca</u>
	Ira T. Kagan ikagan@kslln.ca
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
38)	W. Monas Banow (Danow@lasken.com
Amir Hessam Limited and 668152 Ontario Ltd. (Appellant 41)	Susan Rosenthal susanr@davieshowe.com
Arten Developments Inc. (Appellant 42)	
Sanmike Construction Ltd. (Appellant 43)	John A.R. Dawson jdawson@mccarthy.ca
Canadian Mortgage and Housing Corporation (Appellant 44)	
Mahamevna Bhavana Asapuwa Toronto	
(Appellant 46)	
The Mandarin Golf and Country Club Inc. and	Richard R. Arblaster rick@arblasterlaw.com
AV Investments II Inc.	
(Appellant 47)	
Cornerstone Christian Community Church	
(Appellant 48)	
	Michael Melling/Raj Kehar
	•
Times Group Corporation (Appellant 37) Memorial Gardens Canada Limited (Appellant 38) Amir Hessam Limited and 668152 Ontario Ltd. (Appellant 41) Arten Developments Inc. (Appellant 42) Sanmike Construction Ltd. (Appellant 43) Canadian Mortgage and Housing Corporation (Appellant 44) Mahamevna Bhavana Asapuwa Toronto (Appellant 46) The Mandarin Golf and Country Club Inc. and AV Investments II Inc. (Appellant 47) Cornerstone Christian Community Church	Ira T. Kagan ikagan@ksllp.ca W. Thomas Barlow tbarlow@fasken.com Susan Rosenthal susanr@davieshowe.com John A.R. Dawson idawson@mccarthy.ca Richard R. Arblaster Richael Melling/Raj Kehar michaelm@davieshowe.com Michael Melling/Raj Kehar michaelm@davieshowe.com

York region Official Plan – PL101128 (ROP) Appellants	Counsel
	Assistant: Jules Calzavara
	julesc@davieshowe.com
Sustainable Vaughan (Appellant 50)	
Markham Gateway Inc. (Appellant 51)	
CHFMS aka Trinison (Appellant 52):	Roger T. Beaman
Colebay Investments Inc.	rbeaman@thomsonrogers.com
Firwood Holdings Inc.	Stephen J. D'Agostino
Highcove Investment Inc.	sdagostino@thomsonrogers.com
Major McCowan Developments Limited	
Summerlane Realty Corp	
(initially part of Appellant 4 but now separately represented)	

Amendment 1 – Urban Expansion in the Town of East Gwillimbury – OMB Case No. PL101233 (ROPA 1)		
Appellant	Counsel	
Dalton and Alan Faris	Susan Rosenthal susanr@davieshowe.com	
Eden Mills Estates Inc.	Bobby Bhoola bobby@ballantryhomes.com	
Martin Pick, Thomas Pick and 132463 Ontario Inc.	Mark Flowers markf@davieshowe.com	
Rice Commercial Group of Companies	Susan Rosenthal susanr@davieshowe.com	

Amendment 2 – Urban Expansion in the City of Vaughan – OMB Case No. PL101237		
(ROPA 2)		
Appellant	Counsel	
Sustainable Vaughan		

Amendment 3 - Urban Expansion in the Town of Markham – OMB Case No. PL101238				
(ROI	PA 3)			
Appellant	Counsel			
Minotar Holdings Inc., Cor-lots Developments,	Catherine A. Lyons <u>clyons@goodmans.ca</u>			
Cherokee Holdings & Halvan 5.5 Investments				
Ltd.				
Grace Chinese Gospel Church of North York	Richard R. Arblaster, rick@arblasterlaw.com			
North Markham Landowners Group:	Patricia A. Foran/N. Jane Pepino			
 1212763 Ontario Limited 	pforan@airdberlis.com/			
 1463069 Ontario Limited 	jpepino@airdberlis.com			

Amendment 3 - Urban Expansion in the Town of Markham – OMB Case No. PL101238				
(ROF	PA 3)			
Appellant	Counsel			
 1512406 Ontario Limited 	Andrea Skinner askinner@airdberlis.com			
 1612286 Ontario Inc. 				
 4551 Elgin Mills Developments Limited 				
 CAVCOE Holding Ltd. 				
 First Elgin Mills Developments Inc. 				
 Glendower Properties Inc. 				
 Kennedy Elgin Developments Limited 				
 Mackenzie 48 Investments Limited 				
 Major Kennedy Developments Limited 				
 Major Kennedy South Developments 				
Limited				
 Frambordeaux Developments Inc. 				
 Tsialtas, Peter and Cathy 				
Tung Kee Investment Limited Partnership				
Warden Mills Developments Limited				
ZACORP Ventures Inc.				
Romandale Farms Limited	Michael Melling/Raj Kehar			
	michaelm@davieshowe.com			
	rajk@davieshowe.com			
	Assistant: Jules Calzavara			
	julesc@davieshowe.com			
CHFMS aka Trinison:				
 Colebay Investments Inc. 	Roger T. Beaman			
Firwood Holdings Inc.	rbeaman@thomsonrogers.com			
Highcove Investment Inc.	Stephen J. D'Agostino:			
Major McCowan Developments Limited	sdagostino@thomsonrogers.com			
Summerlane Realty Corp				

Attachment 2

Purpose of the Procedural Order and Meaning of Terms

The Board recommends that the parties **meet to discuss this sample Order before the prehearing conference** to try to identify the issues and the process that they want the Board to order following the conference. The Board will hear the parties' comments about the contents of the Order at the conference.

Prehearing conferences usually take place only where the hearing is expected to be long and complicated. If you are not represented by a lawyer, you should prepare by obtaining the <u>Guide to</u> <u>the Ontario Municipal Board</u>, and the Board's Rules, from the Board Information Office, 15th Floor, 655 Bay Street, Toronto, M5G 1E5, 416-326-6800, or from the Board website at www.omb.gov.on.ca.

Meaning of terms used in the Procedural Order:

Party is an individual or corporation permitted by the Board to participate fully in the hearing by receiving copies of written evidence, presenting witnesses, cross-examining the witnesses of the other parties, and making submissions on all of the evidence. If an **unincorporated group** wishes to become a party, it must appoint one person to speak for it, and that person must accept the other responsibilities of a party as set out in the Order. Parties do not have to be represented by a lawyer, and may have an agent speak for them. The agent must have written authorisation from the party.

NOTE that a person who wishes to become a party before or at the hearing, and who did not request this at the prehearing conference, must ask the Board to permit this.

Participant is an individual, group or corporation, whether represented by a lawyer or not, who may attend only part of the proceeding but who makes a statement to the Board on all or some of the issues in the hearing. Such persons may also be identified at the start of the hearing. The Board will set the time for hearing this statements. **NOTE** that such persons will likely not receive notice of a mediation or conference calls on procedural issues. They also cannot ask for costs, or review of a decision as parties can. If a participant does not attend the hearing and only files a written statement, the Board will not give it the same attention or weight as submissions made orally. The reason is that parties cannot ask further questions of a person if they merely file material and do not attend.

Written and Visual Evidence: Written evidence includes all written material, reports, studies, documents, letters and witness statements which a party or participant intends to present as evidence at the hearing. These must have pages numbered consecutively throughout the entire document, even if there are tabs or dividers in the material. *Visual evidence* includes photographs, maps, videos, models, and overlays which a party or participant intends to present as evidence at the hearing.

Witness Statements: A witness statement is a short written outline of the person's background, experience and interest in the matter; a list of the issues which he or she will discuss and the witness' opinions on those issues; and a list of reports that the witness will rely on at the hearing. An **expert** witness statement should include his or her (1) name and address, (2) qualifications, (3) a list of the issues he or she will address, (4) the witness'

opinions on those issues and the complete reasons for the opinions and (5) a list of reports that the witness will rely on at the hearing. A **participant statement** is a short written outline of the person's or group's background, experience and interest in the matter; a list of the issues which the participant

will address and a short outline of the evidence on those issues; and a list of reports, if any, which the participant will refer to at the hearing.

Additional Information

Summons: A party must ask a Board Member or the senior staff of the Board to issue a summons. This request must be made before the time that the list of witnesses is provided to the Board and the parties. (See Rules 45 and 46 on the summons procedure.) If the Board requests it, an affidavit must be provided indicating how the witness' evidence is relevant to the hearing. If the Board is not satisfied from the affidavit, it will require that a motion be heard to decide whether the witness should be summoned.

The order of examination of witnesses: is usually direct examination, cross-examination and reexamination in the following way:

direct examination by the party presenting the witness;

direct examination by any party of similar interest, in the manner determined by the Board;

cross-examination by parties of opposite interest;

re-examination by the party presenting the witness; or

another order of examination mutually agreed among the parties or directed by the Board.

Attachment 3 – List of Parties and Participants

Public Sector Party Status				
Municipality or other	Counsel	OMB proceeding in which		
public agency / <u>Party</u>		<u>Party</u> status is granted		
Township of King	Josephine A. Matera	PL101128		
	jmatera@airdberlis.com			
City of Markham	Chris Barnett cbarnett@davis.ca	PL101128 PL101233		
	Andrea Wilson-Peebles	PL101237 PL101238		
	AWilson-Peebles@markham.ca			
	Catherine Conrad cconrad@markham.ca			
	Lynn Handsor Ihandsor@markham.ca			
	Margaret Wouters <u>mwouters@markham.ca</u>			
	Tim Lambe tlambe@markham.ca			
City of Vaughan	Claudia A. Storto	PL101128 PL101233		
	Claudia.Storto@vaughan.ca	PL101237 PL101238		
	Karen Trzaska <u>karen.trzaska@vaughan.ca</u>			
Town of East Gwillimbury	Bruce Ketcheson	PL101128 PL101233		
	bketcheson@ritchieketcheson.com	PL101237		
	Andrew Biggart abiggart@ritchieketcheson.com	PL101238		
	Effie Lidakis elidakis@ritchieketcheson.com			
	Don Sinclair dsinclair@eastgwillimbury.ca			
	Hajnalka Hartwick <u>hhartwick@eastgwillimbury.ca</u>			
Town of Richmond Hill	Antonio Dimilta,	PL101128 PL101233		
	adimilta@richmondhill.ca	PL101237-PL101238		

Public Sector Participant Status					
Municipality or other public agency / <u>Participant</u>	Counsel	OMB proceeding in which <u>Participant</u> status is granted			
Town of Newmarket	Esther Armchuk-Ball earmchuk-ball@newmarket.ca	PL101128			
Town of Georgina	Signe Leisk <u>sleisk@casselsbrock.com</u> Raivo Uukkivi ruukkiv@casselsbrock.com	PL101233			
TRCA	Quentin Hanchard ghanchard@trca.on.ca	PL101128 PL101233 PL101237 PL101238			

Landowner Party Status				
Landowner / <u>Party</u>	Counsel	OMB proceeding in which status is granted	Appeal to Which Status Granted	Policies to Which <u>Party </u> Status Relates
Angus Glen Developments Ltd. Angus Glen Golf Club Ltd.	Signe Leisk sleisk@casselsbrock.com Raivo Uukkivi <u>ruukkivi@casselsbrock.com</u>	PL101238 (ROPA 3)	ROPA 3 – North Markham Landowne rs Group	ROPA 3 - Policies and mapping raised by North Markham Landowners Group appeal respecting the urban boundary expansion as delineated by ROPA 3 and the alternative urban boundary line
Haulover Investments Ltd.	Jeffrey E. Streisfield jeffrey@landplanlaw.com	PL101128 (ROP)	PL101128 - 1, 2, 18, 19, 23, 28, 36, 37	5.2.20 and 5.2.21, 3.5.7, 7.2.31, 7.2.32, 7.2.52, 7.5.3, 7.5.4
William H. Worden and Yvonne W. Worden Montanaro Estates Limited	Jeffrey E. Streisfield jeffrey@landplanlaw.com	PL101128 (ROP)	N/A	Amendments to Maps 1, 2 and 8 of ROP - 2010 for the Worden/Montanaro lands to carry forward the approved ROPA 41 land use designations for those lands.
Vaughan 400 Landowners Group Inc.	Michael Melling michaelm@davieshowe.com	PL101128 (ROP)	N/A	Lifting of deferral area 2 in ROPA 52
Harry John Lewis and Murray Allin Lewis Donald Miller	Donald Hindson donald@cattanach.ca Lyn Townsend Townsend and Associates lyn.townsend@ltownsend.ca	PL101128 (ROP) PL101238 (ROPA 3)	PL101128 – 47 ROPA 3	Chapter 2 policies and related maps, figures and definitions, as set out in Mr. Hindson's letter of May 4, 2011 ROPA 3 –Map 2
Ruth Elizabeth Brock Lois Marguerite Frisby Ruth Elizabeth Brock Charlotte Marie Frisby Marguerite Alice Gallone Gerhard Schickendanz	Donald Hindson donald@cattanach.ca Lyn Townsend Townsend and Associates lyn.townsend@ltownsend.ca	PL101238 (ROPA 3)	ROPA 3 – North Markham Landowne rs Group	ROPA 3 - Policies and mapping raised by North Markham Landowners Group appeal respecting the urban boundary expansion as delineated by ROPA 3 and the alternative urban boundary line

	Landowner Party Status			
Landowner / <u>Party</u>	Counsel	OMB proceeding in which status is granted	Appeal to Which Status Granted	Policies to Which <u>Party </u> Status Relates
Elma Schickendanz Wagema Holdings Limited Lorna Mary Passafiume Walmark Holdings Inc.				
MI Developments Inc.	Steven A. Zakem szakem@airdberlis.com	PL101128 (ROP)	PL101128 49	Policies which may be raised by Tesmar appeal
Delisle Properties Limited	Barry Horosko/Cathy Facciolo <u>bhorosko@bratty.com</u> <u>cfacciolo@bratty.com</u>	PL101128 (ROP)	PL101128 49	Policies which may be raised by Tesmar appeal
Block 34 East Landowners Group Inc.	Roslyn Houser rhouser@goodmans.ca	PL101128 (ROP)	N/A	Lifting of deferral area 1 in ROPA 52
Dorzil Developments (Bayview) Ltd.	John M. Alati johna@davieshowe.com	PL101233 (ROPA 1)	PL101233 (ROPA 1)	ROPA 1
Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation ("CMHC") and Quaestus Corporation	Patrick Devine/ Mark Piel <u>Patrick.devine@fmc-</u> <u>law.com</u> <u>mark.piel@fmc-law.com</u>	PL101128 (ROP)	PL101128 – 23 and 37	Policy identified as "old 4.3.8" on Exhibit 4
Halvan 5.5 Investments Limited	Catherine A. Lyons clyons@goodmans.ca	PL101128 (ROP)	PL101128 - 32	Policies at issue in Minotaur et. al appeals
Kau and Associates	Barry Horosko Cathy Facciolo <u>bhorosko@bratty.com</u> <u>cfacciolo@bratty.com</u>	PL101128 (ROP)	PL101128 – 5, 6, 23, and 37	4.3.3; 4.3.4; 4.3.7; 4.3.9; 4.3.12; 4.4.6; definition of "Major Retail"
Mahamevna Bhavana Asapuwa Toronto	Mark Flowers markf@davieshowe.com	PL101128 (ROP)	PL101128 - 47	6.3.2, 6.3.3, 6.3.10 and Map 8
Block 27 Landowners Group Inc.	Michael Melling/Raj Kehar michaelm@davieshowe.com rajk@davieshowe.com	PL101128 (ROP) PL101237 (ROPA 2)	PL101128 – 4, 19, 30 PL101237 (ROPA 2)	Appeals and policies as set out in correspondence between D. Klacko and M. Melling on June 13 and 14, 2011 and July 8 and

Landowner Party Status				
Landowner / <u>Party</u>	Counsel	OMB proceeding in which status is granted	Appeal to Which Status Granted	Policies to Which <u>Party </u> Status Relates
				18, 2011 (filed as Exhibit 23)
Huron-Wendat Nation	David Donnelly <u>david@donnellylaw.ca</u>	PL101128 (ROP)	PL101128 - 4, 27	3.4.11 and 3.4.14
Block 40/47 Developers Group Inc.	Michael Melling/Raj Kehar michaelm@davieshowe.com rajk@davieshowe.com	PL101128 (ROP)	PL101128 (ROP)	Chapter 2; section 3.5; policies 5.6.23 - 5.6.32; policies 8.4.15 to 8.4.20; Maps 1 – 5, 6 and 8 Figure 3
1539253 Ontario Inc.	Michael Melling/Raj Kehar michaelm@davieshowe.com rajk@davieshowe.com	PL101128 (ROP)	PL101128 (ROP)	2.1.10 (re: recreation uses); 6.1.6.3 and 6.4 (re: recreation uses); 8.4.16 – 8.4.20: Maps 1,2, 8 and Figure 3
445158 Ontario Inc. (Meldan), Mr. Tracy Ellis and Ms. Kelly Ellis	Michael Melling/Raj Kehar michaelm@davieshowe.com rajk@davieshowe.com	PL101128 (ROP)	PL101128 (ROP)	Site Specific: 8.4.15 to 8.4.20
Karma Tekchen Zabsal Ling		PL101128 (ROP)	PL101128 (ROP)	Site Specific: 8.4.15 to 8.4.20
878211 Ontario Ltd.		PL101128 (ROP)	PL101128 (ROP)	Site Specific: 8.4.15 to 8.4.20
Mr. Borden Kent		PL101128 (ROP)	PL101128 (ROP)	Site Specific: 8.4.15 to 8.4.20
Wholesale Forest Products Ltd.		PL101128 (ROP)	PL101128 (ROP)	Site Specific: 8.4.15 to 8.4.20
Ms. Sheryl Kotzer, Mr. Howie Kotzer, Mr. Michael Kotzer	Diana Santo santod@mmm.ca	PL101128 (ROP)	PL101128 (ROP)	Site specific: 8.4.15 and 8.4.20
Mr. Gary Foch		PL101128 (ROP)	PL101128 (ROP)	Site specific: 8.4.15 and 8.4.20
South Sharon Developments Inc.	Jason Park jason.park@fmc-law.com	PL101233 (ROPA 1)	PL101233 (ROPA 1)	ROPA 1
Acorn Development Corporation Ladyfield Construction Ltd., Farm Cove Holdings	Roslyn Houser <u>rhouser@goodmans.ca</u> Jennifer Drake jdrake@goodmans.ca	PL101233 (ROPA 1)	PL101233 (ROPA 1)	ROPA 1

Landowner Party Status				
Landowner / <u>Party</u>	Counsel	OMB proceeding in which status is granted	Appeal to Which Status Granted	Policies to Which <u>Party </u> Status Relates
Inc., Fetlar Holdings Ltd.				

	Landowner Pa	articipant Stat	us	
Landowner/	Counsel	OMB	Appeal	Policies to Which
Participant		proceeding	to Which	<u>Participant</u> Status
		in which	Status	Relates
		status is	Granted	
		granted		
Trevor Rose	Howard Friedman hbrplanning@bellnet.ca	PL101128 (ROP)	PL101128	Participant status sought to monitor 2.2.19, 2.2.31,
Angelo Antonangeli, Leslie Gardens				2.2.34, 2.2.35, Map 8,6.3.7(d), 8.3.3. and
1450968 Ontario Inc. c/o Peter Gorin				definition of "Agricultural Uses"
Intracorp Projects Acquisitions Ltd.	Michael Melling <u>michaelm@davieshowe.com</u>	PL101128 (ROP)	PL101128	Participant status sought to monitor and protect interests respecting designation, mapping and policies applicable to subject lands in Richmond Hill as identified in May 9, 2011 email from Mr. Melling.
South Sharon Developments Inc.	Jason Park jason.park@fmc-law.com	PL101128 (ROP) PL101233 (ROPA 1)	PL101128 ROPA 1	Participant status sought to monitor proceedings to ensure no amendments that would impact subject lands set out in May 9, 2011 letter.
William H. Worden and Yvonne W. Worden Montanaro Estates Limited	Jeffrey E. Streisfield jeffrey@landplanlaw.com	PL101128 (ROP)	PL101128	Participant status re Chapter 2 of ROP.
Markham Gateway	Roger T. Beaman	PL101128	PL101128	

Landowner Participant Status				
<u>Landowner/</u> Participant	Counsel	OMB proceeding in which status is granted	Appeal to Which Status Granted	Policies to Which <u>Participant </u> Status Relates
Inc.	rbeaman@thomsonrogers.c om	(ROP)		
165 Pine Grove Investments Inc.	Adam Brown adam@shermanbrown.com	PL101128 (ROP) PL101237 (ROPA 2)	PL101128 PL101237	Participant status to monitor policies 5.1, 5.2 and 5.3 and ROPA 2.
Devon Lane Construction Ltd.	Lyn Townsend lyn.townsend@ltownsend.ca	PL101128 (ROP)	PL101128 (ROP)	Provisions specified and agreed upon as between the Region and Devon Lane Construction Ltd.
Haulover Investments Ltd.	Jeffrey E. Streisfield jeffrey@landplanlaw.com	PL101128 (ROP)	PL101128	Site Specific: 8.4.15 to 8.4.20

Landowner Party Status – Phase 3 of Hearing Only				
Landowner / <u>Party</u>	Counsel	OMB proceeding in which status is granted	Appeal to Which Status Granted	Policies to Which <u>Party </u> Status Relates
Remington Steeles 9 Inc. Barry Glenn Little Robert Brownlee Little	Bratty and Partners, LLP 7501 Keele Street, Suite 200 Vaughan, ON L4K 1Y2 Barry A. Horosko <u>bhorosko@bratty.com</u> 905-760-2600 x 339 Caterina Facciolo <u>cfacciolo@bratty.com</u> 905-760-2600 x 293	PL101238 (ROPA 3)	PL101238 (ROPA 3)	Boundary of urban area expansion
Infrastructure Ontario ("IO"), as agent for the Ministry of Infrastructure	Until conclusion of Phase 1 hearing contact : Ken Hare, Counsel , Ministry of AG <u>ken.hare@ontario.ca</u> 416-585-6404 Li Cheng <u>li.cheng@ontario.ca</u> Mark Christie, Manager MMAH, <u>Mark.christie@ontario.ca</u> Anil Wijesooriya, VP, Development Planning Realty Planning & Development, Infrastructure Ontario, <u>Anil.Wijesooriya@infrastruct</u> <u>ureontario.ca</u> 416.212.6183 NOTE: At end of Phase 1 hearing, IO will determine legal rep for the Phase 3 hearing	PL101238 (ROPA 3)	PL101238 (ROPA 3)	Boundary of urban area expansion
Lindvest Properties (Cornell East) Limited	Aird & Berlis LLP Brookfield Place, 181 Bay	PL101238 (ROPA 3)	PL101238 (ROPA 3)	Boundary of urban area expansion

PHASE 3 OF HEARING – Parties & Participants

	Landowner Party Status – Phase 3 of Hearing Only				
Landowner / <u>Party</u>	Counsel	OMB proceeding in which status is granted	Appeal to Which Status Granted	Policies to Which <u>Party </u> Status Relates	
	Street Suite 1800, Box 754 Toronto, ON M5J 2T9 Patricia A. Foran <u>Pforan@airdberlis.com</u> 416-865-7727 Andrea Skinner <u>askinner@airdberlis.com</u>				
Varlese Brothers Ltd.	Cassels Brock and Blackwell LLP 2100 Scotia Plaza, 40 King St W Toronto, ON M5H 3C2 Signe B. Leisk sleisk@casselsbrockc.om Tel: 416-869-5411 Raivo Uukkivi ruukkivi@casselsbrock.com Tel: 416-860-6613	PL101238 (ROPA 3)	PL101238 (ROPA 3)	Boundary of urban area expansion	

Landowner Participant Status – Phase 3 of Hearing Only				
Landowner / <u>Participant</u>	Counsel	OMB proceeding in which status is granted	Appeal to Which Status Granted	Policies to Which <u>Participant</u> Status Relates
Mark Cleveland McGean & Dianne McGean	7469 Hwy 7 Markham, On L6B 1A8 <u>diannemc@sympatico.ca</u>	PL101238 (ROPA 3)	PL101238 (ROPA 3)	Boundary of urban area expansion